



VIRGINIA BOARD OF EDUCATION

AGENDA ITEM

Agenda Item: F

Date: March 17, 2022

Title: Final Review of Proposed Revisions to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*

Presenter: Dr. Joan B. Johnson, Assistant Superintendent for Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. James Stronge, Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC.

Email: Joan.Johnson@doe.virginia.gov

Phone: 804-371-2522

Purpose of Presentation:

Action required by state or federal law or regulation.

Executive Summary:

The Virginia Board of Education (Board) is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. [Section 22.1-253.13:5 of the Code of Virginia](#) requires (1) that principal and superintendent evaluations be consistent with the performance objectives (standards) set forth in the Board's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*, (2) that school boards' procedures for evaluating instructional personnel address student academic progress, and (3) that evaluations include an evaluation of cultural competency.

The current *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents* originally were approved on February 23, 2012 (*Principals*) and September 27, 2012 (*Superintendents*) with both subsequently revised on July 23, 2015, and January 10, 2020.

Pursuant [§ 22.1-298.7](#) of the *Code*, the Virginia Department of Education (VDOE) and the Board are currently involved in Phase 2 of a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*. Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future principal and superintendent performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard is required by [House Bill 1904](#) and [Senate Bill 1196](#) passed by the 2021 General Assembly. The legislation states that “evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.”

Workgroups were convened to comprehensively review the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*. The VDOE engaged Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC., to facilitate this work.

The proposed Phase 2 revisions to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents* were presented to the Advisory Board on Teacher Education and Licensure (ABTEL) on October 27, 2021.

There are several attachments for this item. Attachment A includes the tracked changes for the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*. Attachment B includes the tracked changes for the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents*. The “clean” versions of each of those documents is included as Attachment C and Attachment D, respectively.

The major revisions to the *Guidelines* include:

- Updated research
- Added two new sections to the document
 - Growth and Improvement
 - What Can School Divisions Modify?
- Revised *Student/Division Academic Progress* sections
- Made changes to existing performance standards, indicators, and rubrics to address new research and lessons from the field
- Created a new performance standard as required by [House Bill 1904](#) and [Senate Bill 1196](#):
 - Principals: *Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*
 - Superintendents: *Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership*
- The *Guidelines* include the following:
 - Description of standard
 - Set of performance indicators
 - Performance rubric

- Suggested artifacts
- Sample survey questions
- Added language to emphasize the importance of using data sources
- Revised four-rating levels for summative evaluation
 - Current Levels:
 - *Exemplary*
 - *Proficient*
 - *Developing/Needs Improvement*
 - *Unacceptable*
 - Proposed Levels:
 - *Highly Effective*
 - *Effective*
 - *Approaching Effective*
 - *Ineffective*
- Modified sample forms
- Clarified single summative rating example and scoring ranges

The *Guidelines* support the priorities of the Board of Education’s Comprehensive Plan to advance policies that encourage and support the recruitment, development, and retention of well-prepared and skilled teachers and school leaders and provide high quality, effective learning environments for all students.

No changes have been made to these proposed documents since first review.

Action Requested:

Final review: Action requested at this meeting.

Superintendent’s Recommendation:

No recommendation is provided at this time.

Rationale for Action:

Board action is necessary to meet the requirements of HB1904, SB1196 and the *Code of Virginia* requirement to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for principals and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that principal and superintendent evaluations be consistent with the performance objectives (standards) set forth in the Board’s *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*, (2) that school boards’ procedures for evaluating instructional personnel address student academic progress, and (3) that evaluations include an evaluation of cultural competency.

Previous Review or Action:

Previous review or action. Specify date and action taken below:

Date: January 27, 2022

Action: First Review

Background Information and Statutory Authority:

In 2021, the Virginia General Assembly established new requirements to support culturally competent educators in the Commonwealth. The Board is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. [Section 22.1-253.13:5 of the Code of Virginia](#) requires (1) that principal and superintendent evaluations be consistent with the performance objectives (standards) set forth in the Board’s *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*, (2) that school boards’ procedures for evaluating instructional personnel address student academic progress, and (3) that evaluations include an evaluation of cultural competency.

[Section 22.1-253.13:5](#) (Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

...B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, principal, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating...Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

As prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, each principal and superintendent must receive a summative evaluation rating. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. As approved by the Board, how student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of multiple lowest weighted standards.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*, originally were approved on February 23, 2012 (*Principals*) and September 27, 2012 (*Superintendents*) with both subsequently revised on July 23, 2015, and January 10, 2020.

The *Guidelines* provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local principal and superintendent evaluation systems.

The VDOE and the Board are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents* became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of superintendents.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future principal and superintendent performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard is required by [House Bill 1904](#) and [Senate Bill 1196](#) passed by the 2021 General Assembly. The legislation states that “evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the work group were incorporated in the *Guidelines*.
- Phase 3 will involve a comprehensive revision of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the superintendent evaluation system.

Currently in Phase 2, revisions of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents*, workgroups were convened to comprehensively review the *Guidelines*. The workgroups were composed of the following:

- Principal Performance Evaluation System Workgroup: superintendents; principals; assistant principal; director of instruction; and representatives from parent, teacher, principal, and superintendent organizations; and higher education.
- Superintendent Performance Evaluation System Workgroup: school board members; superintendents; assistant superintendent; principals; assistant principal; and representatives from school board, superintendent, principal, teacher, and parent organizations; and higher education.

Workgroup members are listed in the *Guidelines*. The VDOE engaged Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC., to facilitate this work.

The proposed Phase 2 revisions to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents* were presented and approved by the Advisory Board on Teacher Education and Licensure (ABTEL) on October 27, 2021.

Timetable for Further Review/Action:

Upon Board approval, the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals and Superintendents* will be posted in Town Hall for a 30-day public comment period pursuant to the requirements of the Administrative Process Act. Additionally, training materials will be created. The plan includes training during spring/summer 2022.

Impact on Fiscal and Human Resources:

The Virginia Department of Education's existing resources supported the revision process.

*Virginia Department of Education
P. O. Box 2120
Richmond, Virginia 23218-2120*

***Guidelines for Uniform Performance
Standards and Evaluation
Criteria for Principals***



Effective **XXX**

Table of Contents

Forewordiii
Part 1: Introduction		1
.....		1
Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important		1
Importance of Recognizing Principal Effectiveness		2
Purposes of Evaluation		3
Principal Growth and Improvement		3
Purposes of this Document		4
What Can School Divisions Modify?		5
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Principals		
.....		7
Defining Principal Performance Standards		7
Performance Standards		7
Performance Indicators		8
Part 3: Documenting Principal Performance		
19		
Self-Evaluation		18
Informal Observations/School Site Visits		22
Portfolio/Documentation Log Evidence		28
Teacher/Staff Surveys		34
Part 4: Connecting Principal Performance to Student Academic Progress		49
Virginia Law		48
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Principal Evaluation		48
Goal Setting		50
Part 5: Rating Principal Performance		
58		
Interim Evaluation		57
Summative Evaluation		67
Definitions of Ratings		67
Performance Rubrics		68
Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership		70
Performance Standard 2: School Climate		71
Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership		73
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management Error! Bookmark not defined.		75
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations		75
Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership		77
Performance Standard 67: Professionalism		78

Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress	79
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation	80
Single Summative Rating	81
Part 6: Improving Principal Performance	
97	
Support Dialogue	95
Performance Improvement Plan	98
Implementation of Performance Improvement Plan	98
Resolution of Performance Improvement Plan	98
Request for Review of an “Unacceptable” <i>Ineffective</i> Rating	99
References	
...102	

~~*Portions of these principal evaluation materials were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.*~~

Foreword

Three-Phase Revision Plan

The Virginia Department of Education and the Board of Education are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of principals.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future principal performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard addresses House Bill 1904 (identical to Senate Bill 1196) passed by the 2021 General Assembly requiring that “Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the workgroup were incorporated in the *Guidelines*.
- Phase 3, beginning in 2022, will involve a comprehensive revision of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the principal evaluation system.

Part 1: Introduction

Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important¹

Principal evaluation matters because principals school leadership matters. Simply put, high quality schools are characterized by high-quality principals. In fact, “school leadership is frequently described as the key element of a high-quality school, and stories of the inspirational and effective principal are plentiful and oft-repeated.”² Research in the field has consistently has revealed that school leadership has an important impact on student achievement gains or progress over years, and among school factors, is second only to that of teacher quality.² In addition to its impact on student achievement, research also indicates that effective school leadership has significant positive effects on student attendance, student engagement with school, student academic self-efficacy, staff satisfaction, and collective teacher efficacy.³

Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our principals are of high quality. The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the depth and complexities of the job. Principals have a challenging task in meeting the educational needs of an educationally diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide the principals with the support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve their efforts.⁴

Because principals are so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of principal performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance principal effectiveness. The benefits of a rigorous, fair, and data-informed evaluation system are numerous and well documented. A meaningful evaluation focuses on professional standards, and through this focus and timely feedback, enables teachers and leaders can to recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. The benefits of a rigorous evaluation system are numerous and well documented. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is designed and implemented

¹ Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010-2021] by J. H. Stronge Stronge & Associates as well as Stronge, J. (2021). Adapted with permission.”

² Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Bamberg, J. D., & Andrews, R. L. (1991); Brewer, D. J. (1993); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018); Hallinger, P., Brickman, L., & Davis, K. (1996); Heck, R. H. (2004); Karadag, E. (2020); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2006); Leitner, D. (1994); Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010); Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).

³ Cheng, Y. C. (1994); Dou, D. Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2017); Griffith, J. (2004); Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Leithwood, K., & Mascal, B. (2008); Loeb, S., Kalogrides, D., & Bêteille, T. (2012); Pounder, D. G., Ogawa, R. T., & Adams, E. A. (1995); Price, H. E. (2012); Ross, J., & Gray, P. (2006); Silins, H., & Mulford, B. (2002).

⁴ Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008). Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021).

appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:⁵

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of principals for annual reviews and compensation;
- as a targeting tool to help principals focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to principals, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable principals to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development ~~in order~~ to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger schoolwide improvement.

⁵ Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).

The evaluation process should be a tool to identify and promote strengths.

Importance of Recognizing Principal Effectiveness

Characterizing principal effectiveness is important because there is a substantial relationship between the quality of the principal and student achievement. Principal leadership plays an important role in coordinating curriculum, improving instructional programs, staffing instructional programs, supervising and evaluating teaching, monitoring student learning, and buffering staff from interruptions to their work.⁶ As noted earlier in this document, school leadership is the second most influential school-level factor on student achievement, falling only behind teacher quality.⁷ Research has shown over the years that the effectiveness of a principal can add a significant boost to student performance and may account for up to 15 percent of the overall school effect on student achievement.⁸ A meta-analysis of research covering 30 years on the effects of principal leadership practices on student achievement conducted by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty revealed Waters, Marzano, and McNulty conducted a meta-analysis of research on effects of principal leadership practices on student achievement.⁹ After analyzing studies conducted over a 30-year period, they found that the effectiveness of a school's leadership is significantly associated with increased student academic performance.⁹ For instance, a number of several leader behaviors related to school vision, such as including establishing clear goals and fostering shared beliefs, were associated with student learning. They found the average effect size between leadership and student achievement to be $\eta^2 = 0.25$. That means a one standard deviation improvement in leadership effectiveness can translate into an increase of about ten percentile points in student achievement on a standardized, norm-referenced test. More recently a pair of studies conducted by Dhuey & Smith in both the United States and Canada found that improving the effectiveness of the principal by one standard deviation would result in student gains of five percentile points and 11 percentile points in reading and seven percentile points and 16 percentile points in math, respectively.¹⁰ In summary, it is important to recognize that effective principals influence student learning, either directly or indirectly. It also is also important to understand the ways and means by which principals influence their schools' educational programs, family engagement, and community partnerships. Therefore, a rigorous principal evaluation system should be able to discriminate the performance of principals and provide informative feedback for improvement and recognition of strengths.

Problems with Current Evaluation Systems

⁶ Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).

⁷ Clifford, M., Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012).

⁸ Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).

⁹ Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003).

¹⁰ Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).

Unfortunately, even though a principal's effectiveness is recognized as an important factor in improving student achievement, schools rarely measure, document, or use effectiveness ratings to inform decision-making.¹² The result is that it is difficult to distinguish among poor, average, good, and excellent principals. A comprehensive review of principal leadership evaluation practices in the United States indicated that although states and divisions focused on a variety of performance areas (such as management, external environment, or personal traits) when evaluating their principals, they had very limited coverage of leadership behaviors that ensured rigorous curriculum and quality instruction, which are linked with schoolwide improvement for student learning, the ultimate purpose of schooling.¹³ When examining the process of principal evaluation more closely, it was found that the usual practices of principal evaluation lacked justification and documentation in terms of the utility, psychometric properties, and accuracy of the instruments.¹⁴ Ginsberg and Thompson commented that "the state of research on principal evaluation emphasizes the lack of empirically supported information about best practices."¹⁵

Other flaws in the principal evaluation process include:

- an absence of meaningful and timely feedback from evaluation to most principals;
- a lack of impact and consequence of evaluation;
- an absence of clear communication of criteria and standard protocols in principal evaluation;
- a lack of relevance of the evaluation to enhance principal motivation and improve performance;¹⁶ and

inconsistencies in evaluation instruments that do not align with professional standards, which could produce role conflict and subsequent role strain as principals find it challenging to comprehend what they should focus their attention on.¹⁷

Purposes of Evaluation

The primary purposes of a quality principal evaluation system are to:

- optimize student learning and growth;
- contribute to the successful achievement of the goals and objectives defined in the vision, mission, and goals of the school division;
- provide a basis for leadership improvement through productive principal performance appraisal and professional growth; and
- implement a performance evaluation system that promotes collaboration between the principal and evaluator and promotes self-growth, leadership effectiveness, and improvement of overall job performance.¹¹

¹¹ Catano, N., & Stronge, J. H. (2006); Fuller, E. J., Hollingworth, L., & Liu, J. (2015); Stufflebeam, D., & Nevo, D. (1991).

A high-quality evaluation system includes the following distinguishing characteristics:

- benchmark behaviors for each of the principal performance standards;
- a focus on the relationship between principal performance and improved student learning and growth;
- the use of multiple data sources for documenting performance, including opportunities for principals to present evidence of their own performance as well as student growth;
- a procedure for conducting performance reviews that stresses accountability, promotes professional improvement, and increases principals' involvement in the evaluation process; and
- a support system for providing assistance, including appropriate training for evaluatees and evaluators, when needed.¹²

¹² Anderson, L. M., & Turnbull, B. J. (2016); Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., Thomas, C., & Leon, R. (2011); Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (2009); Marcoux, J., Brown, G., Irby, B. J., & Lara-Alecio, R. (2003); Snyder, J. & Ebmeier, H. (1990).

Principal Growth and Improvement

A principal's professional growth and continuous improvement impact many aspects of a school community, including student and teacher learning, parent and family engagement, policy implementation, and creating and sustaining a supportive school community. As principals gain experience, they grow and become more effective.¹³ However, for this growth and effectiveness to occur, principals need ongoing, high-quality professional development.¹⁴ Using an evaluation system that focuses on professional growth is one way to help principals recognize areas where professional development may help in improving the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Ensuring principal evaluations are educative – that is, they provide useful, important, and beneficial data – is central to helping principals improve their leadership in making evaluation feedback vital to growth.¹⁵ The principals' evaluations should be rigorous, fair, and equitable, with results that are provided with consistency.¹⁶ Evaluations should ensure principals are provided frequent, specific, and high-quality feedback to help improve practice, as well as a support system that promotes using feedback for growth and professional development.¹⁷ Additionally, ensuring the evaluations are used with fidelity by evaluators who are trained in evaluation will reinforce the growth and development of effective leaders in the schools and their communities.¹⁸

Purposes of this Document

This document was developed specifically for use with school principals and assistant principals. For the purpose of this document, the term principal will be used to reference both principals and assistant principals. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems.

The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that principal evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals-Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) that school boards' procedures for evaluating principals and assistant principals address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 (Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

¹³ Bêteille, T., Kalogrides, D., & Loeb, S. (2011).

¹⁴ National Association of Secondary School Principals and National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2013).

¹⁵ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).

¹⁶ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).

¹⁷ Nielsen, J. (2018).

¹⁸ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).

B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives standards included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating. Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum. Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

Section 22.1-294. (Probationary terms of service for principals, assistant principals and supervisors; evaluation; reassigning principal, assistant principal or supervisor to teaching position) states, in part, the following:

B. Each local school board shall adopt for use by the division superintendent clearly defined criteria for a performance evaluation process for principals, assistant principals, and supervisors that are consistent with the performance objectives set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators Principals, and Superintendents* as provided in § 22.1-253.13:5 and that includes, among other things, an assessment of such administrators' skills and knowledge; **student academic progress** [emphasis added] and school gains in student learning; and effectiveness in addressing school safety and enforcing student discipline. The division superintendent shall implement such performance evaluation process in making employment recommendations to the school board pursuant to § 22.1-293....

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* set forth seven~~eight~~ performance standards for all Virginia principals. Pursuant to state law, principal evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* originally approved on February 23, 2012, and subsequently revised on July 23, 2015 and January 10, 2020, provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local principal evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating principals must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and

- include an overall summative rating.

What Can School Divisions Modify?

The *Guidelines* provide a uniform approach to principal evaluation which should be used throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. Certain aspects are prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, while others are highly recommended based on the research surrounding effective principals and best evaluation practices drawn from experiences in using principal evaluation. However, the Virginia Department of Education recognizes the importance of providing local school divisions with the flexibility to make certain modifications to the evaluation system to meet their unique needs. The bullets below describe the major components of the evaluation system and what can and cannot be modified.

- **Performance Standards:** Performance standards should not be modified.
- **Performance Indicators:** Performance indicators are based on the research relating to effective principals, but school divisions may modify them to meet their needs or areas of focus.
- **Performance Rubrics:** School divisions may modify performance rubrics, but the *Effective* level is written as the actual performance standard, so it should not be modified. Also note that the descriptions in the rubrics were intentionally constructed in a parallel manner so that the descriptors use similar terminology (i.e., *Highly Effective* uses “role model,” *Approaching Effective* uses “inconsistent,” and *Ineffective* uses “inadequate” or “fails to.”)
- **Informal Observations/School Site Visits:** Observations and school site visits are optional but recommended. The implementation details (e.g., frequency, duration, completion dates) are left to the local school division.
- **Documentation Evidence:** The use of documentation evidence is optional, but recommended as it provides principals input into their evaluation and provides evidence for those performance standards that are not easily observed.
- **Teacher/Staff Surveys:** Surveys are optional, but recommended as perceptions of principal performance provide a valuable source of feedback. Survey questions provided in this handbook have been specifically selected to address the performance standards but may be modified by the local school division.
- **Measures of Student Progress:** The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met in the evaluation is the responsibility of local school boards. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress (e.g., progress tables, goal setting for student achievement, other valid measures).
- **Other Data Sources:** Data sources other than those specified above (e.g., conferences, meetings) may be used as determined by the local school division.

- Rating Levels: The rating level names are highly recommended but may be modified by the local school division.
- Interim Evaluation: All beginning principals should receive an interim review to provide systematic feedback prior to the summative evaluation.
- Summative Evaluation: The *Code of Virginia* requires all principals to receive a summative evaluation.
- Single Summative Rating: All principals will receive a single summative rating to provide an overall rating of the principal's performance. The *Guidelines* provide suggested weightings.
- Forms: The forms provided in the *Guidelines* have been developed to include the required information, but school divisions may modify them as needed.

●

Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Principals

The uniform performance standards for principals are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual principal initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each principal by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

Defining Principal Performance Standards

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the principal performance standards. A fair, ~~and~~ comprehensive, ~~and~~ equitable evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both principals and evaluators (i.e., superintendent, supervisor) reasonably understand the job expectations.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered system consisting of ~~approach of~~ eight performance standards and multiple performance indicators affiliated with each performance standard intended to define the expectations for principal performance. Principals will be rated on the performance standards using performance rubrics, which are presented in Part 5.

Performance Standards

Performance standards define the criteria expected when principals perform their major duties. For all principals, there are ~~seven~~ eight performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Performance Standards

1. Instructional Leadership <i>The principal fosters drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i>
2. School Climate <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</i>
3. Human Resources Management Leadership <i>The principal fosters effective provides human resources management leadership by assisting with selection and induction, and by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</i>
4. Organizational Management

	<i>The principal fosters cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.</i>
5. Communication and Community Relations	<i>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, and collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.</i>
6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership¹⁹	<i>The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.</i>
67. Professionalism	<i>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i>
78. Student Academic Progress	<i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i>

Performance Indicators

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which principals are meeting each standard. This helps principals and their evaluators clarify performance levels and job expectations. ~~That is, the performance indicators provide the answer to what must be performed.~~ Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being fulfilled successfully met. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive; and they are ~~is~~ not intended to be prescriptive. It should be noted that indicators in one performance standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Evaluators and principals should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a principal's performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow on the following subsequent pages.

¹⁹ The VDOE Department of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion recommends the following resources: [Navigating EdEquityVA Roadmap](#); (Glossary of Key Terms – pp. 9-10; Virginia Equity Priorities: *Increasing the Cultural Competency of Virginia's Educator Workforce* – p. 21; Culturally Responsive Educators-Competencies – p. 23); [Report of Virginia's African American History Education Commission \(Subcommittee on Professional Development-Defining Key Terms](#) – p. 14; [Defining Culturally Responsive Practice for Virginia Educators](#) – pp. 16-18); PD Recommendations – p. 19); and [EdEquityVA Webpage on CR Resources](#).

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal ~~fosters~~ **drives** the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and ~~sustainment~~ **implementation** of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with ~~staff~~, students, parents/**caregivers**, **staff**, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance **rigorous and relevant** teaching and student academic progress; and **that** lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 **Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.**
- 1.34 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions ~~to that~~ **to** improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and ~~improve~~ **maximize** overall school effectiveness.
- 1.45 ~~Possesses~~ **Acquires and shares** knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.56 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.67 ~~Provides teachers with~~ **Generates, aligns, and leverages** resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.78 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/**caregivers**, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.89 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of ~~effective and~~ **effective and** efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.910 Provides the **expectation and** focus for ~~continued~~ **continuous** learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.4011 ~~Promotes and s~~ **Supports professional development and instructional** **planning and delivery** practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.11 ~~Participates in professional development alongside teachers when instructional strategies are being taught for future implementation.~~
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of **sustained** professional development by **participating in and** providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff ~~to participate in for~~ professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, **action research**).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, **instructional practices**, ~~school improvement, and student academic progress.~~

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, **nurturing**, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, **welcoming**, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 **Uses data and** incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, **leadership-emotional**, and **political-behavioral** dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, **engaging** academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, **staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and community**.
- 2.3 ~~Utilizes~~ **Uses** shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships **and engage** with all stakeholders and ~~maintain~~ **enhance** positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power **to promote growth, change, and innovation**.
- 2.5 ~~Maintains a collegial environment and supports the~~ **Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders** through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 **Identifies and** addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, ~~and/or~~ implements, monitors, **and communicates** a ~~safe school~~ **safety** plan that manages crisis situations in an ~~effective-appropriate~~ and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, ~~staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and the community~~ to create, **promote**, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management ~~that are effective within the school community~~ and communicates behavior management expectations to students, ~~teachers, and parents/~~ **caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders**.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, **parents/caregivers, staff** ~~teachers~~, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, **collegial**, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student ~~and values every student as an important member of the school community~~.
- 2.12 **Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.**

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership

The principal ~~fosters effective~~ provides human resources ~~management~~ leadership by ~~assisting with selection and induction, and by~~ selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively ~~participates~~ leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a ~~mentoring~~ development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel, ~~as well as~~ and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally, and ~~gain self-confidence in their skills.~~

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal ~~fosters~~ cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision ~~efficiently for the physical plant and~~ of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.45 Analyzes data to identify and plan for ~~Identifies potential~~ organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and ~~deals with~~ resolves them in a timely, consistent, and effective ~~appropriate~~ manner.
- 4.56 Establishes and uses accepted procedures to ~~D~~develops short- and long-term goals through effective allocation of resources ~~to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.~~
- 4.67 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.78 Plans and prepares a fiscally responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.89 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.910 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and~~ collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, ~~parent,~~ and other stakeholder input to promote effective sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and c Communicates long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan to all stakeholders.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to staff students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in an clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.78 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.89 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to effectively utilize capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.910 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and local, division, ~~and state~~ decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.101 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

*Note: Equity denotes the fairness of opportunities for student learning and success.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Principal Evaluation Work Group, October-November, 2021

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 67.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes on a daily basis.
- 67.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional and ethical guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 67.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 67.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive competency to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 67.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 67.6 Maintains a positive, and forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 67.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 67.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, and support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 67.9 Assumes responsibility for Engages in personal professional development to that positively impacts school effectiveness. by contributing to and supporting the development of the profession through service as an instructor, mentor, coach, presenter, and/or researcher.
- 67.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 78.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Utilizes ~~Utilizes~~ Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress ~~and school improvement~~.
- 78.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 78.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.
- 78.5 Utilizes ~~Utilizes~~ Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and ~~targeted~~ professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with ~~faculty/staff~~, students, ~~parents/caregivers, staff~~, and other stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.
- 78.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 78.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 78: If a principal effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 78: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia principal evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 78 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis, along with your data analysis tools, should be used where applicable.

Part 3: Documenting Principal Performance

The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. Multiple data sources provide for a comprehensive and authentic “performance portrait” of the principal’s work. The sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on principal performance.

Figure 3.1: *Suggested Documentation Sources for Principal Evaluation*

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation (Recommended)	Self-evaluation reveals principals’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of a self-evaluation should inform principals’ personal goals for professional development.
Informal Observation/ School Site Visits (Recommended)	Informal observations/school site visits, applied in a variety of settings, provide information on a wide range of contributions made by principals. Informal observations/school site visits may range from watching how a principal interacts with others, to observing programs and shadowing the administrator.
Portfolio/ Documentation Log Evidence (Recommended)	Portfolios/d-Documentation Logs evidence provide documentation includes items generated by principals as evidence of meeting the seven eight performance standards.
Teacher/Staff Survey (Recommended)	Climate S surveys provide information to principals about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the principal who prepares a survey summary for inclusion in the portfolio/document log documentation Logevidence.
Goal Setting (Recommended)	Principals, in conjunction with their evaluators, set goals for professional growth and school improvement.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a principal evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs.

To address the contextual nature of the principal’s job, each principal should provide a school profile narrative to his or her evaluator. This may be done via the *Student Academic Progress Goal Setting Form*. It is strongly recommended that the principal also discuss the unique characteristics of the school with the evaluator.

Alignment of Performance Standards with Data Sources

Whether a principal is meeting the performance standards may be evidenced through multiple data sources. Figure 3.2 shows the alignment of performance standards by data sources.

Figure 3.2: Aligning Multiple Data Sources with Performance Standards

Performance Standard	Self-Evaluation	Informal Observation/School Site Visits	Portfolio/Documentation Log	Teacher/Staff Survey*	Goal Setting
1. Instructional Leadership	/	/	X	X	
2. School Climate	/	X	X	X	
3. Human Resources Management	/		X	X	
4. Organizational Management	/	/	X	/	
5. Communication and Community Relations	/	X	X	/	
6. Professionalism	/	X	X	/	X
7. Student Academic Progress			X		X

* Survey summaries are part of the portfolio/documentation log.

X = Primary Data Source / = Secondary Data Source

Evaluators may choose to use the *Formative Assessment Form* at the end of the chapter to document evidence from any of these sources.

Self-Evaluation

Self-evaluation is a process by which one may judge **reflect on** the effectiveness and adequacy of his or her performance, effects, knowledge, and beliefs for ~~the purpose of~~ self-improvement.²⁰ By thinking about what works, what does not work, and what types of changes one might make to be more successful, the likelihood of knowing how to improve and actually making the improvements increases dramatically.²¹ ~~Evidence suggests that self-evaluation is a critical component of the evaluation process and is strongly encouraged.~~ Furthermore, self-evaluation can help a principal to target areas for professional development. A sample *Principal Self-Evaluation Form* is provided on the following pages.

²⁰ Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013). ~~Airason, P. W. & Gullickson, A. (2006).~~

²¹ Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013). ~~Tucker, P. D., Stronge, J. H., & Garcis, C. R. (2002).~~

SAMPLE Principal Self-Evaluation Form

Directions: Principals ~~should~~ may use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Principal: _____

Date: _____

1. Instructional Leadership

The principal ~~fosters~~ drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

2. School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

3. Human Resources Management Leadership

The principal ~~fosters effective~~ provides human resources management leadership by ~~assisting with selection and induction, and by~~ selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

4. Organizational Management

The principal fosters ~~cultivates~~ the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

5. Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and collaborating,~~ and engaging ~~effectively~~ with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

67. Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating ~~behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics,~~ engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

78. Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

Informal Observations/School Site Visits

Informal observations/school site visits, applied in a variety of settings, provide information on a wide range of contributions made by principals. Informal observations/school site visits may range from watching how a principal interacts with others to observing programs and shadowing the administrator.

Site visits are a method by which evaluators may gain insight into whether principals are meeting the performance standards. Evaluators are encouraged to conduct multiple site visits to the principal's school. During a site visit, evaluators should discuss various aspects of the job with the principal. This can take the form of a formal interview or a less structured discussion. Through questioning, the evaluator may help the principal reflect on his or her performance, which may provide insight into how the principal is addressing the standards. **When using documentation evidence,** Such a discussion may also help the principal to think through the artifacts he or she might submit to the evaluator to demonstrate proficiency in each standard. In addition, evaluators can use the principal's responses to the questions to determine issues they would like to further explore with the principal's faculty and staff. Furthermore, it is recognized that in many cases it takes time to effect change in a school, and by having an honest, open discussion, the principal is provided an opportunity to explain the successes and trials the school community has experienced ~~in relation to~~ **concerning** school changes. The site visit also provides an opportunity for the evaluator to offer feedback. Suggested guiding questions an evaluator may want to address are included on the *Informal Observation/Site Visit Form* on the following page. Following the site visit, evaluators should provide **timely and written** feedback to the principal.

SAMPLE Informal Observation/School Site Visit Form

Directions: Evaluators ~~should~~ **may** use this form to document evidence related to the standards obtained from informal observations or site visits. Suggested guiding questions for discussion are listed under each standard.

Principal's Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Performance Standard 1. Instructional Leadership

The principal ~~fosters~~ **drives** the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- ~~What opportunities have you created this year for~~ **How have you facilitated** collaboration among teachers?
- How have you strived ~~this year~~ to improve the teachers' effective instructional practices associated with different subject areas?
- How do you ~~make~~ **en**sure curriculum standards are taught by the teachers and mastered by the students?
- How do you ~~monitor~~ **support** teachers' performance and provide constructive feedback to them?
- ~~What types of teacher learning and development activities or programs have you participated in~~ **or provided this year?** What have you learned?
- How do you involve the expertise of teacher leaders?

Comments:

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- Please give some examples of the strategies you use to create and sustain a positive and safe learning environment in your school.
- What are the strategies you use to nurture and sustain a climate of trust in your school?
- Please provide a few examples of how you model care for children or model other desired characteristics for teachers and staff. Explain how you model desired or expected behaviors and characteristics for students and staff.
- How do you foster positive school attendance for students?
- What are the internal and external factors that you perceive are affecting your school?
- How have you strived this year to make the school environment more academically rigorous?

Comments:**Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership**

The principal fosters effective provides human resources management leadership by assisting with selection and induction, and by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- Please give examples of professional development initiatives implemented and/or continued sustained this school year to improve teacher performance.
- In what ways do you support the achievements of high-performing teachers?
- How do you support growth among teachers who have yet to meet the standard of effectiveness?
- How do you ensure new teachers and staff receive the support they need during their first year?
- How do you acclimate new teachers and staff to the expectations of your building?
- How do you foster an atmosphere of professional learning among staff?
- What are the most difficult human resources management decisions you have made this year since your last summative evaluation? What aspects went well and what aspects were challenging?

Comments:

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal ~~fosters~~ cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- How do you establish routines and procedures for the smooth running of the school that staff members understand and follow?
- What information is used to inform the decisions related to organizational management?
- Instructional time is one of the most essential resources for student success in learning. ~~What are you doing to~~ How do you protect instructional time?
- ~~What are the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges you have perceived in your school's organizational management?~~ What strategies do you use to ensure the most effective organizational model within your building?

Comments:

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and~~ collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- How do you engage in open dialogue with multiple stakeholders from the larger school community?
- How do you involve parents and families in student learning?
- How do you disseminate needed information (such as student academic progress) to students, staff, parents, and the greater learning community?
- Please give an example of how you network with individuals and groups outside the school (e.g., business and government organizations) to build partnerships for pursuing shared goals.
- How do you promote the positive happenings or successes of your building?

Comments:

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts

- How do you collaborate with teachers/staff and community members who may have different viewpoints concerning cultural issues?
- How have you implemented programs and procedures that address the differentiated needs of your teachers/staff and students?
- In what ways do you encourage and model civil discourse among teachers/staff and families who might have differing viewpoints on cultural issues within your school?
- How do you articulate the importance of equitable access to resources as a priority to parents and community members?
- How are students' voices included in school events with respect to differing viewpoints?
- How does your budget reflect your commitment to equitable opportunities for student learning and success?
- Please give an example of how your behaviors, attitudes, and procedures are congruent and whether they allow for differences.
- How have you examined how your background may influence your behaviors and attitudes toward students/staff/community members whose background(s) and lifestyle(s) may differ from your own and what is comfortable to you?
- How have you provided opportunities for students, staff, and your school community to engage in cultural competency learning?

Comments:**Performance Standard 67: Professionalism**

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- How do you communicate professional the mission, vision, and beliefs and values to all stakeholders?
- Give an example of a skill strategy that you learned during professional interactions with colleagues that you have used successfully in your school.
- What professional learning have you sought out this year since the last evaluation cycle?
- In what ways have you observed a change in your role as a school leader and your leadership style?
- In what ways do you take an active role in professional organizations provide service to the profession (e.g., mentoring, involvement in professional organizations, presenting at conferences)?

Comments:

--

Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *What is the goal setting process in your school for student academic achievement?*
- *What role do stakeholders play in developing and monitoring the school improvement plan?*
- *Please give some examples of the goals your school has set this year that are directly associated with student achievement.*
- *What data are used to measure student academic progress, and how does research support its use as a measure of progress?*
- *Please explain how interventions are designed, and implemented, and evaluated to support student learning.*
- *What type of mid-course corrective actions do you take to accomplish desired student academic outcomes?*
- *How do you empower teachers to be truly engaged in improving student success?*

Comments:

Evaluator's Signature

Date

Portfolio/Documentation Evidence Log

School divisions should consider a version of a portfolio or documentation log to best fit their needs. Artifacts of a principal's performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that principals actually do; how they support student growth, and how they contribute to their own professional growth and development. These artifacts can be organized as portfolios or document logs as a formal aspect of the data collection system. Various school divisions call the principals' own documentation of their work by various names, but the purpose is essentially the same — to provide evidence of leadership excellence.

Documentation provides evaluators with information related to specific standards and provides principals with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with their evaluators. Documentation can confirm a principal's effort to document exemplary performance, can show continuing work at a proficient level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously identified deficiency.

Artifacts should be authentic and are not created solely for the portfolio or documentation log, as documentation evidence, but are readily reviewed in portfolio/document log form. They should provide evidence of one or more of the performance standards. Each artifact may include a caption since the artifact will be viewed in a context other than that for which it was developed. Within that binder or folder the log, principals may organize the material in any way they see fit; however, the emphasis should be on the quality of work, not the quantity of materials presented. One to three quality artifacts per standard are recommended.

Portfolios

The professional portfolio is an organized collection of work that demonstrates the educator's skills, talents, and accomplishments for the evaluation cycle. It contains a broader, more comprehensive collection of material than does a document log, and the selection of material to be included is often at the discretion of the principal. The portfolio provides an opportunity to demonstrate professional competence with regard to meeting performance standards and is therefore an important part of the evaluation process. Written analysis and reflection about artifacts should be included in the portfolio to provide insight into the rationale for the events and process documented in each entry. The portfolio is an official document that is maintained by the principal and reviewed periodically by the evaluator. It is the property of the principal and follows the principal when work assignments change. The division should provide the guidelines for the portfolio and may provide the physical notebook, cover, and dividers if it is to be submitted in hard copy.

A sample of the table of contents for a portfolio is provided on the next page. The principal may complete a table of contents for each performance standard including the activity names and any comments and place the artifacts immediately behind it.

Document Logs

~~Document logs are similar in many ways to portfolios, yet are typically more concise. They tend to contain a more confined collection of specific artifacts, sometimes containing just those documents required by the school division.~~

A sample *Documentation Log Evidence Cover Sheet* is provided on the following page. This sheet is designed to help a principal organize documents. Also, the sheet provides examples of the types of material a principal might consider providing to show evidence of ~~proficiency~~ **effectiveness** in the ~~seven-eight~~ performance standards.

While the preceding paragraphs have referred to the principal providing his or her own documentation as evidence of meeting the performance standards, evaluators ~~are free to maintain their own~~ **may use additional** documentation (e.g., evaluator notes or a running record) relative to the principal's performance. This type of evaluator documentation may come from a variety of sources such as those mentioned in the *Informal Observation/School Site Visit* section (informally observing the principal during meetings, watching his or her interactions with others, etc.). This type of documentation should be considered along with the principal's own documentation when making formative and summative assessments. As such, evaluators should write comments related to their own documentation on the *Formative Assessment Form* or the *Summative Assessment Form*, as applicable.

SAMPLE Documentation Log Evidence Cover Sheet

Directions: The principal ~~should~~ may list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation also may need to be supplemented with ~~conversation, discussion,~~ and/or annotations to clarify the principal’s practice and process for the evaluator.

Principal: _____

School: _____ School Year: _____

Standard	Documentation Evidence Included	Principal Reflective Comments
<p>1. Instructional Leadership <i>The principal fosters drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p>		
<p>2. School Climate <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</i></p>		
<p>3. Human Resources Management Leadership <i>The principal fosters effective provides human resources management leadership by assisting with selection and induction, and by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</i></p>		

<p>4. Organizational Management <i>The principal fosters cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>		
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, and collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.</i></p>		
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership <i>The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.</i></p>		
<p>67. Professionalism <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>		
<p>78. Student Academic Progress <i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate</i></p>		

<i>student academic progress based on established standards.</i>		
--	--	--

Principals are strongly encouraged to reflect on their artifacts although this is optional based on school division policy. School divisions may modify this form to allow principals to provide reflections, either on the actual artifact or via electronic platform tools.

Suggested documentation that may be included: (This list is intended to provide examples and will vary based on the school's unique characteristics.)

1. Instructional Leadership: school improvement plan; strategic plan; vision/mission/core belief statements; staff evaluation grid; leadership/school improvement team agendas; building administrator responsibility chart; professional goals; master schedule; student progress monitoring data; schedules for students in the alternative education program; project-specific summaries of a goal; compliance with *Standards of Accreditation*; program development; staff development plan; school committees and members; **classroom observation feedback provided to teachers.**

2. School Climate: monthly discipline report; **monthly attendance report;** Teacher of the Year recommendation; annual report of discipline, crime, and violence; teacher/staff appreciation; summary of surveys of staff; student recognition; student groups/clubs.

3. Human Resources Management Leadership: staff evaluation schedule including observation schedule; evidence of teachers and staff serving as leaders in the school, school division, and school community; monthly discipline report by teacher; teacher licensure renewal schedule; staff evaluations; staff recognition program; Performance Improvement Plans; mentorship program.

4. Organizational Management: building schedules; administrator responsibility chart; master schedule and course compliance; facility use log; physical plant and grounds management schedule; annual financial audits; **attempts to collect outstanding fees and/or obligations uncollected debts;** inventory records; career and technical education compliance; **state and federal mandated special education compliance; long-range goals; short-range goals crisis plans and safety drill documentation; health and medical compliance; completion of annual school safety audit.**

5. Communication and Community Relations: faculty meeting agendas; newsletters; PAC/PTO/PTA agendas; **event calendars; flyers;** optional parent/community survey; ~~W~~web site link; ~~completion of annual school safety audit;~~ Safe School's committee agendas and minutes of meetings; School Health Advisory Board agendas and minutes of meetings; media communications; presentation to civic/community groups; **social media presence.**

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership: samples of culturally-diverse programs or communications; school-level cultural competency professional learning plans, agendas, presentation materials; titles of culturally-diverse books suggested to or used with the staff; differentiated programs.

67. Professionalism: staff development activity agendas; department/grade level meeting documentation; summary of staff surveys; professional conference attendance; professional organization membership; academic course transcripts; sample stakeholder correspondence; public speaking engagements; professional publications and/or conference presentations, and documentation of leadership mentoring or coaching.

78. Student Academic Progress: analysis of grades for the marking period; documentation of meeting established annual goals (e.g., school improvement plan); progress (value) table data, if available and appropriate; data on student achievement from other valid, reliable sources (e.g., percent of students taking the SATs, pattern of improvement in advanced pass rate on Standards of Learning assessments, etc.); evidence of growth in identified student groups; increased student enrollment in and completion of advanced coursework and/or CTE pathways. *See listing in the Goal Setting section of this document.*

Teacher/Staff Surveys

Surveys are an important data collection tool used to gather client (in this instance, teacher/staff) data regarding their perceptions of the principal's performance. Among the advantages of using a survey design include the rapid turnaround in data collection, the limited cost in gathering the data, and the ability to infer perceptions of a larger population from smaller groups of individuals.

One of the benefits of using surveys is that the collected information may help the principal set goals for continuous improvement (i.e., for formative evaluation) – in other words, to provide feedback directly to the principal for professional growth and development.

~~Teacher/staff surveys also may be used to provide information to evaluators that may not be accurately obtained through other types of documentation.~~

Principals ~~should~~ may administer annual teacher/staff surveys according to school division guidelines during a specified time period (for example, the second nine weeks). The principal will retain sole access to the teacher/staff surveys; however, the principal will may provide a summary of the surveys to the evaluator as part of the portfolio/documentation Log evidence.

The survey asks teachers/staff to report on items that they have directly experienced. The survey questions address the first six seven of the leader performance standards. At the principal's discretion, additional questions may be added to the survey. The table of specifications in Figure 3.3 illustrates the alignment between the survey items and performance standards.

Figure 3.3: Table of Specifications

Principal Performance Standards	Survey Item #
1 - Instructional Leadership	1, 3, 10, 13, 18, 21-4
2 - School Climate	7, 11, 14, 22, 25-8
3 - Human Resources Management Leadership	4, 5, 12, 15, 21, 22, 29-13
4 - Organizational Management	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 20, 14-19
5 - Communication and Community Relations	2, 17, 20, 21, 23, 20-23
6 - Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership	24-28
7 - Professionalism	11, 19, 24, 25, 29-31

The evaluation survey described above should not be confused with the school surveys that are developed and administered by VDOE on a biennial basis. The VDOE surveys help evaluate school-level teaching conditions and the impact such conditions have on teacher retention and student achievement. Separate VDOE surveys are administered to classroom instructors, staff, and students (<https://www.doe.virginia.gov/support/school-climate/index.shtml> and <https://www.dcjs.virginia.gov/virginia-center-school-and-campus-safety/school-safety-survey/secondary-school-climate-survey>).

SAMPLE Teacher/Staff Survey²²

Principal's Name: _____ Date: _____

Survey Respondent is: Teacher/Instructional Staff Support Staff

Directions: Please respond to each statement fairly to help the principal improve his/her performance. If an area is marked with a D, please provide a written explanation. The principal will tally the results and share them with his/her immediate supervisor.

Key: E – Exceeds expectations of performance M – Meets expectations of performance
 D – Demonstrates unacceptable performance N – No basis for judgment

The principal...	E	M	D	N
1. Is interested in building a quality school which provides quality education. Demonstrates a vested interest in the goals of the school community.				
32. Visits my classroom or work space. Is visible and accessible in the school.				
133. Keeps class interruptions to a minimum Protects instructional time.				
184. Gives Provides leadership in the improvement of instruction.				
115. Treats all teachers/staff and students fairly equitably.				
146. Assists in the supervision of students in the halls and cafeteria Provides supervision in unstructured settings.				
227. Builds/maintains desirable morale level among teachers/staff.				
8. Fosters a caring school climate that is welcoming for staff, students, families, and community members (or other stakeholders).				
49. Makes helpful recommendations to me for improvement of performance.				
510. Carries out the teacher/staff evaluation program as it is outlined.				
1211. Supports teachers in conferences with students and/or parents to the extent circumstances permit.				
1512. Seeks teacher/staff recommendations for meaningful in-service programs professional development.				
13. Provides meaningful professional development.				
614. Uses judgment, creativity, and logical thinking in solving problems.				
715. Initiates change for the good of students and for the running the improvement of the school.				
8. Balances curricular and co-curricular assignments/duties.				
916. Procures needed materials and equipment.				
1017. Involves teachers appropriately in decision-making.				
1618. Keeps paperwork to a minimum.				
2019. Involves teachers in developing the biannual school improvement plan.				
220. Maintains open lines of communication with employees.				
1721. Keeps teachers/staff informed appropriately of communications from the superintendent and other central office personnel.				

²² Questions adapted from prior work with Orange County Public Schools

The principal...	E	M	D	N
22. Gives constructive criticism to teachers in private.				
23. Listens to the views of and other citizens and implements their recommendations when feasible. Seeks to problem-solve with students, parents, stakeholders				
24. Demonstrates an appreciation of teacher/staff and student cultural diversity.				
25. Provides equal opportunities to teachers/staff and students regardless of their gender, culture, or political views.				
26. Uses disaggregated data to implement policies and programs which addresses differentiated student needs.				
27. Builds organizational capacity to create and maintain an affirming school environment.				
28. Encourages and models civil discourse among teachers/staff and students who may have different viewpoints on cultural issues.				
1929. Keeps current on and Shares current educational research, and trends, and best practices with stakeholders.				
2430. Displays a pleasant disposition Models professionalism.				
2531. Earns respect from teachers Maintains positive rapport with teachers/staff.				

COMMENTS:

SAMPLE Survey Summary Form

Principal's Name: _____

Date: _____

School: _____

School Year: _____ - _____

*Directions: Principals ~~should~~ **may** tabulate and analyze the teacher/staff surveys and provide a summary of the results. This ~~should~~ **may** be included as part of the principal's documentation.*

1. How many surveys did you distribute?
2. How many completed surveys were returned?
3. What is the percentage of completed questionnaires you received? _____ %

Teacher/Staff Satisfaction Analysis

4. Describe your survey population(s).
5. List factors that might have influenced the results.
6. Analyze survey responses and answer the following questions:

A) What did teachers/staff perceive as your major strengths?

B) What did teachers/staff perceive as your major weaknesses?

C) How can you use this information for continuous professional growth?

SAMPLE Formative Assessment Form

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards from discussions with the principal, site visitations, student academic progress and achievement data, and documentation provided by the principal. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Principal's Name: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal ~~fosters~~ drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and ~~sustainment~~ **implementation** of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with ~~staff~~, students, parents/**caregivers**, **staff**, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance **rigorous and relevant** teaching and student academic progress; and **that** lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 **Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.**
- 1.4 **Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions to that** improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and ~~improve~~ **maximize** overall school effectiveness.
- 1.5 ~~Possesses~~ **Acquires and shares** knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.6 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.7 ~~Provides teachers with~~ **Generates, aligns, and leverages** resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.8 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/**caregivers**, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.9 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of ~~effective and~~ efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.10 Provides the **expectation and** focus for ~~continued~~ **continuous** learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.11 ~~Promotes and s~~ Supports professional development and instructional **planning and delivery** practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress. ~~Participates in professional development alongside teachers when instructional strategies are being taught for future implementation.~~
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of **sustained** professional development by **participating in and** providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff ~~to participate in for~~ professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, **action research**).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, **instructional practices**, school improvement, and student academic progress.

Comments:

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, leadership emotional, and political behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and community.
- 2.3 Utilizes Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and maintain enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Maintains a collegial environment and supports the Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and Addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, and/or implements, monitors, and communicates a safe school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an effective appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and the community to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management that are effective within the school community and communicates behavior management expectations to students, teachers, and parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff teachers, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student and values every student as an important member of the school community.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.

Comments:

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership

The principal ~~fosters effective~~ provides human resources management leadership by ~~assisting with selection and induction, and by~~ selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively ~~participates~~ leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a ~~mentoring~~ development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel, as well as and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally, and gain self-confidence in their skills.

Comments:

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal ~~fosters~~ cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision ~~efficiently for the physical plant and of~~ all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.45 Analyzes data to identify and plan for ~~Identifies potential~~ organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and ~~deals with~~ resolves them in a timely, consistent, and ~~effective~~ appropriate manner.
- 4.56 Establishes and uses accepted procedures to ~~D~~develops short- and long-term goals through effective allocation of resources to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.67 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.78 Plans and prepares a fiscally responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.89 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.910 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Comments:

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and collaborating,~~ and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, ~~parent,~~ and other stakeholder input to promote effective sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and cCommunicates long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan to all stakeholders.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to staff students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in an clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.78 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.89 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to effectively utilize capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.910 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and local, division, and state decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.101 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Comments:

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

Comments:

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 67.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes on a daily basis.
- 67.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional and ethical guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 67.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 67.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive competency to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 67.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 67.6 Maintains a positive, and forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 67.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 67.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, and support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 67.9 Assumes responsibility for Engages in personal professional development to that positively impacts school effectiveness. by contributing to and supporting the development of the profession through service as an instructor, mentor, coach, presenter, and/or researcher.
- 67.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Comments:

<p>Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress <i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p> <p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i></p> <p>The principal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 78.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress. 78.2 Utilizes Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress and school improvement. 78.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders. 78.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies. 78.5 Utilizes Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes. 78.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals. 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with faculty/staff, students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders. 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines. 78.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks. 78.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes. <p>Comments:</p>

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

 Evaluator's Signature

 Date

 Evaluator's Name

Part 4: Connecting Principal Performance to Student Academic Progress

School leadership is the second most influential school-level factor on student achievement behind teacher quality.²³ Research in the field has consistently revealed that school leadership has an impact on student achievement gains or progress over years.²³ Research has shown the effectiveness of a principal can add an important and significant boost to student performance and accounts for 15 percent of the overall school effect on student achievement.²⁴ Whereas a teacher's impact is generally direct, a school leader's impact on student achievement is primarily indirect by promoting of a vision and goals, influencing school conditions, affecting teacher quality and placement, driving instructional quality, and ensuring teachers have the resources available and processes in place to be successful.²⁵ a school with strong leadership can have a positive effect on student learning, whereas a school with ineffective leadership can negatively affect student achievement.²⁵ Research also strongly supports the argument that ineffective teachers negatively impact students' learning while effective teachers lead to higher student achievement growth. Principals represent a key component in this equation as they Principals are charged with supporting and accurately evaluating teachers, and in many cases, are directly responsible for selecting and retaining them. Therefore, an effective principal is an essential element in a successful school. And, in the converse, research has shown that ineffective principals can have a negative effect on teacher and student performance.²⁶ Using measures of student academic progress to inform principal evaluation only makes sense because of the most direct measure of teacher quality appears to be student achievement, and principals have a direct impact on teacher quality overarching influence that principals have on school success an student learning. Simply put, schools do not rise above the quality of their principals.

Why Connect Principal Performance to Student Academic Progress?

There are many reasons for including student academic progress as part of the principal evaluation process:

- Principals have an indirect, but powerful, influence on student achievement. The effect is most apparent through principals' influence on those who directly interact with students in instructional settings.²⁶

²³ Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Feters, J. (2012).

²⁴ Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).

²⁵ Brewer, D. (1993); Bruggencate, G., Luyten, H., Scheerens, J., & Slegers, P. (2012); Burkhauser, S. (2017); Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Feters, J. (2012); Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (2010a); Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (2010b); Leitner, D. (1994); Meyers, C., & Hitt, D. (2017); Robinson, V., Lloyd, C., & Rowe, K. (2008); Sebastian, J., & Allensworth, E. (2012); Witziers, B., Bosker, R., & Krüger, M. (2003).

²⁶ Heck, R., & Marcoulidies, G. (1996); Leithwood, K., & Janzi, D. (2006); Liu, S., & Hallinger, P. (2018); Waters, T., Marzano, R., & McNulty, B. (2003).

- Principals influence student achievement through their leadership style²⁷ and their influence on school climate.²⁸
- Principals of schools with high student achievement empower teachers to focus on student achievement and to make their own decisions in the classroom.²⁹
- A strong leader committed to education is a common element in schools with at-risk populations that exceed expectations for student achievement.³⁰

Implementation Concerns

The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. When deciding to include student academic progress in principal evaluation, divisions need to be aware of several implementation concerns:

- The increased focus on using student learning measures in principal evaluation may be new for some principals and their evaluators. Thus, there may be initial concerns to this change in evaluation practices.
- Testing programs in many states and school divisions do not fully reflect the taught curriculum, and it is important to choose multiple measures that reflect the intended curriculum.
- While the Virginia Department of Education is providing progress (value) table data, this measure will only be calculated for teachers of grades 4-8 in reading and mathematics and in Algebra I through grade 9.

Virginia Law

Virginia law requires principals, assistant principals, and teachers to be evaluated using measures of student academic progress. Section 22.1-294 of the *Code of Virginia* (Probationary terms of service for principals, assistant principals and supervisors; evaluation; reassigning principal, assistant principal or supervisor to teaching position) states, in part, the following:

- B. Each local school board shall adopt for use by the division superintendent clearly defined criteria for a performance evaluation process for principals, assistant principals, and supervisors that are consistent with the performance **objectives standards** set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators Principals, and Superintendents* as provided in § 22.1-253.13:5 and that includes, among other things, an assessment of such administrators' skills and knowledge; **student academic progress** [emphasis added] and school gains in student learning; and effectiveness in addressing school safety and enforcing student discipline. The division superintendent shall implement such performance evaluation process in making employment recommendations to the school board pursuant to § 22.1-293.

Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Principal Evaluation

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. There are three key points to consider in this model:

1. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress.
2. For elementary and middle school principals:
 - Progress (value) table data as provided ~~from~~ by the Virginia Department of Education may be used when the data are available and can be used appropriately.²⁷
 - *Student Academic Progress Goals* with evidence that the alternative measure is valid may be used. **Note:** Whenever possible, it is recommended that the second progress measure be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available in the school. These should include improvement in achievement measures (e.g., Standards of Learning assessment results, **Student Growth Assessments**, state benchmarks, **local or division assessment results**) for the school.
3. For high school principals: The principal evaluation should be measured using *Student Academic Progress Goals* with evidence that the alternative measure is valid. These should include improvement in achievement measures (e.g., Standards of Learning assessment results, state benchmarks, **local or division assessment results**) for the school.

Figure 4.1 provides guidance for incorporating multiple measures of student academic progress into principals' performance evaluations.

²⁷ Information about progress table data will be provided, when available.

Figure 4.1: *Guidance for Incorporating Multiple Measures of Student Academic Progress into Principal Performance Evaluations*

Principal	Application of Progress Table Data	Other Academic Progress Measures
Elementary School and Middle School	Progress table data*	Measures of student academic progress. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quantitative measures already available in the school that are validated and provide measures of growth (as opposed to absolute achievement) should be given priority. Goal setting should incorporate data from valid achievement measures (e.g., SOL assessment results, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) that focus on school improvement whenever possible.
High School	Not applicable	Measures of student academic progress other than the progress table data. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quantitative measures already available in the school that are validated and provide measures of growth (as opposed to absolute achievement) should be given priority. However, school improvement in absolute achievement can be used as an indicator for overall student academic progress. Goal setting should incorporate data from valid achievement measures (e.g., SOL assessment results, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) that focus on school improvement whenever possible.

* When there are not sufficient progress table data to be representative of students in the school, it may be appropriate to use progress table data as one component of the student academic progress standard, incorporating other validated quantitative measures of growth.

Goal Setting

One approach to linking student academic progress to principal performance involves building the capacity for principals and their supervisors to interpret an Effective principals place focus on school goals, including student achievement, by supporting, encouraging, and influencing others to accomplish the school’s goals and objectives.²⁸ To accomplish this, effective principals seek professional development on data analysis in order to monitor, compare, and evaluate data trends to interpret and used student achievement data to set target goals for student improvement²⁹. Setting goals – not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance – is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. *Student Academic Progress Goal Setting* is designed to improve student learning.

²⁸ Ch, A., Ahmad, S., Malik, M., & Batool, A. (2017).

²⁹ Pak, K., & Desimone, L. (2019); Van Geel et al. (2016).

In many cases, measures of student performance can be directly documented. A value-added or gain score approach can be summarized by comparing the Student Learning Beginning Score with the End Result as seen in using the equation in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2: *Gain Score Equation*


$$\begin{array}{r} \text{Student Learning End Result} \\ - \text{Student Learning Beginning Score} \\ \hline \text{Student Gain Score} \end{array}$$

Goal Setting Process

Principals are responsible for setting professional growth goals that are tied directly to school improvement and improved student academic progress and/or to the school's strategic plans that are developed and updated regularly. The number of goals set should not be so numerous that there are too many goals to reach; therefore, diminishing the resources and focus brought to reaching each goal. The evaluator and the principal meet to discuss the baseline data and review the annual goals. New goals are identified each year. The goal should be customized for the particular school and its particular student population. The principal's and school goals should be aligned with division goals and the school improvement process. In fact, a strong school improvement process is synonymous to the goal setting process. The *Student Academic Progress Goal Setting Form* on the following pages may be used for developing and assessing each annual goal. Goals should be measured at the beginning of the year, at mid-year, and at the end of the year to determine the measurable difference. In addition, there should be annual reporting and updates on annual goals and targets.

Goal setting involves several steps, beginning with knowing where students as a whole are in relation to what is expected of them. Then, principals can set specific, measurable goals based on both the demands of the curriculum and the needs of the students. The next part of the process is recursive in that the principal creates and implements strategies and monitors progress, and then makes adjustments to the strategies, as needed. Finally, a summative judgment is made regarding goal attainment over a specific period of time. Figure 4.3 depicts these steps.

Figure 4.3: Goal Setting Process³⁰



Examples of Measures of Student Academic Progress

To be able to measure goal attainment, principals must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school settings. Below are suggested focus areas for goal setting (not intended as an exhaustive list and each school division/school should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school setting) that provide measures of student academic progress that focus on school improvement. Parentheses behind each measure indicate the school level to which the measure applies (elementary, secondary, or both).

Possible Examples of Measures

- Evidence of growth on valid and reliable assessments across reporting groups (both)
- Pattern of improvement in SOL or end of course (EOC) assessment pass rates (both)
- Pattern of improvement in reporting groups achievement on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Pattern of improvement across grade levels on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Decrease in achievement gaps between and among reporting groups on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Pattern of improvement in advanced pass rates on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Increase in the number/percent of students with disabilities meeting their Individualized Education Plan (IEP) goals (both)
- Evidence of student mastery using performance-based assessments (both)
- Increase in the percentage of English Learners (ELs) making progress or proficiency on the English Language Proficiency assessment World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment (WIDA) and increase in the percentage of ELs achieving proficiency on English/reading and mathematics SOL assessments (both)

³⁰ Stronge, J. H. & Grant, L. H. (2008).

- Pattern of improvement on formative assessments across designated reporting groups (both)
- Decrease in the number/percent of children at risk of not learning to read by grade 3 (e.g., from fall to spring each year, reduce the percent of children failing to meet Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening [PALS] benchmarks for being on track to be proficient in reading by grade 3) (elementary)
- Decrease in achievement gaps, as identified by PALS, between and among reporting groups of students identified for reading intervention in grades K-2 and of students identified as meeting the High Benchmark status in spring of grade one (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of students meeting the PALS benchmark for Concept of Word in spring of kindergarten (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of students making at least one year's growth in Instructional Oral Reading Level, as measured by PALS or other valid reading assessments, in grades one through three (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of elementary students successfully meeting Curriculum-Based Measurement benchmarks in English/reading, mathematics, science, and history and social science (elementary)
- Decrease in the percentage of K-2 retentions by demonstrating more students are meeting or exceeding grade-level expectations (elementary)
- Pattern of increased percentage of first- through third-grade students reading on grade level (elementary)
- ~~● Pattern of increased percentage of middle school students taking high school level courses~~
- Increase in examples of nonacademic core middle or high school classes or students receiving prestigious awards on a consistently basis (e.g., art, music, band, speech, theater) (secondary)
- Pattern of increased percentage of students across reporting groups who receive a high school diploma graduate on time (secondary)
- ~~● Increase in the number of students enrolled in college-level courses~~
- ~~● Pattern of increased number of students earning college credit while in high school~~
- Increase in the number/percentage of students in underperforming reporting groups who enroll in college-level courses in high school (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percentage of students in underperforming reporting groups who earn college credit while in high school (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percentage of students, particularly students from underperforming reporting groups, who enroll in and are successful taking are Algebra I ready by eighth grade the time they enter high school (secondary)

- Decrease in the percentage of students who leave eighth grade at risk of not graduating from high school with a Standard or Advanced Studies diploma (secondary)
- Pattern of increased attainment of advanced diplomas across reporting groups (secondary)
- Pattern of increased number of high school students earning career and technical industry certifications, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials (secondary)
- Increase in the percent of students taking the SATs engaging in college prep and/or career readiness activities (PSAT, SATs, etc.) (secondary)
- Increase in the percent of minority reporting group students taking Advanced Placement/dual enrollment courses/IB courses (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percent of students involved in one or more extracurricular activities (secondary)

Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures; other measures are recommended for use when two valid and direct measures of student academic progress are not available.

Developing Goals

Goals are developed early in the school year. The goals describe observable behavior and/or measurable results that would occur when a goal is achieved. The acronym SMART (Figure 4.4) is a useful way to self-assess a goal's feasibility and worth.

Figure 4.4: *Acronym for Developing Goals*

S pecific:	The goal is focused.
M easurable:	An appropriate instrument/measure is selected to assess the goal.
A ppropriate:	The goal is within the principal's control to effect change.
R ealistic:	The goal is feasible for the principal and/or school.
T ime limited:	The goal is contained within a single school year.

Submission of the Goal Setting Form

Principals complete a draft of their goals and schedule a meeting with their evaluators to look at the baseline data and discuss the proposed goal. Each year principals are responsible for submitting their goals to their evaluator early in the school year.

Mid-Year Review of Goal

~~A mid-year review of progress toward the goal is held for all principals. At the evaluator's discretion, this review may be conducted through peer teams or in another format that promotes discussion, collegiality, and reflection. The mid-year review should be held prior to March 1. It is the evaluator's responsibility to establish the format and select the time of the review.~~

~~End-of-Year Review of Goal~~

~~By the appropriate date, as determined by the evaluator, each principal is responsible for assessing the professional growth made on the goal and for submitting documentation to the evaluator. A principal may find it beneficial to draft the next year's goal as part of the reflection process in the event the goal has to be continued and/or revised. By mutual agreement, evaluators and individual principals may extend the due date for the end-of-year reviews in order to include the current year's data. In addition, as noted in the measures of academic achievement/growth noted on the previous page, data from previous years may be used to demonstrate a pattern toward attainment of goals.~~

SAMPLE Student Academic Progress Goal Setting Form

Directions: This form is a tool to assist principals in setting goals that result in measurable progress. There should be goals that directly relate to school improvement goals using student achievement results. All goals should address Standard 78: Student Academic Progress. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Principal’s Name: _____

School: _____ School Year: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

I. School Profile (Describe the school setting and any unique circumstances impacting the school community as a whole.)		
II. Content/Subject/Field Area (Describe the area/topic addressed based on learner achievement, school achievement results, data analysis, or observational data.)		
III. Baseline Data (What does the current data show?)	<input type="checkbox"/> Data attached	
IV. Goal Statement (Describe what you want learners/program to accomplish.)		
V. Means for Attaining Goal (Check the standard to which the strategies relate.) <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Instructional Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 2. School Climate <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Human Resources Management Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Management <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 67. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 78. Student Academic Progress		
Strategy	Measurable By	Target Date

<p>VI. Mid-Year Review (Describe goal progress and other relevant data.)</p>	<p>Mid-year review conducted on _____ Initials _____ _____ Eval. Admin.</p>
<p>VII. End-of-Year Data Results (Describe accomplishments at the end of year.)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Data attached</p>

Initial Goal Submission (due by _____ to the evaluator)

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

End-of-Year Review

Appropriate Data Received

Did the strategies used and data provided demonstrate the application of professional growth?

Yes No

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Part 5: Rating Principal Performance

The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, evaluators should conduct both formative and summative evaluations of principals. While the superintendent has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the evaluation system is executed faithfully and effectively in the division, other division administrators may be designated by the evaluator to supervise, monitor, and assist with the multiple data source collection which will be used for these evaluations.

Interim Evaluation

~~Some principal evaluation systems include a~~ An interim or annual review, especially for beginning principals, ~~in order to provide~~ systematic feedback prior to the completion of a summative evaluation. The multiple data sources discussed in Part 3 are used to compile a *Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report* that indicates if a principal has shown evidence of each of the performance standards. The evaluator should share his or her assessment of the principal's performance by a given date (for example, the last school day before winter break). *Please note that the Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report is used to document evidence of meeting the ~~seven~~ eight standards, but does not include a rating of performance.* A sample *Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report* is provided on the next several pages. This form is optional, and its use should be decided on by the local school division.

SAMPLE Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report

Note: This is an optional report. Local school divisions should determine its use.

Directions: Evaluators use this form to maintain a record of evidence documented for each performance standard. Evidence can be drawn from informal observations/school site visits, portfolio/documentation log evidence review, and other appropriate sources. Evaluators may choose to use the “Evident” or “Not Evident” boxes provided under each standard to assist with documenting the principal’s progress towards meeting the standard. This form should be maintained by the evaluator during the course of the evaluation cycle. This report is shared at a meeting with the principal held within appropriate timelines.

Principal’s Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Strengths:

Areas of Improvement:

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal fosters drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and ~~sustainment~~ **implementation** of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with ~~staff~~, students, parents/**caregivers**, **staff**, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division’s strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance **rigorous and relevant** teaching and student academic progress; and **that** lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.**
- ~~1.34~~ **1.4** Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions to **that** improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and ~~improve~~ **maximize** overall school effectiveness.
- ~~1.45~~ **1.5** ~~Possesses~~ **Acquires and shares** knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- ~~1.56~~ **1.6** Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- ~~1.67~~ **1.7** ~~Provides teachers with~~ **Generates, aligns, and leverages** resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- ~~1.78~~ **1.8** Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/**caregivers**, and to inform instructional practices.
- ~~1.89~~ **1.9** Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of ~~effective and~~ efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- ~~1.910~~ **1.10** Provides the **expectation and** focus for ~~continued~~ **continuous** learning of all members of the school community.
- ~~1.1011~~ **1.11** ~~Promotes and s~~ Supports professional development and instructional **planning and delivery** practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- ~~1.11~~ **1.12** Participates in professional development alongside teachers when instructional strategies are being taught ~~for future implementation.~~
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of **sustained** professional development by **participating in and** providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff to ~~participate in~~ **for** professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, **action research**).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, **instructional practices**, ~~school~~ improvement, and student academic progress.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, leadership-emotional, and political behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and community.
- 2.3 Utilizes/Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and maintain/enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Maintains a collegial environment and supports the Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and Addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, and/or implements, monitors, and communicates a safe-school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an effective, appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and the community to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management that are effective within the school community and communicates behavior management expectations to students, teachers, and parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff teachers, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student and values every student as an important member of the school community.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership

The principal ~~fosters effective~~ provides human resources management leadership by ~~assisting with selection and induction, and by~~ selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively ~~participates~~ leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a mentoring development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel, as well as and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally, and gain self-confidence in their skills.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal fosters cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision efficiently for the physical plant and of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.45 Analyzes data to identify and plan for Identifies potential organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and deals with resolves them in a timely, consistent, and effective appropriate manner.
- 4.56 Establishes and uses accepted procedures to Ddevelops short- and long-term goals through effective allocation of resources to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.67 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.78 Plans and prepares a fiscally responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.89 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.910 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and~~ collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, ~~parent,~~ and other stakeholder input to promote effective sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and cCommunicates long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan to all stakeholders.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to staff students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in an clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.78 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.89 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to effectively utilize capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.910 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and local, division, and state decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.101 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 67.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes on a daily basis.
- 67.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional and ethical guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 67.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 67.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive competency to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 67.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 67.6 Maintains a positive, and forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 67.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 67.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, and support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 67.9 Assumes responsibility for Engages in personal professional development to that positively impacts school effectiveness. by contributing to and supporting the development of the profession through service as an instructor, mentor, coach, presenter, and/or researcher.
- 67.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 78. Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 78.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 ~~Utilizes~~ **Uses** research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress ~~and school improvement.~~
- 78.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 78.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.
- 78.5 ~~Utilizes~~ **Uses** faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and **targeted** professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with ~~faculty/staff~~, students, **parents/caregivers, staff**, and other stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.
- 78.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 78.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Summative Evaluation

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple **data** sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all principals.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed. ~~The performance standards and performance indicators provide a description of well-defined expectations.~~

Definitions of Ratings

~~The rating scale provides a description of four levels of how well the standards (i.e., duties) are performed on a continuum from “Exemplary” to “Unacceptable.” The use of the scale enables evaluators to acknowledge effective performance (i.e., “Exemplary” and “Proficient”) and provides two levels of feedback for principals not meeting expectations (i.e., “Developing/Needs Improvement” and “Unacceptable”).~~ The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the ~~seven~~ **eight** performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: *Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale*

Category	Description	Definition
Exemplary Highly Effective	The principal performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that <u>consistently and considerably surpass</u> the established performance standard, and does so in a manner that exemplifies the school’s mission and goals. This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is demonstrated with significant student academic progress done in a manner that exemplifies the school’s mission and goals.	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over the evaluation cycle a period of time • empowers teachers and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student academic progress-learning and the school climate • serves as a role model to others
Proficient Effective	The principal consistently meets the performance standard in a manner that is consistent aligned with the school’s mission and goals, and has a positive impact on student academic progress.	Effective-Proficient performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistently meets the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student academic progress-learning and the school climate • demonstrates a willingness to learn and apply new skills

Category	Description	Definition
Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective	The principal's performance is inconsistent in meeting the established performance standard and/or working toward the school's mission and goals. The principal may be starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the principal's performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement). The principal often performs less than required in the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school's mission and goals and results in below average student academic progress.	Below acceptable Developing/needs improvement performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> requires support in meeting the performance standards results in less than expected quality of student academic progress performance leads to areas for principal improvement being requires principal professional growth be jointly identified and planned between the principal and evaluator
Unacceptable Ineffective	The principal consistently performs below the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school's mission and goals and results in minimal student academic progress.	Ineffective Unacceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not meet the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard results in minimal student academic progress learning may contribute to a recommendation for the employee not being considered recommended for continued employment

How a Performance Rubric Works

Evaluators have two tools to guide their judgments for rating principals' performance for the summative evaluation: 1) the sample performance indicators, and 2) the performance rubric.

Sample Performance Indicators

Performance indicators are used in the evaluation system to identify, in observable behaviors, performance of the major job standards. They were introduced in Part 2, and examples are provided again in this section.


Performance Rubrics

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed. describes acceptable performance levels for each of the seven

performance standards. It states the measure of performance expected of principals and provides a general description of **performance at each level** what a rating entails. The rating scale is applied to the summative evaluation of all principals. The performance rubrics guide evaluators in assessing *how well* a standard is performed. They In some instances, quantitative terms are included to augment the qualitative description. The resulting performance rubric provides a clearly delineated step-wise progression, along a continuum of effectiveness (as illustrated with arrows between the two levels). Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. Principals who earn a *Highly Effective* rating must meet the requirements for the *Effective* level and go beyond it. Performance rubrics are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help principals focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. **Please note: The rating of “Proficient Effective” is the expected level of performance and is written as the actual performance standard.** Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented here may be modified at the discretion of school division decision-makers.

Figure 5.2: Example of a Performance Rubric

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/ Needs Improvement	
The principal actively and consistently employs innovative and effective leadership strategies that maximize student learning and result in a shared vision of teaching and learning that reflects excellence.	The principal fosters the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.	The principal inconsistently fosters the success of students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.	The principal does not foster the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, or evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.



Performance Rubrics for Performance Standards

Principals are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal ~~fosters~~ drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and ~~sustainment~~ implementation of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with ~~staff~~, students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance rigorous and relevant teaching and student academic progress; and that lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.
- 1.34 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions to that improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and ~~improve~~ maximize overall school effectiveness.
- 1.45 Possesses ~~Acquires and shares~~ knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.56 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.67 Provides teachers with ~~Provides teachers with~~ Generates, aligns, and leverages resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.78 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/caregivers, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.89 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of ~~effective and~~ efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.910 Provides the expectation and focus for ~~continued~~ continuous learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.4011 Promotes and sSupports professional development and instructional ~~practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress. — Participates in professional development alongside teachers when instructional strategies are being taught for future implementation.~~ planning and delivery practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of sustained professional development by participating in and providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff to participate in for professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, action research).

1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, instructional practices, school improvement, and student academic progress.



Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, leadership emotional, and political behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders; and community.
- 2.3 Utilizes Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and maintain enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Maintains a collegial environment and supports the Supports students, parents/ caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and Addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, and/or implements, monitors, and communicates a safe-school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an effective appropriate and timely manner.

- 2.8 Involves students, staff, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders, and the community to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management that are effective within the school community and communicates behavior management expectations to students, teachers, and parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff teachers, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student and values every student as an important member of the school community.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.



Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management Leadership


The principal fosters effective ~~provides~~ human resources ~~management~~ leadership by ~~assisting with selection and induction, and by~~ selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively ~~participates~~ leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a ~~mentoring~~ development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel; ~~as well as~~ and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally, and ~~gain self-confidence in their skills.~~



Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal ~~fosters~~ **cultivates** the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision ~~efficiently for the physical plant and~~ **of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all** related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 **Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.**
- 4.45 **Analyzes data to identify and plan for** ~~Identifies potential~~ organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and ~~deals with~~ **resolves** them in a timely, consistent, and **effective appropriate** manner.
- 4.56 ~~Establishes and uses accepted procedures to~~ **Develops** short- and long-term goals ~~through effective allocation of resources to improve organizational and operational efficiency and~~ **impact.**
- 4.67 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.78 Plans and prepares a fiscally **responsible** budget to support the school's mission and goals.

- 4.89 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.910 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.



Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, ~~and~~ collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans **strategically** for and solicits **student, parent/caregiver, staff, parent, and other** stakeholder input to promote **effective-sound judgment in the** decision-making **process** and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 **Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and c**ommunicates long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan **to all stakeholders**.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to **staff students, parents/caregivers, staff,** and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive **relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.**

- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in an clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.78 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.89 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to effectively utilize/capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.910 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and local, division, and state decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.101 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.



Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators


Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families..

***Note:** Equity denotes the fairness of opportunities for student learning and success.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Principal Evaluation Work Group, October-November, 2021



Performance Standard 67: Professionalism


The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 67.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes on a daily basis.
- 67.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional and ethical guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 67.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 67.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive competency to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 67.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 67.6 Maintains a positive, and forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 67.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 67.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, and support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 67.9 Assumes responsibility for Engages in personal professional development to that positively impacts school effectiveness. by contributing to and supporting the development of the profession through service as an instructor, mentor, coach, presenter, and/or researcher.
- 67.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.



Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 78.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Utilizes Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress and school improvement.
- 78.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 78.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.
- 78.5 Utilizes Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with faculty/staff, students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.

- 78.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 78.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.



Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation

Evaluators make judgments about performance of the seven-eight performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the evaluator applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a principal's performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the "preponderance of evidence" exists, based on various data sources. A sample *Principal Summative Performance Report* is provided later in this document. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the principal at a summative evaluation conference. Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy. Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the seven performance standards.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 7: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards.

In determining the final summative rating, the following approach could be used:

Apply numbers 1 (Unacceptable) through 4 (Exemplary) to the rating scale

Exemplary = 4

Proficient = 3

Developing/Needs Improvement = 2

Unacceptable = 1;

Calculate the weighted contribution of each standard to the summative evaluation; and

Add the weighted contribution to achieve the final summative evaluation.

Single Summative Rating

In addition to receiving a diagnostic rating for each of the eight performance ratings, the employee will receive a single summative evaluation rating at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle. This summative rating will reflect an overall evaluation rating for the employee. The intent is not to replace the diagnostic value of the eight performance standards; rather it is to provide an overall rating of the employee's performance. The overall summative rating will be judged to be *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective*.

Scores will be calculated using the following scale:

Highly Effective = 4

Effective = 3

Approaching Effective = 2

Ineffective = 1

Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the eight performance expectations. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. The following example complies with this requirement.

The Guidelines recommend the following weighting for principal performance evaluations.

Figure 5.3: Sample Weighing

Performance Standard	Weight
Standard 1	1.25
Standard 2	1.25
Standard 3	1.25
Standard 4	1.25
Standard 5	1.25
Standard 6	1.25
Standard 7	1.25
Standard 8	1.25

Figure 5.4: Example of Recommended Weighted Calculations for Principal Performance Evaluation

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	<i>Exemplary</i> <i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	65
Standard 2	<i>Proficient</i> <i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	4.53.75
Standard 3	<i>Proficient</i> <i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	4.53.75
Standard 4	<i>Proficient</i> <i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	4.53.75
Standard 5	<i>Proficient</i> <i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	4.53.75
Standard 6	<i>Exemplary</i> <i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	65
Standard 7	<i>Exemplary</i> <i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	65
Standard 8	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Cumulative Single Summative Rating				3433.75

Divisions will have to determine the range of scores within the Cumulative Summative Rating that are indicative of “Exemplary,” “Proficient,” “Developing/Needs Improvement,” and “Unacceptable” performance. When applying the summary rating from a quantitative perspective, school divisions will need to establish and document, *a priori*, cut-offs for determining final summative ratings after the weighted contribution is calculated. School divisions also may establish and document additional criteria to the summative rating. For example, a school division may decide that no principals can be given a summary rating of *Highly Effective* if they are rated below *Effective* on any of the eight standards, or that summative criteria should differ for principals at different points on the career ladder. These decisions, and documentation of such decisions, must be made before the revised evaluation system is put in place. As well, it is critical that principals understand the requirements before the evaluation cycle begins.

The overall single summative rating will be judged as *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective* using the following range of scores:

Figure 5.5: Range of Scores

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

Note: Regardless of the overall total points earned, three or more *Approaching Effective* ratings on individual performance standards will result in an overall rating of *Approaching Effective* or *Ineffective*. Similarly, one *Ineffective* rating on any one performance standard may result in an overall *Ineffective* rating.

SAMPLE Principal Summative Performance Report

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to June 15 to providing provide the principal with an assessment of performance. The principal should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Principal’s Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Contract Status: Probationary Continuing Contract

Documentation Reviewed: Documentation Log Evidence Goal Setting for Student Academic Progress Form
 Observation/Formative Feedback Forms Other

<p>Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p> <hr/> <p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i> The principal:</p>
--

- 1.1 — Leads the collaborative development and sustainment of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with staff, students, parents, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division’s strategic plan.
- 1.2 — Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance teaching and student academic progress, and lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 — Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions to improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and improve overall school effectiveness.
- 1.4 — Possesses knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.5 — Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.6 — Provides teachers with resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.7 — Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.8 — Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of effective and efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.9 — Provides the focus for continued learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.10 — Supports professional development and instructional practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.11 — Participates in professional development alongside teachers when instructional strategies are being taught for future implementation.
- 1.12 — Demonstrates the importance of professional development by providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff to participate in professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams).
- 1.13 — Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff/school improvement and student academic progress.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Sample: Principal Summative Performance Report

Page 2 of 8

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 — Incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, leadership, and political dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive academic learning environment.
- 2.2 — Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, staff, parents, and community.
- 2.3 — Utilizes shared decision making and collaboration to build relationships with all stakeholders and maintain positive school morale.
- 2.4 — Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power.
- 2.5 — Maintains a collegial environment and supports the staff through the stages of the change process.

- ~~2.6 Addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly effective personnel.~~
- ~~2.7 Develops and/or implements a safe school plan that manages crisis situations in an effective and timely manner.~~
- ~~2.8 Involves students, staff, parents, and the community to create and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.~~
- ~~2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management that are effective within the school community and communicates behavior management expectations to students, teachers, and parents.~~
- ~~2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, teachers, and other stakeholders.~~
- ~~2.11 Maintains a positive, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student and values every student as an important member of the school community.~~

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Management

The principal fosters effective human resources management by assisting with selection and induction, and by supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- ~~3.1 Actively participates in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.~~
- ~~3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.~~
- ~~3.3 Provides a mentoring process for all new and targeted instructional personnel, as well as cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring.~~
- ~~3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.~~
- ~~3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.~~
- ~~3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.~~
- ~~3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.~~
- ~~3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.~~
- ~~3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to grow professionally and gain self-confidence in their skills.~~

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal fosters the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision efficiently for the physical plant and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Identifies potential organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and deals with them in a timely, consistent, and effective manner.
- 4.5 Establishes and uses accepted procedures to develop short- and long-term goals through effective allocation of resources.
- 4.6 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.

- 4.7 Plans and prepares a fiscally responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.8 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.9 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating and collaborating effectively with stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans for and solicits staff, parent, and stakeholder input to promote effective decision-making and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Communicates long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan to all stakeholders.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to staff, parents, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents, staff and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in an explicit and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate with students, parents, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.8 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to effectively utilize the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.9 Advocates for students and acts to influence local, division, and state decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.10 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 — Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes on a daily basis.
- 6.2 — Works within professional and ethical guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 6.3 — Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor.
- 6.4 — Models professional behavior and cultural competency to students, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 6.5 — Maintains confidentiality.
- 6.6 — Maintains a positive and forthright attitude.
- 6.7 — Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 6.8 — Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote and support the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 6.9 — Assumes responsibility for personal professional development by contributing to and supporting the development of the profession through service as an instructor, mentor, coach, presenter and/or researcher.
- 6.10 — Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 7: Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:


- 7.1 — Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 7.2 — Utilizes research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress and school improvement.
- 7.3 — Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 7.4 — Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.

- 7.5 Utilizes faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 7.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with faculty/staff, students, and other stakeholders.
- 7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.
- 7.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 7.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership



Performance Standard 2: School Climate

<p>(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p>	<p>(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p>	<p>(1 pt.) Ineffective</p>
<p>The principal seeks out new opportunities or substantially improves existing programs to create an environment where students and stakeholders thrive and the rigor of academic expectations has significantly increased as evidenced through results.</p>	<p>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p>	<p>The principal is inconsistently promotes in fostering the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and/or sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and/or safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p>	<p>The principal does not promote fails to foster the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and/or sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and/or safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p>
□	□	□	□
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

<p>(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p>	<p>(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p>	<p>(1 pt.) Ineffective</p>
<p>The principal consistently demonstrates expertise in impactful human resources management leadership, which results in a highly-productive work force (e.g., highly satisfied stakeholders, increased student learning, teacher leaders).</p>	<p>The principal fosters effective provides human resources management leadership by assisting with selection and induction, and by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p>	<p>The principal is inconsistently in providing human resources leadership by assists with selection and induction and/or inconsistently supports, evaluates, and retains selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and/or retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p>	<p>The principal inadequately assists with selection and induction, or inadequately supports, evaluates, and retains fails to provide human resources leadership by inducting, evaluating, and/or retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p>

Comments:



Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

<p>(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal is highly effective exemplary at organizational management and demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">☐</p>	<p>(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal fosters cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">☐</p>	<p>(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal inconsistently supports, manages, or oversees is inconsistent in cultivating the success of all students by supporting, managing, and/or overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">☐</p>	<p>(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal inadequately supports, manages, or oversees fails to cultivate the success of all students by supporting, managing, and/or overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">☐</p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			


Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

<p>(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate and engage effectively impactfully with stakeholders.</p>	<p>(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, and collaborating, and engaging effectively with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p>	<p>(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal inconsistently communicates or infrequently collaborates on issues of importance to stakeholders is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and/or engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote support, understanding, and/or continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p>	<p>(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal demonstrates inadequate or detrimental communication or collaboration with stakeholders fails to foster the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and/or engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote support, understanding, and/or continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p>
--	---	---	--

Comments:




Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership



Comments:



Performance Standard 7: Professionalism



in contributing to the profession.

in contributing to the profession.

Comments:

Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress

<p>(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>In addition to meeting the standard, the principal's leadership serves as a role model to others and results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.</p>	<p>(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</p>	<p>(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal's leadership results in student academic progress that inconsistently meets the established standard.</p>	<p>(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.</p>
□	□	□	□
<p><u>Comments:</u></p>			

Overall Evaluation Summary:

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points HE=4 E=3 AE=2 IE=1	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1			1.25	
Standard 2			1.25	
Standard 3			1.25	
Standard 4			1.25	
Standard 5			1.25	
Standard 6			1.25	
Standard 7			1.25	
Standard 8			1.25	
Single Summative Rating				

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on cumulative summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

- ~~Exemplary~~ **Highly Effective**
- ~~Proficient~~ **Effective**
- ~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~ **Approaching Effective**
- ~~Unacceptable~~ **Ineffective**
- Recommended for placement on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. (One or more standards are ~~Unacceptable~~ **Ineffective**, or two or more standards are ~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~ **Approaching Effective**.)

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Principal Improvement Goals:

Evaluator’s Name

Principal’s Name

Evaluator’s Signature

Principal’s Signature (Principal’s signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

Superintendent’s Name

Superintendent’s Signature

Date

Part 6: Improving Principal Performance

Supporting principals is essential to the success of schools. Many resources are needed to assist principals in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help principals develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school.

There are two **Two** tools that may be used at the discretion of the evaluator. The first is the *Support Dialogue*, a division-level discussion between the evaluator and the principal. It is an optional process to promote conversation about performance in order to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth. The second is the *Performance Improvement Plan* which has a more formal structure and is used for notifying a principal of performance that *requires* improvement due to less-than-proficient performance.

The tools may be used independently of each other. Figure 6.1 highlights key differences between the two processes.

Figure 6.1: *Two Tools to Increase Professional Performance*

	Support Dialogue	Performance Improvement Plan
Purpose	For principals who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth	For principals whose work is in the “developing/needs improvement” Approaching Effective or “unacceptable” Ineffective categories
Initiates Process	Evaluator or principal	Evaluator
Documentation	Form Provided: None Optional: Support Dialogue Form Memo or other record of the discussion or other forms of documentation at the division level	Form Required: <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i> Division level Superintendent/ Human Resources is notified
Outcomes	Performance improvement is documented with the support dialogue continued at the discretion of the evaluator or the principal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In some instances, little or no progress – the employee may be moved to a Sufficient improvement – no more support needed Some improvement – continued support In some instances, little or no progress – the employee may be moved to a <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i>: 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sufficient improvement – recommendation to continue employment Inadequate improvement, recommendation to continue on <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i> OR dismiss the employee

Support Dialogue

The *Support Dialogue* is initiated by evaluators or principals at any point during the school year for use with personnel whose professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns. The *Support Dialogue* process should not be construed as applying to poor-performing principals. The option for a *Support Dialogue* is open to any principal who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the principal's growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Support Dialogue Form* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the evaluator and principal meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?
What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?
What support can I or others in the division provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

Last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?
What has not gone as well?

The entire *Support Dialogue* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within a six-week period) as it offers targeted support. If the *Support Dialogue* was initiated by a principal seeking self-improvement, the evaluator and the principal may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For principals for whom the evaluator initiated the *Support Dialogue*, the desired outcome would be that the principal's practice has improved to a proficient level. In the event that if improvements in performance are still needed, the evaluator determines makes a determination either to extend the time of the *Support Dialogue* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources. If the necessary improvement is not made, the employee must be placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. Once placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan* the employee will have a specified time period (for example, 90 calendar days) to demonstrate that the identified deficiencies have been corrected.

SAMPLE: Support Dialogue Form *(optional)*

Directions: Principals and evaluators may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried, and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Principal's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Performance Improvement Plan

If a principal's performance does not meet the expectations established by the school division, the principal will be placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. A *Performance Improvement Plan* is designed to support a principal in addressing areas of concern through targeted supervision and additional resources. It may be used by an evaluator at any point during the year for a principal whose professional practice would benefit from additional support. Additionally, a *Performance Improvement Plan* is implemented if one of the following scenarios occurs at the end of any data collection period:

- a principal receives two or more "Not Evident" ratings at the interim review;
- a rating of "~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~" *Approaching Effective* on two or more performance standards; or
- a rating of "~~Unacceptable~~" *Ineffective* on one or more performance standards or an overall rating of "~~Unacceptable~~" *Ineffective*.

Implementation of Performance Improvement Plan

When a principal is placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*, the evaluator must:

- provide written notification to the principal of the area(s) of concern that need(s) to be addressed;
- formulate a *Performance Improvement Plan* in conjunction with the principal; and
- review the results of the *Performance Improvement Plan* with the principal within established timelines.

Assistance may include:

- support from a professional peer or supervisor;
- conferences, classes, and workshops on specific topics; and/or
- other resources to be identified.

Resolution of Performance Improvement Plan

Prior to the evaluator making a final recommendation, the evaluator meets with the principal to review progress made on the *Performance Improvement Plan*, according to the timeline. The options for a final recommendation include:

- a) Sufficient improvement has been achieved; the principal is no longer on a *Performance Improvement Plan* and is rated "~~Proficient~~" *Effective*.

- b) Partial improvement has been achieved but more improvement is needed; the principal remains on a *Performance Improvement Plan* and is rated “~~Developing/Needs Improvement.~~” *Approaching Effective.*
- c) Little or no improvement has been achieved; the principal is rated “~~Unacceptable.~~” *Ineffective.*

When a principal is rated “~~Unacceptable.~~” *Ineffective* the principal may be recommended for dismissal. If not dismissed, a new *Performance Improvement Plan* will be implemented. Following completion of the *Performance Improvement Plan*, if the principal is rated “~~Unacceptable.~~” *Ineffective* a second time, the principal will be recommended for dismissal.

Request for Review of an “~~Unacceptable.~~” *Ineffective* Rating

The principal may request a review of the evidence in relation to an “~~Unacceptable.~~” *Ineffective* rating received on a Summative Evaluation or, as a result of a *Performance Improvement Plan*, in accordance with the policies and procedures of the school division.

SAMPLE: Performance Improvement Plan Form
(Required for a Principal Placed on a Performance Improvement Plan)

Principal’s Name: _____ School: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____ School Year: _____

Performance Standard Number	Performance Deficiencies Within the Standard to be Corrected	Resources/Assistance Provided; Activities to be Completed by the Employee	Target Dates

The principal’s signature denotes receipt of the form, and acknowledgment that the evaluator has notified the employee of unacceptable performance.

Principal’s Name: _____

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date Initiated: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date Initiated: _____

Results of Performance Improvement Plan³¹

Performance Standard Number	Performance Deficiencies Within the Standard to be Corrected	Comments	Review Dates

Final recommendation based on outcome of Performance Improvement Plan:

- The performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected: The principal is no longer on a *Performance Improvement Plan*.
- The deficiencies were not corrected. The principal is recommended for dismissal.

Principal’s Name: _____

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date Reviewed: _____

Signature denotes the review occurred, not necessarily agreement with the final recommendation.

Evaluator’s Name: _____

³¹ These sections are to be completed collaboratively by the evaluator and the principal. Pages may be added, if needed.

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date Reviewed: _____

References

- Airasian, P. W. & Gullickson, A. (2006). In J. H. Stronge (Ed.), *Evaluating and teaching* (2nd ed., pp. 186-211). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Anderson, L. M., & Turnbull, B. J. (2016). *Evaluating and Supporting Principals. Building a Stronger Principalship: Volume 4*. Policy Studies Associates, Inc. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED570471.pdf>
- Bamburg, J. D., & Andrews, R. L. (1991). School goals, principals, and achievement. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 2, 175-191.
- Beteille, T., Kalogrides, D., & Loeb, S. (2012). Stepping stones: Principal career paths and school outcomes. *Social Science Research*, 41(4), 904-919.
- Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2009). *Estimating principal effectiveness*. Washington, DC: National Center for Analysis of Longitudinal Data in Education Research.
- Branch, G. F., Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2012). *Estimating the effect of leaders on public sector productivity: The case of school principals*. (National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 17803). https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w17803/w17803.pdf
- Branch, G. F., Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2013). School leaders matter. *EducationNext*, 13(1). <https://www.educationnext.org/school-leaders-matter/>
- Brewer, D. J. (1993). Principals and student outcomes: Evidence from U.S. high schools. *Economics of Education Review*, 12(4), 281-292.
- Bruggencate, G., Luyten, H., Scheerens, J., & Slegers, P. (2012). Modeling the influence of school leaders on student achievement: How can school leaders make a difference? *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(4), 699-732.
- Burkhauser, S. (2017). How much do school principals matter when it comes to teacher working conditions? *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 39(1), 126-145.
- Catano, N., & Stronge, J. H. (2006). What are principals expected to do? Congruence between principal evaluation and performance standards. *NASSP Bulletin*, 90(3), 221-237.
- Cawelti, G. (1999). *Portraits of six benchmark schools: Diverse approach to improving student achievement*. Arlington, VA: Educational Research Service.
- Ch, A. H., Ahmad, S., Malik, M., & Batool, A. (2017). Principals' leadership styles and teachers' job satisfaction: A correlation study at secondary level. *Bulletin of Education and Research*, 39(3), 45-56.
- Cheng, Y. C. (1994). Principal's leadership as a critical factor for school performance: Evidence from multi-levels of primary schools. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 5(3), 299-317.

- Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012). *Assessing the feasibility of using value-added models for principal evaluations* (Mathematica Policy Research Working Paper). Cambridge: Mathematica Policy Research.
- Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016). Is school value-added indicative of principal quality? *Education Finance and Policy*, 11(3), 283-309.
- Clifford, M., Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012). *The ripple effect: A synthesis of research on principal influence to inform performance evaluation design*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.
- Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014). *A practical guide to designing comprehensive principal evaluation systems: A tool to assist in the development of principal evaluation systems*. Center on Great Teachers & Leaders at American Institutes for Research.
<https://gtlcenter.org/products-resources/practical-guide-designing-comprehensive-principal-evaluation-systems>.
- ~~Cotton, K. (2003). *Principals and Student Achievement: What the Research Says*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.~~
- Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., Thomas, C., & Leon, R. (2011). *The policies and practices of principal evaluation: A review of the literature*. WestEd.
- Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014). How important are school principals in the production of student achievement? *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue Canadienne d'économique*, 47(2), 634-663.
- Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018). How school principals influence student learning. *Empirical Economics*, 54(2), 851-882.
- Dou, D., Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2017). The relationships between school autonomy gap, principal leadership, teachers' job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 45(6), 959-977.
- Fuller, E. J., Hollingworth, L., & Liu, J. (2015). Evaluating state principal evaluation plans across the United States. *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 10(3), 164–192.
- ~~Ginsberg, R., & Thompson, T. (1992). Dilemmas and solutions regarding principal evaluation. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 68(1), 58-74.~~
- Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009). The evaluation of principals: What and how do states and urban districts assess leadership? *The Elementary School Journal*, 110, 19–39.
- Griffith, J. (2004). Relation of principal transformational leadership to school staff job satisfaction, staff turnover, and school performance. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 42(3), 333-356.
- Hallinger, P., Brickman, L., & Davis, K. (1996). School context, principal leadership, and student reading achievement. *The Elementary School Journal*, 96 (5), 527-549.

- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R.H. (2010a). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership & Management*, 30, 95-110.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R.H. (2010b). Leadership for learning: Does collaborative leadership make a difference in school improvement? *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 38, 654-678.
- Heck, R. H. (2004). *Studying educational and social policy: Theoretical concepts and research methods*. Routledge.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. H. (February, 1996). Reassessing the principal's role in school effectiveness: A review of empirical research, 1980-1995. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 32(1), 5-44.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses related to student achievement*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Heck, R. H. (2000). Examining the impact of school quality on school outcomes and improvement: A value-added approach. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 36(4), 513-552.
- Heck, R. H., & Marcoulides, G. A. (1996). School culture and performance: Testing the invariance of an organizational model. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 7(1), 76-95.
- Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016) Systematic review of key leader practices found to influence student achievement: A unified framework. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 531-569.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (A. R. Gullickson, Chair). (2009). *The personnel evaluation standards: How to assess systems of evaluating educators*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Karadag, E. (2020). The effect of educational leadership on students' achievement: a cross-cultural meta-analysis research on studies between 2008 and 2018. *Asian Pacific Education Review*, 21, 49-64.
- Kyrtheotis, A., & Pashiardis, P. (1998). The influence of school leadership styles and culture on students' achievement in Cyprus primary schools.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415-434.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2006). Transformational school leadership for large-scale reform: Effects on students, teachers, and their classroom practices. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 201-227.
- Leithwood, K., & Mascal, B. (2008). Collective leadership effects on student achievement. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 1-34.
- Leitner, D. (1994). Do principals affect student outcomes? *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 5(3), 219-238.

- Liu, S. & Hallinger, P. (2018). Teacher development in rural China: How ineffective school leadership fails to make a difference. *International Journal of Leadership in Education: Theory and Practice*, 21(6), 633-650.
- Loeb, S., Kalogrides, D., & Bêteille, T. (2012). Effective schools: Teacher hiring, assignment, development, and retention. *Education Finance and Policy*, 7(3), 269-304.
- Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings to the Wallace Foundation*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.
- Marcoux, J., Brown, G., Irby, B. J., & Lara-Alecio, R. (2003). *A case study on the use of portfolios in principal evaluation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL, April 21-25.
- Mendro, R. L. (1998). Student achievement and school and teacher accountability. *Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education*, 12, 257-267.
- Meyers, C. V., & Hitt, D. H. (2017). School turnaround principals: What does initial research literature suggest they are doing to be successful? *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 22(1). 38-56.
- National Association of Secondary School Principals & National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2013). *Leadership matters*. <https://www.naesp.org/sites/default/files/LeadershipMatters.pdf>.
- Nielsen, J. (2018). *The principal evaluation: Connecting principals' evaluation to the growth and development process: A case study* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Nebraska-Lincoln.
- Pak, K., & Desimone, L. M. (2019). Developing principals' data-driven decision-making capacity: Lessons from one urban district. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 100(7), 37-42.
- Pounder, D. G., Ogawa, R. T., & Adams, E. A. (1995). Leadership as an organization-wide phenomena: Its impact on school performance. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 31, 564-588.
- Price, H. E. (2012). School principal-staff relationship effects on school climate. In T. Wubbels, P. den Brok, J. van Tartwijk & J. Levy (Eds.) *Interpersonal relationships in education* (pp. 103-118). Sense Publishers.
- Reeves, D. B. (2005). *Assessing educational leaders: Evaluating performance for improved individual and organizational results*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Robinson, V. M., Lloyd, C. A., & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 635-674.
- Ross, J., & Gray, P. (2006). Transformational leadership and teacher commitment to organizational values: The mediating effect of collective teacher efficacy. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 179-199.

- Sebastian, J., & Allensworth, E. (2012). The influence of principal leadership on classroom instruction and student learning: A study of mediated pathways to learning. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(4), 626-663.
- Silins, H., & Mulford, B. (2002). *Leadership and school results*. In K. Leithwood (Ed.), *The second international handbook of educational leadership and administration* (pp. 561-612). Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic.
- Snyder, J. & Ebmeier, H. (1990). Empirical linkages among principal behaviors and intermediate outcomes: Implications for principal evaluation. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 68(1), 75-107.
- ~~Stronge, J. H. (Ed.). (2006). *Evaluating teaching: A guide to current thinking and best practice* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.~~
- Stronge, J. H., & Grant, L. W. (2009). *Student achievement goal setting: Using data to improve teaching and learning*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.
- ~~Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008). *Qualities of effective principals*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.~~
- ~~Stronge, J. H., Ward, T. J., Tucker, P. D., & Grant, L. W. (2009). Teacher quality and student learning: What do good teachers do. *Teacher Education Journal*.~~
- ~~Stronge, J. H., Ward, T. J., Tucker, P. D., & Grant, L. W. (in press). Teacher quality and student learning: What do good teachers do? *Teacher Education Journal*.~~
- Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021). *Qualities of effective principals* (2nd ed.). Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013). *Principal evaluation: Standards, rubrics, and tools for effective performance*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Stufflebeam, D., & Nevo, D. (1991). Principal evaluation: New direction for improvement. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 68(2), 24-46.
- ~~Tucker, P. D., Stronge, J. H., & Gareis, C. R. (2002). *Handbook on teacher portfolios for evaluation and professional development*. Larchmont, NY: Eye on Education.~~
- Van Geel, M., Keuning, T., Visscher, A. J., & Fox, J. (2016). Assessing the effects of a school-wide data-based decision-making intervention on student achievement growth in primary schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 53(2), 360-394.
- ~~Virginia Department of Education. (2008). *Advancing Virginia's Leadership Agenda Guidance Document: Standards and Indicators for School Leaders and Documentation for the Principal of Distinction (Level II) Administration and Supervision Endorsement*. Richmond, VA: Author.~~
- Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003). *Balanced leadership: What 30 years of research tells U.S. about the effect of leadership on student achievement*. McRel International.

<http://www.mcrel.org/balanced-leadership-what-30-years-of-research-tells-us-about-the-effect-of-leadership-on-student-achievement-2003/>.

~~Waters, J.T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003). *Balanced leadership: What 30 years of research tells us about the effect of leadership on student achievement: A working paper*. Aurora, CO: Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL).~~

~~Westberg, D., Sexton, S., Mulhern, J., & Keeling, D. (2009). *The widget effect: Our national failure to acknowledge and act on differences in teacher effectiveness*. Retrieved from www.widgeteffect.org~~

Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

Acknowledgements

The Virginia Department of Education appreciates the work of those who contributed to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* that became effective on July 1, 2013.

Virginia Principal Evaluation Work Group

~~Ms. Debbie Anderson
Mr. Jim Baldwin,
Dr. Randy Barrack
Dr. Michelle Belle
Ms. Carolyn Bernard
Mr. Vincent Darby
Mr. David Ellena
Dr. Lyle Evans
Dr. Rachel Foglesong
Ms. Deborah Frazier
Dr. Glenn L. Koonce
Ms. Janice Koslowski~~

~~Mr. D. Patrick Lacy
Dr. Roger Morris
Dr. Betsy Roberson
Ms. Bekah Saxon
Dr. Jeffrey Smith
Dr. Elizabeth Thomas
Dr. Pamela Tucker
Ms. Mary Voss
Mr. Donald Wilms
Dr. John A. Word, Sr.
Dr. Phil Worrell
Dr. Harold Wright, Jr.~~

Project Consultants

~~Dr. James H. Stronge
With assistance from:
Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson
Dr. Xianxuan Xu
Dr. Leslie W. Grant~~

Department of Education Staff

~~Dr. Mark R. Allan
Dr. Deborah Jonas
Dr. Lolethia Kibble
Mrs. Patty S. Pitts
Dr. Kathleen Smith
Ms. Carol Sylvester
Ms. Michelle Vucei
Ms. Anne Wescott
Dr. Patricia I. Wright~~

Virginia Principal Evaluation Work Group

Ms. Jenna Alexander

President-elect

Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Ms. Krista Barton Arnold

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals (VAESP)

Dr. Randy D. Barrack

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals (VASSP)

Ms. Melinda Bright

Director, VEA Teaching and Learning

Virginia Education Association

Mr. Miles Carey

Assistant Principal, Washington-Liberty High School

Arlington Public Schools

Virginia Education Association Teacher Representative

Dr. Bernice Cobbs

Superintendent

Franklin County Public Schools

Dr. Lyle Evans

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Education

University of Richmond

Ms. Virginia Gills

Principal, Cumberland Elementary School

Cumberland County Public Schools

Ms. Kimberly Greer

Principal, Langley High School

Fairfax County Public Schools

Dr. Shannon Grimsley

Superintendent

Rappahannock County Public Schools

Ms. Micah Harris

Principal, Thoroughgood Elementary School

Virginia Beach City Public Schools

Dr. Timothy Healey

Principal, Charles J. Colgan Sr. High School

Prince William County Public Schools

Mr. Jason Kessler

Governmental Relations Specialist

Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Ben Kiser

Executive Director

Virginia Association of School Superintendents

Ms. Marie Lemmon

Principal, Bailey's Upper Elementary
Falls Church City Public Schools

Ms. Cynthia Reasoner

Director of Instruction
Amelia County Public Schools

Dr. Samantha Reed

Principal, Hillsville Elementary School
Carroll County Public Schools

Dr. Sarah Tanner-Anderson

Director of Educational Leadership
Longwood University

Mr. Don Vale

President

Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals (VASSP)

Virginia Department of Education

Dr. James F. Lane

Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Rosa Atkins

Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Dr. Joan Johnson

Assistant Superintendent of Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. Amy W. Griffin

Education Consultant
Office of Learning and Innovation

Ms. Jenne Nurse

Equity Coordinator
Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Project Consultants

(Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC)

Dr. James H. Stronge

Dr. Virginia Caine Tonnerson

Dr. Rachel Ball

Dr. Jessica Straessle

Attribution and copyright for Standard 6 (Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership) is the property of the Virginia Department of Education.

Portions of these principal evaluation materials were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2010-2020] by James H. Stronge and Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia or by third-party vendors without prior permission of Stronge & Associates. (Approved by the Virginia Board of Education on XXX).

~~Portions of these principal evaluation materials were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.~~

The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, political affiliation, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities. The policy permits appropriate employment preferences for veterans and specifically prohibits discrimination against veterans.

Endnotes

*Virginia Department of Education
P. O. Box 2120
Richmond, Virginia 23218-2120*

***Guidelines for Uniform Performance
Standards and Evaluation
Criteria for Superintendents***



Effective January 10, 2020-XXX

Table of Contents

Foreword	1
Part 1: Introduction	2
Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important	2
Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness	3
Purposes of Evaluation	4
Growth and Improvement	5
Purposes of this Document	5
What Can School Divisions Modify?	7
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents	9
Defining Superintendent Performance Standards	9
Performance Standards	9
Performance Indicators	10
Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance	19
Self-e valuation	21
Documentation Evidence	25
Client Stakeholder Survey	30
Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	34
Virginia Law	35
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation	35
Goal Setting	35
Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance	36
Formative Assessment	36
Summative Evaluation	39
Definitions of Ratings	39
Performance Rubrics	40
Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership	42
Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment	44
Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership	45
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management	47
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations	49
Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	50
Performance Standard 6 7 : Professionalism	52

Performance Standard 78: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	54
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation	55
Single Summative Rating	55

Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance

.....40

References

.....43

~~*Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.*~~

Foreword

Three-Phase Revision Plan

The Virginia Department of Education and the Board of Education are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of superintendents.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future superintendent performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard addresses House Bill 1904 (identical to Senate Bill 1196) passed by the 2021 General Assembly requiring that “Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the work group were incorporated in the *Guidelines*.
- Phase 3, beginning in 2022, will involve a comprehensive revision of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the superintendent evaluation system.

-

Part 1: Introduction

Why Good Quality Evaluation is Necessary Important¹

More than 20 years ago, in a joint statement, the American Association of School Administrators (AASA) and the National School Boards Association (NSBA) agreed that “informal evaluations cannot provide the board with a complete picture of the superintendent’s effectiveness in carrying out her (his) complex job. Regular, formal evaluations offer boards the best means of assessing their chief school administrator’s total performance.”² The role of the superintendent has changed drastically in the past two decades in the wake of the accountability movement and across the shifting landscape of public education. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) renewed the focus on school leadership, allowing for states and districts to use federal funds to target the quality of school leaders.³ As a result of the implementation of the ESSA, a shift in focus from school-level to district-level measures began.⁴ School superintendents are and must be accountable to the school board, the community, their faculties, and the students in their schools for ensuring the deliverance of effective educational leadership.⁵ While this shift in roles has taken place, there continues to be a lack of focus on evaluating the superintendent, but we know that a “conceptually sound and properly implemented evaluation system for the superintendent is a vital component of an effective school system”.⁶ Despite their agreement, the attention devoted to developing and implementing systematic performance-based evaluation systems for superintendents has been minimal in the intervening two decades.⁷ Superintendent evaluation matters because division superintendency matters. Leithwood and Riehl summarized several research-based conclusions about successful leadership; one reads “leadership has significant effects on student learning, second only to the effects of quality of curriculum and teachers’ instruction.”⁸ Both empirical findings and case study observations of leaders in high-performing schools indicate that leaders influence student learning directly by coalescing and supporting teacher efforts to achieve high expectations for student learning.⁹

Case studies of exceptional schools and districts, especially those that succeed beyond expectations, provide detailed portraits of leadership. These studies indicate that school leaders influence learning primarily by galvanizing efforts around ambitious goals, and by establishing conditions that support teachers and that help students succeed.⁷ Waters and Marzano (2006), in a meta-analysis of the influence of school district leaders on student performance, found a statistically significant positive correlation between superintendent leadership at the district level

¹ Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010] by J. H. Stronge. Adapted with permission.

² American Association of School Administrators. (1980). p. 4

³ Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Arifkhanova, A, Bega, A., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., Han, E., Harris, M., Tamargo, J., Wrabel, S. (2017).

⁴ Jimenez & Sargrad (2017).

⁵ Condoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebean, D. L. (2012).

⁶ DiPaola, M. F., Schneider, T. L., and Staples, S. R. (2019).

⁷ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).

and an increase in student achievement.⁸ Other Large-scale quantitative studies conclude that the effects of leadership on student achievement are small, but accounting for only about three to five percent of the variation. However, they also indicate that leadership effects appear to be mostly typically are indirect. That is, leaders influence student learning through others by promoting vision and goals, and throughby ensuring that resources and processes are in place to enable teachers to teach well.⁹ Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our superintendents are of high quality. The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the complexities of the job. Superintendents have a challenging task in meeting the educational needs of a an educationally diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide the superintendents with the feedback, support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve their efforts system-wide district operations.¹⁰

Because the superintendency is so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of superintendent performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance superintendent effectiveness. A meaningful evaluation focuses on clearly-defined professional standards¹¹, and through this focus and timely feedback, enables teachers and leaders to recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. The benefits of a rigorous evaluation system are numerous and well-documented. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is designed and implemented appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:¹²

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of superintendents for annual reviews and compensation;
- as a targeting tool to help superintendents focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to superintendents, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable superintendents to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development in order to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and,
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger divisionwide improvement.

Limitations of Current Evaluation Systems

⁸ Waters, & Marzano (2006).

⁹ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).

¹⁰ Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008).

¹¹ DiPaola, M. F. (2010)

¹² Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).

Although superintendent effectiveness¹³ is recognized as an important factor in improving student academic outcomes, school divisions rarely measure, document, or use superintendent effectiveness ratings to inform decision making.¹⁴ A comprehensive review of superintendent evaluation practices indicates that there is concern about a lack of objectivity in the methods used to evaluate superintendents. Traditionally superintendents are evaluated using written comments or an essay format. There is a need for technically sound, widely available evaluation instruments that may be adapted to the particular circumstances of the school division.¹⁵ In addition, the overwhelming majority of superintendents are evaluated by the members of the board; however, evidence suggests that school board members may not be adequately prepared for evaluating superintendents.¹⁶ Oftentimes, input from other stakeholder groups, such as peers, subordinates, constituents, teachers, and students is not solicited. Furthermore, few superintendents perceive their performance evaluation as contributing to the overall effectiveness of the superintendency and the school system.¹⁷

Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness

In the past, school division superintendents may have been viewed as managers of complex bureaucracies rather than instructional leaders; however, the move toward instructional accountability of superintendents is not without merit or empirical evidence.¹³ The position of the superintendent within a school division hierarchy suggests their ability to influence the focus and direction of the division organization, thus, superintendents play a vital role in ensuring that systemic school improvements are a major priority.¹⁴ While not directly influencing student achievement and instruction, the superintendent is an instructional leader who must focus on increasing effectiveness while simultaneously balancing external and internal pressures on the school district.¹⁵ Successful innovations and school improvements often have central office support.¹⁶ Hord asserted that the superintendents are in the most expedient position to support instructional improvement within the division.¹⁷ Research indicates that superintendents use their bureaucratic positions in the formal organization to improve instruction through staff selection, principal supervision, instructional goal setting and monitoring, financial planning, and consultative management practices.¹⁸ Research findings indicate that superintendents of effective school divisions exhibit high levels of involvement in instructional matters, including instructional goal setting and monitoring, and use managerial levers at their disposal to influence the behavior of principals and teachers who are more directly involved in improving classroom teaching and student learning.¹⁶ It is important to recognize that effective superintendents influence student learning, either directly or indirectly. It is also important to understand the ways and means by which superintendents influence their school divisions' educational programs. Therefore, a rigorous superintendent evaluation system should be in place to discriminate the performance of superintendents and provide informative feedback for improvement.

¹³ Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).

¹⁴ Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011).

¹⁵ Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007); Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005)

¹⁶ Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).

Purposes of Evaluation

The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation states, “The fundamental purpose of personnel evaluations must be to help provide effective services to students.”¹⁷ The superintendent of the school division is central to the quality and utility of personnel evaluations division-wide, and this process must also include her or his own evaluation conducted by the school board.

The primary purposes of a quality superintendent evaluation system are to:¹⁸

- Improve educational performance, both for the superintendent and, ultimately, the entire school division;
- Improve superintendent/board relations and communication;
- Clarify the roles of the superintendent;
- Inform the superintendent of the board’s expectations;
- Improve planning;
- Aid in the professional development of the superintendent;
- Serve as a basis for personnel decisions;
- Serve as an accountability mechanism; and
- Fulfill legal requirements.

~~Candoli et al., and Hoyle et al., suggested that a~~ quality superintendent evaluation system should:

- Meet requirements of personnel evaluation standards, that is, propriety standards, feasibility standards, utility standards, and accuracy standards.
- Build on the strengths of existing superintendent performance evaluation models and avoid their weaknesses.
- Embody and focus on superintendent’s generic duties.
- **Include performance indicators using multiple sources of data.**
- Integrate established evaluation concepts, including the basic purpose of evaluation (assess merit or worth), the generic process of evaluation (delineating, obtaining, reporting, and applying information), the main classes of information to be collected (context, input, process, and product), and the main roles of evaluation (formative input for improvement and summative assessment for accountability).
- Provide for adaptation to the wide variety of school division settings.¹⁹

¹⁷ Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation [JCSEE], 2009, p. 3.

¹⁸ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).

¹⁹ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997); Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001).

Growth and Improvement

One of the primary purposes for evaluating the superintendent is to improve the educational performance of the superintendent, which in turn impacts the educational performance of the district.²⁰ While the superintendent is expected to manage the operational needs and demands of the school system, he/she also is expected to be a “strong instructional leader, conversant with information about educational best practice, and the process of change.”²¹ In addition, the superintendent must work within the demands of the various stakeholders of the district. As such, the superintendent as a leader must be adept in all aspects of the educational organization, which requires him/her to understand their strengths and weaknesses to determine where they need improvement and how to grow. Evaluation is a tool that can give feedback to the superintendent on areas of weaknesses and growth.

Due to the unique and complex job of the superintendent, professional development might look different for each individual based on their unique needs.²² In addition, professional development can help superintendents to stay current with the changing trends and conditions in the educational realm and should be on-going.²³ Superintendents need to have access to relevant professional development to help them to meet the demands of constantly changing educational trends and reforms that impact their position.²⁴ Superintendent growth and improvement lead to the growth and improvement of the division and its students.²⁵

Purposes of this Document

This document was developed specifically for use with school division superintendents. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that superintendent evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education’s *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-60.1 (Evaluation of the Superintendent) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

Each local school board shall evaluate the division superintendent annually consistent with the performance objectives set forth in *Guidelines for Uniform*

²⁰ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)

²¹ Maxfield, C. R., Wells, C. M., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. A. (2008), p.13

²² Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)

²³ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020); Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011)

²⁴ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)

²⁵ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017)

Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents as required by 22.1-253.13:5.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 (Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

- B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator principal, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating. ~~... Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum.~~ Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* set forth seven-eight performance standards for all Virginia superintendents. Pursuant to state law, superintendent evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* originally approved on April 28, 2011, and subsequently revised on July 23, 2015, and January 10, 2010, provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local superintendent evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

What Can School Divisions Modify?

The *Guidelines* provide a uniform approach to superintendent evaluation which should be used throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. Certain aspects are prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, while others are highly recommended based on the research surrounding effective superintendents and best practices from the field. However, the Virginia Department of Education recognizes the importance of providing local school boards with the flexibility to make certain modifications to the evaluation system to meet their unique needs. The bullets below describe the major components of the evaluation system and what can and cannot be modified.

- Performance standards: Performance standards should not be modified.
- Performance indicators: Performance indicators are based on the research relating to effective superintendents, but school boards may modify them to meet their needs or areas of focus.
- Performance rubrics: School boards may modify performance rubrics, but the *Effective* level is written as the actual performance standard, so it should not be modified. Also note that the descriptions in the rubrics were intentionally constructed in a parallel manner so that the descriptors use similar terminology (i.e., *Highly Effective* uses “role model,” *Approaching Effective* uses “inconsistent,” and *Ineffective* uses “inadequate” or “fails to.”)
- Documentation Evidence: The use of Documentation Evidence is optional, but recommended as it provides superintendents input into their evaluation and provides evidence for those performance standards that are not easily observed.
- Stakeholder Surveys: The use of surveys is optional. They should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Survey questions provided in this handbook have been specifically selected to address the performance standards but may be modified by the local school division.
- Measures of Student Progress: The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met in the evaluation is the responsibility of local school boards. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress (e.g., progress tables, goal setting for student achievement, other valid measures).
- Other Data Sources: Data sources other than those specified above (e.g., conferences, meetings) may be used as determined by the local school board.
- Rating Levels: The rating level names are highly recommended but may be modified by the local school board. It is recommended that the rating terminology parallel that which is used for teacher and principal evaluation.
- Formative Assessment: All superintendents should receive a formative assessment prior to the summative evaluation.

- Summative Evaluation: The *Code of Virginia* requires all superintendents to receive a summative evaluation.
- Single Summative Rating: All superintendents will receive a single summative rating to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The *Guidelines* provide suggested weighting.
- Forms: The forms provided in the *Guidelines* have been developed to include the required information, but school boards may modify them as needed.

●

Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents

The uniform performance standards for superintendents are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual superintendent initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each superintendent by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

Defining Superintendent Performance Standards

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the superintendent performance standards. A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and school boards reasonably understand the job expectations. It should be noted that the superintendent works with the school board, division staff, and other stakeholders to accomplish the performance standards.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach of performance standards and performance indicators.

Performance Standards

Performance standards define the criteria expected when superintendents perform their major duties. For all superintendents, there are seven-eight performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Performance Standards

1. Mission, Vision, and Goals	Strategic Leadership <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress, creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i>
2. Planning and Assessment	<i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i>
3. Instructional Leadership	<i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i>
4. Organizational Leadership and Safety	Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.</i>

<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>
<p>67. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>

Performance Indicators

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which superintendents are meeting each standard. This helps superintendents and school boards clarify performance levels and job expectations. ~~That is, the performance indicators provide the answer to what must be performed.~~ Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being fulfilled successfully met. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive and they are is not intended to be prescriptive. ~~It should be noted that i~~ Indicators in one performance standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Superintendents and school boards should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a superintendent's performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow.

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership

The superintendent ~~works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress;~~ creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and recommend implement policies that define organizational expectations; and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders that result in continuous improvement.
- 1.23 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, and staff, students, and community.
- 1.34 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues confronting affecting the school division employees and students and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.45 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.56 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.67 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.78 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.89 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.910 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.21 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.32 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.43 Seeks and utilizes—uses human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.54 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.65 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student learning outcomes.
- 2.76 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.87 Applies and eCommunicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement and feedback.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

*The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, **division leaders**, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of ~~effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement~~. **Instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.***

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 ~~Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.~~ **Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.**
- 3.2 ~~Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.~~ **Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.**
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements **to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.**
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 ~~Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.~~ **Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.**

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective impactful and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- ~~4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.~~
- ~~4.9 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management, with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.~~
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through ~~effective~~ proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes ~~effective~~ professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with ~~all~~ the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, ~~gender sensitivity~~, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 67.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 67.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 67.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 67.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 67.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 67.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 67.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques; and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 67.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to network with colleagues to share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 67.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Performance Standard 78: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 78.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 78.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 78.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 78.5 Utilizes Uses internal division and external constituent stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student progress-outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 78.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 78: If a superintendent effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 78: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia superintendent evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 78 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis should be used where applicable.

Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance

In order to **To** develop a complete picture of the superintendent's performance, board members should use multiple sources of information in assessing performance quality. These data sources might include formal and informal observations, **client stakeholder** surveys, artifacts of performance, goal setting, and other relevant sources of performance information. As representatives of the community, board members often receive unsolicited opinions about the performance of the superintendent, most often from individuals who are not pleased or disagree with an action or decision of the superintendent. Although it is tempting to use selected data sources in assessing the superintendent's performance, *some sources may be more problematic than others; thus, these problematic, unsolicited, non-representative data should be very carefully and cautiously considered before applying the data to superintendent evaluation, if they are to be used at all.* For data sources to be acceptable, they must meet the tests of logic, validity, reliability, fairness, and legality.²⁶ Answering questions like the ones that follow will assist board members in determining whether various data sources meet these tests:

- Are the data caused by or the responsibility of the superintendent?
- Do the data reflect responsibilities included in the superintendent's job description?
- Are the data linked to student learning, welfare, or other needs?
- Are the data of primary importance in considering the quality of the superintendent's performance?
- Are better data available on the same issue?

Board members should work with the superintendent to reach consensus on the evidence-based data sources to be used.

The suggested sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on superintendent performance. Data sources may include, but are not limited to, the sources in Figure 3.1.

²⁶ These recommendations are adapted from: Peterson, K.D. (1995) (2000). *Teacher evaluation: A comprehensive guide to new directions and practices* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Figure 3.1: *Suggested Documentation Sources for Superintendent Evaluation*

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation (Recommended)	Self-evaluation reveals superintendents’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of a self-evaluation should inform superintendents’ personal goals for professional development.
Documentation Evidence (Recommended)	Items generated by superintendents provide evidence of meeting the seven eight performance standards.
Client Stakeholder Survey (Recommended)	Client Stakeholder surveys provide information to superintendents about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the superintendent who prepares a survey summary as part of the documentation evidence. Surveys should be used sparingly and for formative purposes only.
Goal Setting (Recommended)	Superintendents, in conjunction with the school board, set goals for professional growth and school improvement. These goals should reflect expected or required performance benchmarks drawn from local and state guidelines and policies.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a superintendent evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs. Also, with only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.

Alignment of Performance Standards with Data Sources

Whether a superintendent is meeting the performance standards may be evidenced through multiple data sources. Figure 3.2 shows the alignment of performance standard by data source.

Figure 3.2: *Aligning Multiple Data Sources with Performance Standards*

Performance Standard	Self-Evaluation	Documentation Evidence	Client Survey*	Goal Setting
1. Mission, Visions, and Goals	+	X	+	X
2. Planning and Assessment	+	X	+	X
3. Instructional Leadership	+	X	+	X
4. Organizational Leadership and Safety	+	X	+	X
5. Communication and Community Relations	+	X	+	X
6. Professionalism	+	X	+	X
7. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress		X		X

~~* Survey summaries are part of the documentation evidence.
X = Primary Data Source / = Secondary Data Source~~

~~Note: With only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.~~

Self-eEvaluation

The superintendent's annual self-evaluation of progress toward meeting performance goals encourages reflection on his/her experiences. It also provides a structure to consider future goals and determine strategies for achieving goals. The self-evaluation process is also useful in promoting the superintendent's professional development. ~~Data from self-evaluations may not be objective enough to use in evaluating the superintendent for summative purposes.~~ However, self-evaluations at the middle and end of each year can reveal discrepancies in perceptions of performance between the superintendent and the board and may be very useful in generating dialogue to discuss discrepancies revealed. The superintendent may consider self-rating at the end of the year and sharing this with the school board. A sample *Superintendent Self-e*Evaluation Form is provided on the following pages.

SAMPLE Superintendent Self-Evaluation Form

Directions: Superintendents should use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

1. ~~Mission, Vision, and Goals~~ Strategic Leadership

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

2. Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

3. Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

5. Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

67. Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

Documentation Evidence

Evidence of a superintendent's performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that superintendents actually do. Documentation provides school boards with information related to specific standards and provides superintendents with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with the board. Documentation can confirm a superintendent's effort to demonstrate ~~exemplary~~ highly effective performance, can show continuing work at an ~~effective~~ proficient level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously-identified deficiency. Documentation evidence is maintained by the superintendent and reviewed ~~periodically~~ by the school board through the evaluation process.

A sample optional *Documentation Cover Sheet* is provided on the next page.

SAMPLE Documentation Cover Sheet *(optional)*

Directions: The superintendent should list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation may also need to be supplemented with conversation, discussion, and/or annotations to clarify the superintendent’s practice and process for the evaluator.

Superintendent’s Name: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership <i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p>		
<p>2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p>		
<p>3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff,</i></p>		

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p><i>division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p>		

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>		
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>		
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and</i></p>		

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p><i>implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>		
<p>67. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards—and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>		
<p>78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>		

Client Stakeholder Survey

Some would suggest that all members of the community should have an opportunity to provide feedback data for the board to consider in evaluating the superintendent. One consideration in collecting data using a community survey is cost. However, the real challenge is to collect such data so that it meets the tests of logic, reliability, and fairness. Some members of the community will be able to provide information based on personal experience(s) with the schools. For example, those who have children in the schools, who are involved in community organizations that use school facilities, who work in public service agencies, or who are public officials who interface with the school division in various ways may be able to provide such feedback. Community Stakeholder surveys of such individuals have the potential to provide data that meet the tests valuable input on the superintendent's performance across the eight standards if they are well-conceived, properly administered, and interpreted. Surveys that produce results within reasonable margins of error often are very expensive. Unless they are executed properly, the validity of the results may be questionable. Therefore, surveys should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Any such results also should constitute only one component in the superintendent's evaluation system. An optional Client Stakeholder Survey is shown on the next page. A divisionwide survey could be used in lieu of a client survey. A Survey Summary Form that could be included as part of a superintendent's documentation evidence is included on the subsequent page.

Note: Thoughtful consideration should be given to how client stakeholder surveys are to be used if, indeed, they are used as a relevant data source for superintendent evaluation. For example, surveys should never be administered in a selective, non-random manner; otherwise, the results will be skewed in an unreasonable and non-representative manner. Additionally, the rules for applying client stakeholder surveys should be determined in advance of the start of the evaluation cycle and best practices should be followed. Two basic methods to consider for applying surveys are: 1) as an accountability-focused data source in which the surveys are carefully and fairly administered, scored, and analyzed; or 2) as a formative tool for the professional growth of the superintendent in which the surveys are administered properly, but scored and analyzed by the superintendent, with only a summary report shared with the school board or others. The table of specifications in Figure 3.2 illustrates the alignment between the survey items and performance standards.

Figure 3.2: Table of Specifications

Superintendent Performance Standards	Survey Item #
1 - Strategic Leadership	1-3
2 - Planning and Assessment	4-5
3 - Instructional Leadership	6-8
4 - Organizational Leadership and Resource Management	9-11
5 - Communication and Community Relations	12-15
6 - Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	16-18
7 - Professionalism	19-20

9 14.	Is a positive ambassador for the school division	0	1	2	3	4
12 15.	Provides for Engages in two-way communication	0	1	2	3	4
16.	Is sensitive to the needs of all constituencies in our community	0	1	2	3	4
17.	Provides professional learning opportunities and resources that enhance capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes	0	1	2	3	4
18.	Promotes an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students and staff	0	1	2	3	4
16 19.	Demonstrates a professional demeanor	0	1	2	3	4
17 20.	Is approachable and accessible	0	1	2	3	4

COMMENTS:

SAMPLE Survey Summary Form

Superintendent's Name: _____

Date: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

*Directions: Superintendents should tabulate and analyze the **client stakeholder** surveys and provide a summary of the results. This may be included as part of the superintendent's documentation.*

1. How many surveys did you distribute?
2. How many completed surveys were returned?
3. What is the percentage of completed questionnaires you received? _____ %

Client Stakeholder Satisfaction Analysis

4. Describe your survey population(s).
5. List factors that might have influenced the results.
6. Analyze survey responses and answer the following questions:
 - A) What did **clients stakeholders** perceive as your major strengths?

 - B) What did **clients stakeholders** perceive as your major weaknesses?

 - C) How can you use this information for continuous professional growth?

Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Measures of student learning are vitally important in judging the effectiveness of superintendents, but they should never serve as the sole source for evaluating performance. Waters and Marzano found a significant positive correlation between division leadership and student achievement. While the correlation was small, the finding speaks to the impact the superintendent can have on student academics.²⁷ Other studies have found that the superintendent impacts student academics through more indirect means such as ensuring the division's instructional program is coherent and there is alignment with the mission, vision, and goals.²⁸ Due to these indirect impacts on student academic progress, gains in student learning should be used as only one component in the superintendent evaluation system. The use of student academic measures requires pre- and post-assessments using reliable and valid instruments to determine progress. While there is a place and purpose for fixed standards, such as learning to read at an acceptable level, fixed standards, such as SOL tests, must be regarded carefully when applied to the superintendent's evaluation. Repeated measures of student learning over time enhance reliability from a statistical point of view and credibility from a decision-making perspective.

Why Connect Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress?

The research on student academic progress focuses on both testing and assessment. Research indicates that there is a statistically significant difference in student achievement based on the quality of division-level leadership.²⁸ The increasing demand for accountability makes it no longer plausible that a superintendent goes before the school board or media, and simply claims that the division is doing a great job in educating students. Superintendents must have the skills to explain how well the students compare to others in the state and nation. Additionally, they must be able to articulate how much students have *increased* in valid and appropriate learning measures. The superintendent must be a linchpin in monitoring and evaluating student achievement and student progress on the basis of objectives and expected student outcomes. Therefore, one of the greatest pressures on school superintendents is to obtain higher performance on high-stakes tests from the schools in their division.²⁹

Superintendents must be skilled in responding to accountability demands, from state legislators, state department of education, and the local school board, with strategies to meet benchmarks, and help promote a more comprehensive and inclusive learning environment in the school division. There is a delicate balance between following the vision of higher student performance, and the professional and personal concerns of students, staff, and community.³⁰ Supportive superintendents can influence classrooms through the establishment of mechanisms that can make improved teaching and learning a reality. As an instructional leader, the

²⁷ Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)

²⁸ Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017)

superintendent should: incorporate research findings on learning and instruction, instructional time, and resources to maximize student outcomes; apply best practices in the integration of curriculum and resources; and employ assessment strategies to help all students achieve high levels of success.²¹

Implementation Concerns

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. When deciding how to include student academic progress in superintendent evaluation, local school boards need to be aware of several implementation concerns:

- The increased focus on using student learning measures in superintendent evaluation may be new for some superintendents and their evaluators. Thus, there may be initial concerns to this change in evaluation practices.
- Many of the measures of student academic progress are directly tied to classroom and school-level initiatives, which may cause concern. Thus, school boards will need to carefully consider how to use progress (value) table data and other quantitative measures of academic progress in a way that is appropriate for assessing the overall performance of the school division as part of the superintendent's evaluation.

Virginia Law

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 78: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards.

Goal Setting

One approach to linking student academic progress to superintendent performance involves building the capacity for superintendents to interpret and use student achievement data to set target goals for divisionwide student improvement. Setting goals -- not just any goals, but goals

set squarely on student performance -- is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. In their meta-analysis, Waters and Marzano, found that effective superintendents create goal-oriented school divisions.²⁹ Whenever possible, it is recommended that the goals be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available, such as state performance benchmarks.

The school board, in conjunction with the superintendent, can set annual division goals for the superintendent that are congruent with the division's needs and concerns and are balanced across grades and school levels, as appropriate. The goals then can be reviewed and adjusted as necessary. It is important for the school board and superintendent to think through the shorter-term goals that are needed to address longer-term outcomes and for the school board to recognize and account for the time it takes for initiatives to be realized. Goal setting should occur at the beginning of the superintendent's contract year and the superintendent should report on progress in achieving the goals at regular intervals throughout the evaluation process. This provides a valuable forum for board/superintendent dialogue. Indicators of goal attainment include documentation via the superintendent's oral and written reports as well as other division data that may reflect goal achievement. A sample *Superintendent's Annual Goals* form is shown later in this section.

Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

To be able to measure goal attainment, superintendents must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school division student population's learning needs and priorities. School boards and superintendents should develop mutually agreed-upon measures to include in the evaluation to best reflect the priorities of the division. Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures. Additionally, it is important that multiple, relevant measures be used.

There are several important considerations when identifying multiple measures. The measures may focus on:

- All student performance and reporting group performance;

29

- Specific areas of need;
- Alignment with the strategic plan; and
- Topics/indicators across grade levels.

Figure 4.1 shows suggested focus areas for goal setting that provide measures of divisionwide student academic progress ~~that focus on school division improvement~~. (*Note:* This is not intended as an exhaustive list. Each school board should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school division.)

Figure 4.1: Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Category	Measure	Early elementary school (may include PreK)	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
All Students ² Academic Progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Progress on SOL assessments and/or growth assessments Improvement on advanced pass rates on SOL assessments Increase percentage of middle school students taking high school-level courses Improvements in high school graduation rates Reduce retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>			
Reporting Groups and Other Student Groupings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English Language Learners progress on English language proficiency assessment Increase in percentage of students with disabilities earning Standard and Advanced Studies diplomas Increase in achievement of economically disadvantaged students Reporting groups making increased academic progress Decrease in achievement gap in reporting groups Increase in achievement of Individualized Education Plan goals Improvements in underperforming reporting groups earning a high school diploma 	<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>			
College and Career Readiness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Participation and success in AP and dual enrollment courses advanced coursework (i.e., Dual Enrollment, AP, IB, industry certification) Enrollment and achievement in postsecondary education Increase in percentage of students earning career and technical industry certification, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials 				<p>✓</p> <p>✓</p> <p>✓</p>
Reading/Literacy Readiness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On track indicators such as Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening or similar measures available locally 	<p>✓</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>✓</p>	<p>✓</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SOL test outcomes • Benchmark outcomes 	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
Mathematics Readiness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress on Algebra readiness assessments such as the Algebra Readiness Diagnostic Test • Enrollment and success in Algebra I by eighth grade • SOL test outcomes • Benchmark outcomes 	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓
STEM Education	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in percentage of underrepresented students taking advanced STEM courses • Increase in number of industry certifications 				✓ ✓
Student Progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes • Increased student academic progress based on progress (value) table data 	✓	✓	✓	✓
Student Engagement and Social/Emotional Wellness Nonacademic Core Activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in percentage of students involved in extracurricular activities • Increase in percentage of students receiving prestigious awards, scholarships, and recognition • Attendance data • Wellness indicators about students' social/emotional well-being • Behavior and discipline data 	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓

Sample: Superintendent’s Annual Goals

Directions: This form is a tool to assist superintendents in setting goals that result in measurable divisionwide student academic progress. Goals may relate to other standards, but all goals should address Standard 78 as well. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

Preliminary approval granted by school board on: _____

Mid-year review conducted by school board on: _____

Year-end review conducted by school board on: _____

Goal:					
Check the standard(s) to which the goal relates <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Planning and Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Instructional Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 67. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress					
Expected term to completion: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input type="checkbox"/> Mid-term <input type="checkbox"/> Long-term					
<i>Indicators of Success</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 100px;"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Evidence to Date</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 100px;"> </td> </tr> </table>	<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>		<i>Evidence to Date</i>	
<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>					
<i>Evidence to Date</i>					

 Evaluator’s Signature

 Date

Evaluator's Name

Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, school boards should conduct both formative assessments and summative evaluations of superintendents.

Formative Assessment

Formative assessment can provide valuable information to superintendents. At any point during the year, the school board has the option to share its assessment of the superintendent's performance by discussing the evidence related to the ~~seven~~eight-standards. An optional *Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report* is provided on the following pages. It should be noted that this report does not include an actual rating in any of the performance standards.

SAMPLE Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report (optional)

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator: _____

<p>Performance Standard 1. Mission, Vision, and Goals Strategic Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division’s mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress. creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 2. Planning and Assessment</p> <p><i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 3. Instructional Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 4. Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>

<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership</p> <p><i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 67. Professionalism</p> <p><i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>Performance Standard 78. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress</p> <p><i>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p> <p>See Superintendent's Annual Goal for details.</p> <p>Comments:</p>

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Summative Evaluation

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple data sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all superintendents.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed. The performance standards and performance indicators provide a description of well-defined expectations.

Definitions of Ratings

The rating scale provides a description of four levels of how well the standards (i.e., duties) are performed on a continuum from “Exemplary” to “Unacceptable.” The use of the scale enables school boards to acknowledge effective performance (i.e., “Exemplary” and “Proficient”) and provides two levels of feedback for superintendents not meeting expectations (i.e., “Developing/Needs Improvement” and “Unacceptable”). The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the seven eight performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale

Category	Description	Definition
Exemplary Highly Effective	The superintendent performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that <u>consistently and considerably surpass</u> the established performance standard, <u>and does so in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals</u> . This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is <u>demonstrated with significant student academic progress done in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals</u> .	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over <u>the evaluation cycle a period of time</u> • empowers principals, teachers and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student <u>academic progress learning</u> and the school division climate • serves as a role model to others
Proficient Effective	The superintendent <u>consistently meets</u> the performance standard in a manner that is <u>consistently aligned</u> with the division’s mission and goals <u>and has a positive impact on student academic progress</u> .	Effective Proficient performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>consistently meets</u> the requirements contained in the <u>job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard</u> • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student academic progress and the school climate • demonstrates <u>a</u> willingness to learn and apply new skills

Category	Description	Definition
Developing/ Needs Improvement Approaching Effective	The superintendent's performance is inconsistent in meeting the established performance standard and/or working toward the division's mission and goals. The superintendent may be starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the superintendent's performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement). The superintendent often performs less than required in the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the division's mission and goals and results in below average student academic progress.	Below acceptable-Developing/needs improvement performances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> requires support in meeting the performance standards results in less than expected quality of student academic progress performance leads to areas for requires superintendent professional growth improvement being jointly identified and planned between the superintendent and school board
Unacceptable Ineffective	The superintendent consistently performs below the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school division's mission and goals and results in minimal student academic progress.	Ineffective-Unacceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not meet the requirements contained in the job description as expressed in the evaluation criteria performance standard results in minimal student academic progress learning may contribute to a recommendation for the superintendent not being considered recommended for continued employment

How a Performance Rubric Works

Evaluators have two tools to guide their judgments for rating superintendents' performance for the summative evaluation: 1) the sample performance indicators, and 2) the performance rubric.

Sample Performance Indicators

Performance indicators are used in the evaluation system to identify, in observable behaviors, performance of the major job standards. They were introduced in Part 2, and examples are provided again in this section.


Performance Rubrics

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed. It describes acceptable performance levels for each of the seven performance standards. It states the measure of performance expected of superintendents and provides a general description of performance at each level what a rating entails. The rating scale is applied to the summative evaluation of superintendents. The performance rubrics guide evaluators in assessing how well a standard is performed. They In some instances, quantitative terms are included to augment the qualitative description. The resulting performance rubric provides a clearly delineated step-wise progression, along a continuum of effectiveness (as illustrated with arrows between the two levels). Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. Teachers who

earn a *Highly Effective* rating must meet the requirements for the *Effective* level and go beyond it. Performance rubrics are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help superintendents focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. **Please note: The rating of “Proficient Effective” is the expected level of performance and is written as the actual performance standard.** A superintendent who is new to the division or position may be considered “developing” *Approaching Effective* in a standard. Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented here may be modified at the discretion of the school board.

Figure 5.2: Example of a Performance Rubric

Exemplary <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Proficient...</i>	Proficient <i>Proficient is the expected level of performance.</i>	Developing/Needs Improvement	Unacceptable
The superintendent proactively seeks out research on the effective use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.	The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.	The superintendent does not gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic success.



Performance Rubrics for Performance Standards

Superintendents are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: ~~Mission, Vision, and Goals~~ Strategic Leadership


The superintendent ~~works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress;~~ creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and recommend implement policies that define organizational expectations; and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders that result in continuous improvement.
- 1.23 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, and staff, students, and community.
- 1.34 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues confronting affecting the school division employees and students and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.45 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.56 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.67 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.78 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.89 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.910 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.



Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment


The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.21 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.32 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.43 Seeks and utilizes uses human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.54 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.65 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student learning outcomes.
- 2.76 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.87 Applies and eCommunicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement and feedback.



Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership


*The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, **division leaders**, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of **effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement**. **Instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.***

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 ~~Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.~~ **Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.**
- 3.2 ~~Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.~~ **Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.**
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements **to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.**
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 ~~Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.~~ **Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.**



Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management


The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective impactful and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- ~~4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.~~
- ~~4.9 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.~~
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.



Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations


The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.



Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021



Performance Standard 67: Professionalism


The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 67.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 67.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 67.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 67.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 67.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 67.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 67.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques; and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 67.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to network with colleagues to share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 67.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.



Performance Standard 78: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress


The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 78.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 78.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 78.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 78.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 78.5 Utilizes Uses internal division and external constituent stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student progress-outcomes.
- 78.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 78.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 78.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 78.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.



Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation

School boards make judgments about the performance of the seven eight performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the school board applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a superintendent's performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the "preponderance of evidence" exists, based on various data sources. ~~Two~~A sample *Superintendent Summative Performance Reports* ~~are~~ ~~is~~ provided on the following pages. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the superintendent at a summative evaluation conference.

Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy. Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the seven eight performance standards.

Single Summative Rating

In addition to receiving a diagnostic rating for each of the eight performance ratings, the superintendent will receive a single summative evaluation rating at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle. This summative rating will reflect an overall evaluation rating for the employee. The intent is not to replace the diagnostic value of the eight performance standards; rather it is to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The overall summative rating will be judged to be *Highly Effective, Effective, Approaching Effective, or Ineffective*.

Scores will be calculated using the following scale:

Highly Effective = 4

Effective = 3

Approaching Effective = 2

Ineffective = 1

The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 7.8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. ~~The following example complies with this requirement.~~

~~In determining the final summative rating, the following approach could be used:~~

~~1. Apply numbers 1 (Unacceptable) through 4 (Exemplary) to the rating scale~~

~~Exemplary = 4~~

~~Proficient = 3~~

~~Developing/Needs Improvement = 2~~

~~Unacceptable = 1;~~

~~2. Calculate the weighted contribution of each standard to the summative evaluation; and~~

~~3. Add the weighted contribution to achieve the final summative evaluation.~~

The Guidelines recommend the following weighting for superintendent performance evaluations:

Figure 5.3: Sample Weighting

Superintendent Performance Standard	Weight
Standard 1	1.25
Standard 2	1.25
Standard 3	1.25
Standard 4	1.25
Standard 5	1.25
Standard 6	1.25
Standard 7	1.25
Standard 8	1.25

Figure 5.4: Example of Recommended Weighted Calculations for Superintendent Performance Evaluation

Superintendent Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 2	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 3	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 4	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 5	Effective	3	1.25	453.75
Standard 6	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 7	Highly Effective	4	1.25	65
Standard 8	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Cumulative Single Summative Rating				3433.75

The overall single summative rating will be judged as *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective* using the following range of scores:

Figure 5.5: Range of Scores

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

School boards will have to determine the range of scores within the Cumulative Summative Rating that are indicative of “Exemplary,” “Proficient,” “Developing/Needs Improvement,” and “Unacceptable” performance.

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report Option A

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to provide the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent's Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Goals

The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Works with the school board to develop and recommend policies that define organizational expectations, and effectively communicates these to all stakeholders.
- 1.2 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, and professionalism with the school board and staff.
- 1.3 Keeps the school board informed on needs and issues confronting school division employees and students.
- 1.4 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.5 Functions as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary when advising the school board.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise.
- 1.9 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 2.2 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.3 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Seeks and utilizes human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.5 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.6 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in student learning.
- 2.7 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal and state standards.
- 2.8 Applies and communicates findings to all stakeholders to ensure continuous improvement.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1—Communicates a clear vision of excellence and continuous improvement consistent with the goals of the school division.
- 3.2—Directs staff to set specific and challenging, but attainable goals for higher performance that result in improved student learning.
- 3.3—Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4—Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements.
- 3.5—Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6—Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7—Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8—Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9—Provides staff development programs consistent with program evaluation results and school instructional improvement plans.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques of classroom management, student discipline, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and most effective teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the effective and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development for all categories of personnel consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback.
- 4.8 Provides support and resources for staff to improve job performance and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.9 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop, assess, and improve procedures and policies that maximize the amount of available time for successful teaching, learning, and professional development.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations*The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective communication with stakeholders.***Sample Performance Indicators***Examples may include, but are not limited to:***The superintendent:**

- 5.1 Models and promotes effective communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with all stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input as a part of the decision making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, gender sensitivity, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Comments:**RATING:** Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 6: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating professional standards and ethics, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 6.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission and goals of the school division.
- 6.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to actions of others.
- 6.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 6.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 6.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 6.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques, and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 6.8 Networks with colleagues to share knowledge about effective educational practices and to improve and enhance administrative knowledge, skills, and organizational success.
- 6.9 Actively seeks opportunities to stay abreast of the latest research on educational leadership by collaborating with experts in the field.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Performance Standard 7: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 7.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 7.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 7.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 7.5 Utilizes internal division and external constituent meetings and professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 7.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 7.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive interactions with parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 7.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 7.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Comments:

RATING: Exemplary Proficient Developing/Needs Improvement Unacceptable

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

~~Exemplary~~

~~Proficient~~

~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~

~~Unacceptable~~

~~Recommended for Targeted Professional Growth. (One or more standards are Unacceptable; or two or more standards are Developing/Needs Improvement.)~~

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

<hr/>	
_____ Evaluator's Name	_____ Superintendent's Name
<hr/>	
_____ Evaluator's Signature	_____ Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)
<hr/>	
_____ Date	_____ Date

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report ~~Option B~~

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to providing the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent's Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: ~~Mission, Vision, and Goals~~ Strategic Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes a highly productive relationship with the local school board to drive lead strategic improvement formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress learning.</p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent works with the local school board to formulate and implement the school division's mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress: creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency in working with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress: is inconsistent in creating, monitoring, and/or facilitating the process of strategic improvement, and/or seeking to ensure the division's mission, vision and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent does not work with the local school board to formulate and implement the school divisions, mission, vision, and goals to promote student academic progress: fails to create, monitor, and/or facilitate the process of strategic improvement, and/or fails to seek to ensure the division's mission, vision and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments:

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent proactively seeks out and applies research on the effective impactful use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance and student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent does not fails to gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p>
<p>The superintendent actively and consistently employs innovative and effective impactful leadership strategies that empower teachers, staff, and administrators division leaders; maximize student academic progress; and result in effective teaching and learning that reflects excellence.</p>	<p>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>	<p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by facilitating ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>	<p>The superintendent does not fails to foster the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by facilitating ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of effective teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement. instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</p>

Comments:

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Safety Resource Management

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent is highly exemplifies leadership in efficient and safe operations and effective at organizational management by promoting staff innovation, demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating safe, efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>ProficientEffective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and/or evaluating the division's organization, operation, safety, and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent inadequately fails to foster the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supports supporting, manages managing, and/or evaluates evaluating the division's organization, operation, safety and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate, collaborate, and engage effectively impactfully with stakeholders.</p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>ProficientEffective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through effective proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent has not reached a level of proficiency is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communication with the board, staff, families, and/or other in communicating on issues of importance to stakeholders.</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent demonstrates ineffective or detrimental communication with stakeholders. fails to foster the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communications with the board, staff, families, and/or other stakeholders.</p>
--	--	--	--




Comments:


Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

<p>Exemplary Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective Proficient...</i></p> <p>The superintendent consistently engages internal and external stakeholders in demonstrating a shared commitment to ensuring a culturally responsive environment that objectively monitors student outcomes and allocates resources to ensure the creation of a culturally responsive environment where all students and staff thrive.</p>	<p>Proficient Effective <i>Proficient-Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes division equity goals, priorities, and strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice to support achievement for all students. The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p>	<p>Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent is inconsistent in establishing division equity goals, priorities, and/or strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice, which result in equitable outcomes for all students. The superintendent is inconsistent in establishing and implementing division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p>	<p>Unacceptable Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent fails to establish division equity goals, priorities, and/or strategies, including those that encourage culturally responsive practice, which result in equitable outcomes for all students. The superintendent fails to establish and implement division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 67: Professionalism



Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress



Overall Evaluation Summary:

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points HE=4 E=3 AE=2 IE=1	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1			1.25	
Standard 2			1.25	
Standard 3			1.25	
Standard 4			1.25	
Standard 5			1.25	
Standard 6			1.25	
Standard 7			1.25	
Standard 8			1.25	
Single Summative Rating				

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

Overall Evaluation Summary (based on Cumulative Summative rating range decided by school division):

Include comments here

- ~~Exemplary~~ **Highly Effective**
- ~~Proficient~~ **Effective**
- ~~Developing/Needs Improvement~~ **Approaching Effective**
- ~~Unacceptable~~ **Ineffective**
- Recommended for Targeted Professional Growth. (One or more standards are *Unacceptable Ineffective*, or two or more standards are *Developing/Needs Improvement Approaching Effective*.)**

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance

Supporting superintendents is essential to the success of school divisions. Many resources are needed to assist superintendents in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help superintendents develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school divisions.

Targeted Professional Growth, a division-level discussion between the school board and the superintendent, is an optional process to promote conversation about performance ~~in order~~ to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth. Figure 6.1 highlights the process.

Figure 6.1: *Tool to Increase Professional Performance*

	Targeted Professional Growth
Purpose	For superintendents who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth.
Initiates Process	School board or superintendent
Documentation	Form Provided: None Optional Targeted Professional Growth Form Memo or other record of the discussion/other forms of documentation at the school board level
Outcomes	Performance improvement is documented with the support dialogue continued at the discretion of the school board or the superintendent

The *Targeted Professional Growth* process is initiated by the school board or superintendent at any point during the school year when the superintendent’s professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns. The *Targeted Professional Growth* process should not be construed as applying to poor-performing superintendents. The option for *Targeted Professional Growth* is open to any superintendent who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the superintendent’s growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Targeted Professional Growth Form* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the school board and superintendent meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?

What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?

What support can the school board provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

The last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?

What has not gone as well?

The entire *Targeted Professional Growth* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within ~~a six-week period~~ **six weeks**) as it offers targeted support. If the *Targeted Professional Growth* process was initiated by a superintendent seeking self-improvement, the school board and superintendent may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For a superintendent for whom the school board initiated the *Targeted Professional Growth* process, the desired outcome would be that the superintendent's practice has improved to a proficient level. ~~In the event that~~ **If** improvements in performance are still needed, the school board ~~makes a determination~~ **determines** either to extend the time of the *Targeted Professional Growth* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources.

SAMPLE: Targeted Professional Growth *(optional)*

Directions: School boards and superintendents may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

References

- American Association of School Administrators. (1980). *Evaluating the superintendent*. Arlington, VA: Author.
- Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020). Superintendents' perception of their role and their professional development in an era of changing organizational environment. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 19*(3), 462-476.
- ~~Björk, L. G. (1993). Effective schools—effective superintendents: The emerging instructional leadership role. *Journal of School Leadership, 3*, 246-259.~~
- ~~Brendeson, P. V. (1996). Superintendents' role in curriculum development and instructional leadership: Instructional visionaries, collaborators, supporters, and delegators. *Journal of School Leadership, 6*(3), 243-264.~~
- Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007). Identifying the relative strength in Glasser's 5 basic needs in school superintendents. *AASA Journal of Scholarship and Practice, 3*(4), 5-11.
- Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (2012). *Superintendent performance evaluation: Current practice and directions for improvement*. Springer Science+Business Media. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- ~~Dillon, R. R., & Halliwell, J. W. (1991). Superintendents' and school board presidents' perceptions of the purpose, strengths and weaknesses of formal superintendent evaluations. *Journal of School Leadership, 1*, 328-337.~~
- DiPaola, M. F. (2010). *Evaluating the superintendent* [White paper]. Retrieved from American Association of School Administrators website: http://www.aasa.org/uploadedFiles/Resources/AASA_White_Paper_on_Superintendent_Evaluation.pdf
- DiPaola, M.F., Schneider, T. L., & Staples, S. R. (2019). *Evaluating school superintendents*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003). *Superintendent evaluation handbook*. Lanham, MA: Scarecrow.
- Filippi, J. R., & Hackmann, D. G. (2019). Leading common core state standards implementation: Lessons from one successful superintendent. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 18*(1), 138-153.
- Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009). The evaluation of principals: What and how do states and urban districts assess leadership? *The Elementary School Journal, 110*, 19–39.
- Henrikson, R. (2018). Superintendent evaluation frameworks for continuous improvement: Using evidence-based processes to promote the stance of improvement. *AASA Journal of Scholarship & Practice, 15*(1), 22-29.

- Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., & Harris, M. (2016). *School leadership interventions under the Every Student Succeeds Act* [Research report]. Retrieved from RAND Corporation website: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_reports/RR1500/RR1550/RAND_RR1550.pdf
- Hord, S. M. (1993). Smoke, mirrors or reality: Another instructional leader. In D. S. G. Carter, T. E. Glass, and S. M. Hord (Eds.), *Selecting, preparing, and developing the school district superintendents* (pp. 1-19). Washington, DC: Falmer Press.
- Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005). *The superintendent as CEO: Standards-based performance*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.
- Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001). Superintendent performance evaluation and its relationship to district student performance. In T. J. Kowalski (Ed.), *21st Century challenges for school administrators* (pp. 272-285). Lanham, MA: Scarecrow Press.
- Jimenez, L., & Sargrad, S. (2017). *A new vision for school accountability* [Report]. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (2009). *The personnel evaluation standards* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). *Management quality in public education: Superintendent value-added, student outcomes and mechanisms* (No. w24028). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017). Characteristics of high-performing school districts. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 16(1), 27–53. doi:10.1080/15700763.2016.1197282.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415-434.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2008). Linking leadership to student learning: The contributions of leader efficacy. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 496–528. doi:10.1177/0013161X08321501.
- Leithwood, K. A., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What Do We Already Know About Successful School Leadership?* AERA Division A Task Force on Developing Research in Educational Leadership, 2–37.
- Leithwood, K., Sun, J., & McCullough, C. (2019). How school districts influence student achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 57(5), 519–539. doi:10.1108/JEA-09-2018-0175.
- Maxfield, C., Wells, C., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. (2008, November 12). *The role of superintendents in supporting teacher leadership*. Retrieved from <http://cnx.org/content/m18262/1.1/>
- Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002). The superintendent's role in leading academically effective school districts. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils of the modern superintendency* (pp. 175-196). Lanham, MD: Scarecrow.

- Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005). The superintendent as instructional leader: Current practice, future conceptualizations, and implications for preparation. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 107-136). ~~Thousand Oaks, CA:~~ Corwin.
- Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011). Identifying the professional development needs of school superintendents. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 6(3), n3.
- ~~Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008). *Qualities of effective principals*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.~~
- Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021). *Qualities of effective principals*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Waters, T. J., & Marzano, R. J. (2006). School District Leadership That Works: The Effect of Superintendent Leadership on Student Achievement. A Working Paper. *Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL)*.
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

Acknowledgements

The Virginia Department of Education appreciates the work of those who contributed to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*, that became effective on July 1, 2014.

Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group

Rev. Jarvis E. Bailey	Dr. Mark Jones
Mr. James Baldwin	Ms. Gena Cook Keller
Dr. Randy Barraek	Mr. William S. Kidd
Dr. BJ Brewer	Mr. D. Patriek Lacy
Dr. Sarah Campbell	Dr. Mark Lineburg
Ms. Anne Carson	Dr. Keith Perrigan
Dr. Walter R. Clemons	Ms. Sharon E. Pope
Dr. Jaek Dale	Dr. Betsy Roberson
Mr. Vincent Darby	Mr. Wendell C. Roberts
Dr. Lyle Evans	Dr. Patrick J. Russo
Ms. Kimberly B. Gray	Mr. Leonard Stewart, Jr.
Dr. Angela Gwynne Atwater	Dr. Thomas A. Whitley
Ms. Kari M. Hall	Mrs. Diana D. Winston

Project Consultants

~~Dr. James H. Stronge~~
With assistance from: ~~Dr. Virginia Caine Tonnison~~

Department of Education Staff

~~Dr. Mark R. Allan~~
~~Dr. Deborah Jonas~~
~~Ms. Patty S. Pitts~~
~~Dr. Kathleen Smith~~
~~Ms. Michelle Vucci~~
~~Dr. Linda Wallinger~~
~~Ms. Anne Wescott~~

Dr. Patricia I. Wright

Virginia Superintendent Evaluation Work Group

Ms. Jenna Alexander

President-elect

Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Ms. Krista Arnold

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Ms. Mandy Baker

Assistant Superintendent of Human Relations and Administration

Hanover County Public Schools

Mr. Randy D. Barrack

Executive Director

Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals

Mr. Timothy Beatty

Principal, Heritage High School

Lynchburg City Schools

Ms. Melinda Bright

Director, VEA Teaching and Learning

Virginia Education Association

Mr. Miles Carey

Assistant Principal, Washington-Liberty High School

Arlington Public Schools

Virginia Education Association Teacher Representative

Dr. Amy Cashwell

Superintendent

Henrico County Public Schools

Dr. Walter Clements

Superintendent

Gloucester County Public Schools

Dr. Jared Cotton

Superintendent

Chesapeake Public Schools

Dr. Lyle Evans

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Education

University of Richmond

Dr. Tomika L. Ferguson

Assistant Dean, Student Affairs and Inclusive Excellence

Assistant Professor, Educational Leadership

Virginia Commonwealth University

Dr. Daisy Hicks
Superintendent
Buckingham County Public Schools

Dr. Barbara Kanninen
Arlington County School Board
Northeastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Mr. Jason Kessler
Governmental Relations Specialist
Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Ben Kiser
Executive Director
Virginia Association of School Superintendents

Dr. Cardell Patillo
Portsmouth City School Board
At-large Member, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Ms. Jowanda Rollins-Fells
Caroline County School Board Chair
Eastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Ms. Verletta White
Superintendent
Roanoke City Public Schools

Dr. Andrea Whitmarsh
Superintendent
Greene County Public Schools

Mr. David Woodard
Tazewell County School Board
Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Legislative Positions/FRN Committee

Dr. Patricia Zissios
Principal
Lyles Couch Traditional Academy, Alexandria

Virginia Department of Education
Dr. James F. Lane
Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Rosa Atkins
Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Dr. Joan Johnson
Assistant Superintendent of Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. Amy W. Griffin
Education Consultant

Office of Learning and Innovation

Ms. Jenne Nurse

Equity Coordinator

Office of Equity and Community Engagement

Project Consultants

(Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC)

Dr. James H. Stronge

Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson

Dr. Jessica Straessle

Dr. Rachel Ball

~~Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2011] by James H. Stronge. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia.~~

Attribution and copyright for Standard 6 (Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership) is the property of the Virginia Department of Education.

Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2010-2020] by James H. Stronge and Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia or by third-party vendors without prior permission of Stronge & Associates. (Approved by the Virginia Board of Education on XXX).

~~The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, political affiliation, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities. The policy permits appropriate employment preferences for veterans and specifically prohibits discrimination against veterans.~~

Endnotes



GUIDELINES FOR UNIFORM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PRINCIPALS

EFFECTIVE **XXX**

VIRGINIA BOARD OF EDUCATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	iii
Part 1: Introduction	1
Why Quality Evaluation is Important	1
Importance of Recognizing Principal Effectiveness	2
Purposes of Evaluation	2
Principal Growth and Improvement	3
Purposes of this Document	3
What Can School Divisions Modify?	5
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Principals	7
Defining Principal Performance Standards	7
Performance Standards	7
Performance Indicators	8
Part 3: Documenting Principal Performance	17
Self-evaluation	18
Informal Observations/School Site Visits	21
Documentation Evidence	26
Teacher/Staff Surveys	29
Part 4: Connecting Principal Performance to Student Academic Progress	42
Virginia Law	42
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Principal Evaluation	42
Goal Setting	44
Part 5: Rating Principal Performance	49
Interim Evaluation	49
Summative Evaluation	59
Definitions of Ratings	59
Performance Rubrics	60
Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership	61
Performance Standard 2: School Climate	62
Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership	63
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management	64
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations	65
Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*	67
Performance Standard 7: Professionalism	68
Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress	69
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation	70
Single Summative Rating	70
Part 6: Improving Principal Performance	79

Support Dialogue.....	79
Performance Improvement Plan.....	82
Implementation of Performance Improvement Plan.....	82
Resolution of Performance Improvement Plan.....	82
Request for Review of an <i>Ineffective</i> Rating	83
References	86

FOREWORD

THREE-PHASE REVISION PLAN

The Virginia Department of Education and the Board of Education are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of principals.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future principal performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the *Guidelines* in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, *Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*. The establishment of this performance standard addresses House Bill 1904 (identical to Senate Bill 1196) passed by the 2021 General Assembly requiring that “Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the workgroup were incorporated in the *Guidelines*.
- Phase 3, beginning in 2022, will involve a comprehensive revision of the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the principal evaluation system.

PART 1: INTRODUCTION

WHY QUALITY EVALUATION IS IMPORTANT¹

Principal evaluation matters because principals matter. Simply put, high-quality schools are characterized by high-quality principals. Research consistently has revealed that school leadership has an important impact on student achievement gains or progress over years, and among school factors, is second only to that of teacher quality.² In addition to its impact on student achievement, research also indicates that effective school leadership has significant positive effects on student attendance, student engagement with school, student academic self-efficacy, staff satisfaction, and collective teacher efficacy.³

Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our principals are of high quality. The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the depth and complexities of the job. Principals have a challenging task in meeting the needs of an educationally diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide principals with the support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve their efforts.⁴

Because principals are so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of principal performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance principal effectiveness. The benefits of a rigorous, fair, and data-informed evaluation system are numerous and well documented. A meaningful evaluation focuses on professional standards, and through this focus and timely feedback, leaders can recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is designed and implemented appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:⁵

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of principals for annual reviews and compensation;
- as a targeting tool to help principals focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to principals, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable principals to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger schoolwide improvement.

The evaluation process should be a tool to identify and promote strengths.

IMPORTANCE OF RECOGNIZING PRINCIPAL EFFECTIVENESS

Characterizing principal effectiveness is important because there is a substantial relationship between the quality of the principal and student achievement. Principal leadership plays an important role in coordinating curriculum, improving instructional programs, staffing instructional programs, supervising and evaluating teaching, monitoring student learning, and buffering staff from interruptions to their work.⁶ As noted earlier in this document, school leadership is the second most influential school-level factor on student achievement, falling only behind teacher quality.⁷ Research has shown over the years that the effectiveness of a principal can add a significant boost to student performance and may account for up to 15 percent of the overall school effect on student achievement.⁸ A meta-analysis of research covering 30 years on the effects of principal leadership practices on student achievement conducted by Waters, Marzano, and McNulty revealed that the effectiveness of a school's leadership is significantly associated with increased student academic performance.⁹ For instance, several leader behaviors related to school vision, including establishing clear goals and fostering shared beliefs, were associated with student learning. They found the average effect size between leadership and student achievement to be 0.25. That means a one standard deviation improvement in leadership effectiveness can translate into an increase of about ten percentile points in student achievement on a standardized, norm-referenced test. More recently a pair of studies conducted by Dhuey & Smith in both the United States and Canada found that improving the effectiveness of the principal by one standard deviation would result in student gains of five percentile points and 11 percentile points in reading and seven percentile points and 16 percentile points in math, respectively.¹⁰ In summary, it is important to recognize that effective principals influence student learning, either directly or indirectly. It also is important to understand the ways and means by which principals influence their schools' educational programs, family engagement, and community partnerships. Therefore, a rigorous principal evaluation system should be able to discriminate the performance of principals and provide informative feedback for improvement and recognition of strengths.

PURPOSES OF EVALUATION

The primary purposes of a quality principal evaluation system are to:

- optimize student learning and growth;
- contribute to the successful achievement of the goals and objectives defined in the vision, mission, and goals of the school division;
- provide a basis for leadership improvement through productive principal performance appraisal and professional growth; and
- implement a performance evaluation system that promotes collaboration between the principal and evaluator and promotes self-growth, leadership effectiveness, and improvement of overall job performance.¹¹

A high-quality evaluation system includes the following distinguishing characteristics:

- benchmark behaviors for each of the principal performance standards;
- a focus on the relationship between principal performance and improved student learning and growth;
- the use of multiple data sources for documenting performance, including opportunities for principals to present evidence of their own performance as well as student growth;
- a procedure for conducting performance reviews that stresses accountability, promotes professional improvement, and increases principals' involvement in the evaluation process; and
- a support system for providing assistance, including appropriate training for evaluatees and evaluators, when needed.¹²

PRINCIPAL GROWTH AND IMPROVEMENT

A principal's professional growth and continuous improvement impact many aspects of a school community, including student and teacher learning, parent and family engagement, policy implementation, and creating and sustaining a supportive school community. As principals gain experience, they grow and become more effective.¹³ However, for this growth and effectiveness to occur, principals need ongoing, high-quality professional development.¹⁴ Using an evaluation system that focuses on professional growth is one way to help principals recognize areas where professional development may help in improving the performance of their duties and responsibilities.

Ensuring principal evaluations are educative – that is, they provide useful, important, and beneficial data – is central to helping principals improve their leadership in making evaluation feedback vital to growth.¹⁵ The principals' evaluations should be rigorous, fair, and equitable, with results that are provided with consistency.¹⁶ Evaluations should ensure principals are provided frequent, specific, and high-quality feedback to help improve practice, as well as a support system that promotes using feedback for growth and professional development.¹⁷ Additionally, ensuring the evaluations are used with fidelity by evaluators who are trained in evaluation will reinforce the growth and development of effective leaders in the schools and their communities.¹⁸

PURPOSES OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document was developed specifically for use with school principals and assistant principals. For the purpose of this document, the term principal will be used to reference both principals and assistant principals. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems.

The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that principal evaluations be consistent with the **performance standards** set forth in the Board of Education's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents* and (2) that school

boards' procedures for evaluating principals and assistant principals address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-253.13:5. Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

- B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, administrator, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance standards included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating. Teacher evaluations shall include regular observation and evidence that instruction is aligned with the school's curriculum. Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

Section 22.1-294. (Probationary terms of service for principals, assistant principals and supervisors; evaluation; reassigning principal, assistant principal or supervisor to teaching position) states, in part, the following:

- B. Each local school board shall adopt for use by the division superintendent clearly defined criteria for a performance evaluation process for principals, assistant principals, and supervisors that are consistent with the performance objectives set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents* as provided in § 22.1-253.13:5 and that includes, among other things, an assessment of such administrators' skills and knowledge; **student academic progress** [emphasis added] and school gains in student learning; and effectiveness in addressing school safety and enforcing student discipline. The division superintendent shall implement such performance evaluation process in making employment recommendations to the school board pursuant to § 22.1-293....

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* set forth eight performance standards for all Virginia principals. Pursuant to state law, principal evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals* originally approved on February 23, 2012, and subsequently revised on July 23, 2015 and January 10, 2020, provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local principal evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards' procedures for evaluating principals must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

WHAT CAN SCHOOL DIVISIONS MODIFY?

The *Guidelines* provide a uniform approach to principal evaluation which should be used throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. Certain aspects are prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, while others are highly recommended based on the research surrounding effective principals and best evaluation practices drawn from experiences in using principal evaluation. However, the Virginia Department of Education recognizes the importance of providing local school divisions with the flexibility to make certain modifications to the evaluation system to meet their unique needs. The bullets below describe the major components of the evaluation system and what can and cannot be modified.

- Performance Standards: Performance standards should not be modified.
- Performance Indicators: Performance indicators are based on the research relating to effective principals, but school divisions may modify them to meet their needs or areas of focus.
- Performance Rubrics: School divisions may modify performance rubrics, but the *Effective* level is written as the actual performance standard, so it should not be modified. Also note that the descriptions in the rubrics were intentionally constructed in a parallel manner so that the descriptors use similar terminology (i.e., *Highly Effective* uses “role model,” *Approaching Effective* uses “inconsistent,” and *Ineffective* uses “inadequate” or “fails to.”)
- Informal Observations/School Site Visits: Observations and school site visits are optional but recommended. The implementation details (e.g., frequency, duration, completion dates) are left to the local school division.
- Documentation Evidence: The use of documentation evidence is optional, but recommended, as it provides principals input into their evaluation and provides evidence for those performance standards that are not easily observed.
- Teacher/Staff Surveys: Surveys are optional, but recommended, as perceptions of principal performance provide a valuable source of feedback. Survey questions provided in this handbook have been specifically selected to address the performance standards but may be modified by the local school division.
- Measures of Student Progress: The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met in the evaluation is the responsibility of local school boards. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress (e.g., progress tables, goal setting for student achievement, other valid measures).

- Other Data Sources: Data sources other than those specified above (e.g., conferences, meetings) may be used as determined by the local school division.
- Rating Levels: The rating level names are highly recommended but may be modified by the local school division.
- Interim Evaluation: All beginning principals should receive an interim review to provide systematic feedback prior to the summative evaluation.
- Summative Evaluation: The *Code of Virginia* requires all principals to receive a summative evaluation.
- Single Summative Rating: All principals will receive a single summative rating to provide an overall rating of the principal's performance. The *Guidelines* provide suggested weightings.
- Forms: The forms provided in the *Guidelines* have been developed to include the required information, but school divisions may modify them as needed.

PART 2: UNIFORM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR PRINCIPALS

The uniform performance standards for principals are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual principal initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each principal by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

DEFINING PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the principal performance standards. A fair, comprehensive, and equitable evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both principals and evaluators (i.e., superintendent, supervisor) reasonably understand the job expectations.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered system consisting of eight performance standards and multiple performance indicators affiliated with each performance standard intended to define the expectations for principal performance. Principals will be rated on the performance standards using performance rubrics, which are presented in Part 5.

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Performance standards define the criteria expected when principals perform their major duties. For all principals, there are eight performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: *Performance Standards*

1. Instructional Leadership <i>The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i>
2. School Climate <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</i>
3. Human Resources Leadership <i>The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</i>

<p>4. Organizational Management <i>The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</i></p>
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership^a <i>The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.</i></p>
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>8. Student Academic Progress <i>The principal’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which principals are meeting each standard. This helps principals and their evaluators clarify performance levels and job expectations. Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being successfully met. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive and is not intended to be prescriptive. It should be noted that indicators in one performance standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Evaluators and principals should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a principal’s performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow on the subsequent pages.

^a The VDOE Department of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion recommends the following resources: [Navigating EdEquityVA Roadmap](#); (Glossary of Key Terms – pp. 9-10; Virginia Equity Priorities: *Increasing the Cultural Competency of Virginia’s Educator Workforce* – p. 21; Culturally Responsive Educators-Competencies – p. 23); [Report](#) of Virginia’s African American History Education Commission (Subcommittee on Professional Development-Defining Key Terms – p. 14; *Defining Culturally Responsive Practice for Virginia Educators* – pp. 16-18); PD Recommendations – p. 19); and [EdEquityVA Webpage on CR Resources](#).

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and implementation of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance rigorous and relevant teaching and student academic progress and that lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.
- 1.4 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions that improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and maximize overall school effectiveness.
- 1.5 Acquires and shares knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.6 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.7 Generates, aligns, and leverages resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.8 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/caregivers, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.9 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.10 Provides the expectation and focus for continuous learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.11 Promotes and supports professional development and instructional planning and delivery practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of sustained professional development by participating in and providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff for professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, action research).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, instructional practices, school improvement, and student academic progress.

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, emotional, and behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.3 Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, implements, monitors, and communicates a school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management and communicates behavior management expectations to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel-and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally.

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.5 Analyzes data to identify and plan for organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and resolves them in a timely, consistent, and appropriate manner.
- 4.6 Develops short- and long-term goals to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.7 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.8 Plans and prepares a fiscally-responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.9 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.10 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, and other stakeholder input to promote sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and communicate long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in a clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.8 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.9 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.10 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and division decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.11 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

*Note: Equity denotes the fairness of opportunities for student learning and success.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Principal Evaluation Work Group, October-November, 2021

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 7.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes daily.
- 7.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 7.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 7.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 7.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 7.6 Maintains a positive, forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 7.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 7.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 7.9 Engages in personal professional development that positively impacts school effectiveness.
- 7.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 8.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 8.2 Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress.
- 8.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 8.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.
- 8.5 Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 8.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.
- 8.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 8.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 8: If a principal effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 8: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia principal evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 8 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis, along with your data analysis tools, should be used where applicable.

PART 3: DOCUMENTING PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE

The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. Multiple data sources provide for a comprehensive and authentic “performance portrait” of the principal’s work. The sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on principal performance.

Figure 3.1: *Suggested Documentation Sources for Principal Evaluation*

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation (Recommended)	Self-evaluation reveals principals’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of self-evaluation should inform principals’ personal goals for professional development.
Informal Observation/ School Site Visits (Recommended)	Informal observations/school site visits, applied in a variety of settings, provide information on a wide range of contributions made by principals. Informal observations/school site visits may range from watching how a principal interacts with others, to observing programs and shadowing the administrator.
Documentation Evidence (Recommended)	Documentation evidence includes items generated by principals as evidence of meeting the eight performance standards.
Teacher/Staff Survey (Recommended)	Surveys provide information to principals about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the principal who prepares a survey summary for inclusion in the documentation evidence.
Goal Setting (Recommended)	Principals, in conjunction with their evaluators, set goals for professional growth and school improvement.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a principal evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs.

To address the contextual nature of the principal’s job, each principal should provide a school profile narrative to his or her evaluator. This may be done via the *Student Academic Progress Goal Setting Form*. It is strongly recommended that the principal also discuss the unique characteristics of the school with the evaluator.

Evaluators may choose to use the *Formative Assessment Form* at the end of the chapter to document evidence from any of these sources.

SELF-EVALUATION

Self-evaluation is a process by which one may reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of his or her performance, effects, knowledge, and beliefs for self-improvement.¹⁹ By thinking about what works, what does not work, and what types of changes one might make to be more successful, the likelihood of knowing how to improve and actually making the improvements increases dramatically.²⁰ Furthermore, self-evaluation can help a principal to target areas for professional development. A sample *Principal Self-evaluation Form* is provided on the following pages.

SAMPLE Principal Self-evaluation Form

Directions: Principals may use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Principal: _____

Date: _____

<p>1. Instructional Leadership <i>The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>2. School Climate <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>3. Human Resources Leadership <i>The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>4. Organizational Management <i>The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>

5. Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

7. Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

8. Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

INFORMAL OBSERVATIONS/SCHOOL SITE VISITS

Informal observations/school site visits, applied in a variety of settings, provide information on a wide range of contributions made by principals. Informal observations/school site visits may range from watching how a principal interacts with others to observing programs and shadowing the administrator.

Site visits are a method by which evaluators may gain insight into whether principals are meeting the performance standards. Evaluators are encouraged to conduct multiple site visits to the principal's school. During a site visit, evaluators should discuss various aspects of the job with the principal. This can take the form of a formal interview or a less structured discussion. Through questioning, the evaluator may help the principal reflect on his or her performance, which may provide insight into how the principal is addressing the standards. When using documentation evidence, a discussion may also help the principal to think through the artifacts he or she might submit to the evaluator to demonstrate proficiency in each standard. In addition, evaluators can use the principal's responses to the questions to determine issues they would like to further explore with the principal's faculty and staff. Furthermore, it is recognized that in many cases it takes time to effect change in a school, and by having an honest, open discussion, the principal is provided an opportunity to explain the successes and trials the school community has experienced concerning school changes. The site visit also provides an opportunity for the evaluator to offer feedback. Suggested guiding questions an evaluator may want to address are included on the *Informal Observation/Site Visit Form* on the following page. Following the site visit, evaluators should provide timely and written feedback to the principal.

SAMPLE Informal Observation/School Site Visit Form

Directions: Evaluators may use this form to document evidence related to the standards obtained from informal observations or site visits. Suggested guiding questions for discussion are listed under each standard.

Principal's Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Performance Standard 1. Instructional Leadership

The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- How have you facilitated collaboration among teachers?
- How have you strived to improve the teachers' effective instructional practices associated with different subject areas?
- How do you ensure curriculum standards are taught by the teachers and mastered by the students?
- How do you support teachers' performance and provide constructive feedback to them?
- What types of teacher learning and development activities or programs have you participated in or provided this year? What have you learned?
- How do you involve the expertise of teacher leaders?

Comments:

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- Please give some examples of the strategies you use to create and sustain a positive and safe learning environment in your school.
- What are the strategies you use to nurture and sustain a climate of trust in your school?
- Explain how you model desired or expected behaviors and characteristics for students and staff.
- How do you foster positive school attendance for students?
- What are the internal and external factors that you perceive are affecting your school?
- How have you strived to make the school environment more academically rigorous?

Comments:

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *Please give examples of professional development initiatives implemented and/or sustained to improve teacher performance.*
- *In what ways do you support the achievements of high-performing teachers?*
- *How do you support growth among teachers who have yet to meet the standard of effectiveness?*
- *How do you ensure teachers and staff receive the support they need?*
- *How do you acclimate new teachers and staff to the expectations of your building?*
- *How do you foster an atmosphere of professional learning among staff?*
- *What are the most difficult human resources management decisions you have made since your last summative evaluation? What aspects went well and what aspects were challenging?*

Comments:**Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management**

The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *How do you establish routines and procedures for the smooth running of the school that staff members understand and follow?*
- *What information is used to inform the decisions related to organizational management?*
- *Instructional time is one of the most essential resources for student success in learning. How do you protect instructional time?*
- *What strategies do you use to ensure the most effective organizational model within your building?*

Comments:

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *How do you engage in open dialogue with multiple stakeholders from the larger school community?*
- *How do you involve parents and families in student learning?*
- *How do you disseminate needed information (such as student academic progress) to students, staff, parents, and the greater learning community?*
- *Please give an example of how you network with individuals and groups outside the school (e.g., business and government organizations) to build partnerships for pursuing shared goals.*
- *How do you promote the positive happenings or successes of your building?*

Comments:**Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership**

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts

- *How do you collaborate with teachers/staff and community members who may have different viewpoints concerning cultural issues?*
- *How have you implemented programs and procedures that address the differentiated needs of your teachers/staff and students?*
- *In what ways do you encourage and model civil discourse among teachers/staff and families who might have differing viewpoints on cultural issues within your school?*
- *How do you articulate the importance of equitable access to resources as a priority to parents and community members?*
- *How are students' voices included in school events with respect to differing viewpoints?*
- *How does your budget reflect your commitment to equitable opportunities for student learning and success?*
- *Please give an example of how your behaviors, attitudes, and procedures are congruent and whether they allow for differences.*
- *How have you examined how your background may influence your behaviors and attitudes toward students/staff/community members whose background(s) and lifestyle(s) may differ from your own and what is comfortable to you?*
- *How have you provided opportunities for students, staff, and your school community to engage in cultural competency learning?*

Comments:

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *How do you communicate the mission, vision, and values to all stakeholders?*
- *Give an example of a strategy that you learned during professional interactions with colleagues that you have used successfully in your school.*
- *What professional learning have you sought out since the last evaluation cycle?*
- *In what ways have you observed a change in your role as a school leader and your leadership style?*
- *In what ways do you provide service to the profession (e.g., mentoring, involvement in professional organizations, presenting at conferences)?*

Comments:**Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress**

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Suggested Guiding Questions/Prompts:

- *What is the goal setting process in your school for student academic achievement?*
- *What role do stakeholders play in developing and monitoring the school improvement plan?*
- *Please give some examples of the goals your school has set this year that are directly associated with student achievement.*
- *What data are used to measure student academic progress, and how does research support its use as a measurement of progress?*
- *Please explain how interventions are designed, implemented, and evaluated to support student learning.*
- *What type of mid-course corrective actions do you take to accomplish desired student academic outcomes?*
- *How do you empower teachers to be truly engaged in improving student success?*

Comments:

 Evaluator's Signature

 Date

DOCUMENTATION EVIDENCE

Artifacts of a principal’s performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that principals actually do, how they support student growth, and how they contribute to their own professional growth and development.

Documentation provides evaluators with information related to specific standards and provides principals with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with their evaluators. Documentation can confirm a principal’s effort to document exemplary performance, can show continuing work at a proficient level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously identified deficiency.

Artifacts should be authentic and not created solely as documentation evidence. They should provide evidence of one or more of the performance standards. Each artifact may include a caption since the artifact will be viewed in a context other than that for which it was developed. Principals may organize the material in any way they see fit; however, the emphasis should be on the quality of work, not the quantity of materials presented. One to three quality artifacts per standard are recommended.

A sample *Documentation Evidence Cover Sheet* is provided on the following page. This sheet is designed to help a principal organize documents. Also, the sheet provides examples of the types of material a principal might consider providing to show evidence of effectiveness in the eight performance standards.

While the preceding paragraphs have referred to the principal providing his or her own documentation as evidence of meeting the performance standards, evaluators may use additional documentation (e.g., evaluator notes or a running record) relative to the principal’s performance. This type of evaluator documentation may come from a variety of sources such as those mentioned in the *Informal Observation/School Site Visit* section (informally observing the principal during meetings, watching his or her interactions with others, etc.). This type of documentation should be considered along with the principal’s own documentation when making formative and summative assessments. As such, evaluators should write comments related to their own documentation on the *Formative Assessment Form* or the *Summative Assessment Form*, as applicable.

SAMPLE Documentation Evidence Cover Sheet

Directions: The principal may list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation also may need to be supplemented with discussion, and/or annotations to clarify the principal's practice and process for the evaluator.

Principal: _____

School: _____ School Year: _____

Standard	Evidence Included	Principal Reflective Comments
<p>1. Instructional Leadership <i>The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.</i></p>		
<p>2. School Climate <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</i></p>		
<p>3. Human Resources Leadership <i>The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</i></p>		
<p>4. Organizational Management <i>The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>		

<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.</i></p>		
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership <i>The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.</i></p>		
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>		
<p>8. Student Academic Progress <i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>		

Principals are strongly encouraged to reflect on their artifacts although this is optional based on school division policy. School divisions may modify this form to allow principals to provide reflections, either on the actual artifact or via electronic platform tools.

Suggested documentation that may be included: *(This list is intended to provide examples and will vary based on the school's unique characteristics.)*

1. Instructional Leadership: school improvement plan; strategic plan; vision/mission/core belief statements; staff evaluation grid; leadership/school improvement team agendas; building administrator responsibility chart; professional goals; master schedule; student progress monitoring data; schedules for students in the alternative education program; project-specific summaries of a goal; compliance with *Standards of Accreditation*; program development; staff

development plan; school committees and members; classroom observation feedback provided to teachers.

2. School Climate: monthly discipline report; monthly attendance report; Teacher of the Year recommendation; annual report of discipline, crime, and violence; teacher/staff appreciation; summary of surveys of staff; student recognition; student groups/clubs.

3. Human Resources Leadership: staff evaluation schedule including observation schedule; evidence of teachers and staff serving as leaders in the school, school division, and school community; monthly discipline report by teacher; teacher licensure renewal schedule; staff evaluations; staff recognition program; Performance Improvement Plans; mentorship program.

4. Organizational Management: building schedules; administrator responsibility chart; master schedule and course compliance; facility use log; physical plant and grounds management schedule; annual financial audits; attempts to collect outstanding fees and/or obligations; inventory records; career and technical education compliance; state and federal mandated compliance; crisis plans and safety drill documentation; health and medical compliance; completion of annual school safety audit.

5. Communication and Community Relations: faculty meeting agendas; newsletters; PAC/PTO/PTA agendas; event calendars; flyers; optional parent/community survey; web site link; audit; Safe School's committee agendas and minutes of meetings; School Health Advisory Board agendas and minutes of meetings; media communications; presentation to civic/community groups; social media presence.

6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership: samples of culturally-diverse programs or communications; school-level cultural competency professional learning plans, agendas, presentation materials; titles of culturally-diverse books suggested to or used with the staff; differentiated programs.

7. Professionalism: staff development activity agendas; department/grade level meeting documentation; summary of staff surveys; professional conference attendance; professional organization membership; academic course transcripts; sample stakeholder correspondence; public speaking engagements; professional publications and/or conference presentations, and documentation of leadership mentoring or coaching.

8. Student Academic Progress: analysis of grades for the marking period; documentation of meeting established annual goals (e.g., school improvement plan); progress (value) table data, if available and appropriate; data on student achievement from other valid, reliable sources; evidence of growth in identified student groups; increased student enrollment in and completion of advanced coursework and/or CTE pathways. *See listing in the Goal Setting section of this document.*

TEACHER/STAFF SURVEYS

Surveys are an important data collection tool used to gather client (in this instance, teacher/staff) data regarding their perceptions of the principal's performance. Among the advantages of using

a survey design include the rapid turnaround in data collection, the limited cost in gathering the data, and the ability to infer perceptions of a larger population from smaller groups of individuals. One of the benefits of using surveys is that the collected information may help the principal set goals for continuous improvement (i.e., for formative evaluation) – in other words, to provide feedback directly to the principal for professional growth and development.

Principals may administer annual teacher/staff surveys according to school division guidelines during a specified time period (for example, the second nine weeks). The principal will retain sole access to the teacher/staff surveys; however, the principal may provide a summary of the surveys to the evaluator as part of the documentation evidence.

The survey asks teachers/staff to report on items that they have directly experienced. The survey questions address the first seven performance standards. At the principal’s discretion, additional questions may be added to the survey. The table of specifications in Figure 3.3 illustrates the alignment between the survey items and performance standards.

Figure 3.3: Table of Specifications

Principal Performance Standards	Survey Item #
1 - Instructional Leadership	1-4
2 - School Climate	5-8
3 - Human Resources Leadership	9-13
4 - Organizational Management	14-19
5 - Communication and Community Relations	20-23
6 - Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership	24-28
7 - Professionalism	29-31

The evaluation survey described above should not be confused with the school surveys that are developed and administered by VDOE on a biennial basis. The VDOE surveys help evaluate school-level teaching conditions and the impact such conditions have on teacher retention and student achievement. Separate VDOE surveys are administered to classroom instructors, staff, and students (<https://www.doe.virginia.gov/support/school-climate/index.shtml> and <https://www.dcjs.virginia.gov/virginia-center-school-and-campus-safety/school-safety-survey/secondary-school-climate-survey>).

SAMPLE Teacher/Staff Survey^a

Principal’s Name: _____ Date: _____

Survey Respondent is: Teacher/Instructional Staff Support Staff

Directions: Please respond to each statement fairly to help the principal improve his/her performance. If an area is marked with a D, please provide a written explanation. The principal will tally the results and share them with his/her immediate supervisor.

Key: E – Exceeds expectations of performance M – Meets expectations of performance
 D – Demonstrates unacceptable performance N – No basis for judgment

The principal...	E	M	D	N
1. Demonstrates a vested interest in the goals of the school community.				
2. Is visible and accessible in the school.				
3. Protects instructional time.				
4. Provides leadership in the improvement of instruction.				
5. Treats all teachers/staff and students equitably.				
6. Provides supervision in unstructured settings.				
7. Builds/maintains desirable morale level among teachers/staff.				
8. Fosters a caring school climate that is welcoming for staff, students, families, and community members (or other stakeholders).				
9. Makes helpful recommendations for improvement of performance.				
10. Carries out the teacher/staff evaluation program as it is outlined.				
11. Supports teachers in conferences with students and/or parents to the extent circumstances permit.				
12. Seeks teacher/staff recommendations for meaningful professional development.				
13. Provides meaningful professional development.				
14. Uses judgment, creativity, and logical thinking in solving problems.				
15. Initiates change for the good of students and the improvement of the school.				
16. Procures needed materials and equipment.				
17. Involves teachers appropriately in decision-making.				
18. Keeps paperwork to a minimum.				
19. Involves teachers in developing the school improvement plan.				
20. Maintains open lines of communication with employees.				
21. Keeps teachers/staff informed appropriately of communications from the superintendent and other central office personnel.				
22. Gives constructive criticism to teachers in private.				
23. Seeks to problem-solve with students, parents, and other stakeholders.				
24. Demonstrates an appreciation of teacher/staff and student cultural diversity.				
25. Provides equal opportunities to teachers/staff and students regardless of their gender, culture, or political views.				

^a Questions adapted from prior work with Orange County Public Schools

The principal...	E	M	D	N
26. Uses disaggregated data to implement policies and programs which addresses differentiated student needs.				
27. Builds organizational capacity to create and maintain an affirming school environment.				
28. Encourages and models civil discourse among teachers/staff and students who may have different viewpoints on cultural issues.				
29. Shares current educational research, trends, and best practices with stakeholders.				
30. Models professionalism.				
31. Maintains positive rapport with teachers/staff.				

COMMENTS:

SAMPLE Survey Summary Form

Principal's Name: _____

Date: _____

School: _____

School Year: _____ - _____

Directions: Principals may tabulate and analyze the teacher/staff surveys and provide a summary of the results. This may be included as part of the principal's documentation.

1. How many surveys did you distribute?
2. How many completed surveys were returned?
3. What is the percentage of completed questionnaires you received? _____ %

Teacher/Staff Satisfaction Analysis

4. Describe your survey population(s).
5. List factors that might have influenced the results.
6. Analyze survey responses and answer the following questions:

A) What did teachers/staff perceive as your major strengths?

B) What did teachers/staff perceive as your major weaknesses?

C) How can you use this information for continuous professional growth?

SAMPLE Formative Assessment Form

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards from discussions with the principal, site visitations, student academic progress and achievement data, and documentation provided by the principal. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Principal's Name: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and implementation of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance rigorous and relevant teaching and student academic progress and that lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.
- 1.4 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions that improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and maximize overall school effectiveness.
- 1.5 Acquires and shares knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.6 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.7 Generates, aligns, and leverages resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.8 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/caregivers, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.9 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.10 Provides the expectation and focus for continuous learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.11 Promotes and supports professional development and instructional planning and delivery practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of sustained professional development by participating in and providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff for professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, action research).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, instructional practices, school improvement, and student academic progress.

Comments:

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, emotional, and behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.3 Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, implements, monitors, and communicates a school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management and communicates behavior management expectations to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.

Comments:

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel-and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally.

Comments:

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school's mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.5 Analyzes data to identify and plan for organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and resolves them in a timely, consistent, and appropriate manner.
- 4.6 Develops short- and long-term goals to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.7 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.8 Plans and prepares a fiscally-responsible budget to support the school's mission and goals.
- 4.9 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.10 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Comments:

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, and other stakeholder input to promote sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and communicate long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in a clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.8 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.9 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.10 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and division decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.11 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Comments:

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

Comments:

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 7.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes daily.
- 7.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 7.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 7.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive to students, parents/ caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 7.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 7.6 Maintains a positive, forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 7.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 7.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 7.9 Engages in personal professional development that positively impacts school effectiveness.
- 7.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Comments:

<p>Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress <i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p> <p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i></p> <p>The principal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress. 8.2 Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress. 8.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders. 8.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies. 8.5 Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes. 8.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals. 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders. 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines. 8.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks. 8.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes. <p>Comments:</p>
--

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

 Evaluator's Signature

 Date

 Evaluator's Name

PART 4: CONNECTING PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE TO STUDENT ACADEMIC PROGRESS

School leadership is the second most influential school-level factor on student achievement behind teacher quality.²¹ Research has shown the effectiveness of a principal can add an important and significant boost to student performance and accounts for 15 percent of the overall school effect on student achievement.²² Whereas a teacher’s impact is generally direct, a school leader’s impact on student achievement is primarily indirect by promoting of a vision and goals, influencing school conditions, affecting teacher quality and placement, driving instructional quality, and ensuring teachers have the resources available and processes in place to be successful.²³ Principals are charged with supporting and accurately evaluating teachers, and in many cases, are directly responsible for selecting and retaining them. Therefore, an effective principal is an essential element in a successful school. And, in the converse, research has shown that ineffective principals can have a negative effect on teacher and student performance.²⁴ Using measures of student academic progress to inform principal evaluation only makes sense because of the overarching influence that principals have on school success and student learning. Simply put, schools do not rise above the quality of their principals.

VIRGINIA LAW

Virginia law requires principals, assistant principals, and teachers to be evaluated using measures of student academic progress. Section 22.1-294 of the *Code of Virginia* (Probationary terms of service for principals, assistant principals and supervisors; evaluation; reassigning principal, assistant principal or supervisor to teaching position) states, in part, the following:

- B. Each local school board shall adopt for use by the division superintendent clearly defined criteria for a performance evaluation process for principals, assistant principals, and supervisors that are consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents* as provided in § 22.1-253.13:5 and that includes, among other things, an assessment of such administrators' skills and knowledge; **student academic progress** [emphasis added] and school gains in student learning; and effectiveness in addressing school safety and enforcing student discipline. The division superintendent shall implement such performance evaluation process in making employment recommendations to the school board pursuant to § 22.1-293.

METHODS FOR CONNECTING STUDENT PERFORMANCE TO PRINCIPAL EVALUATION

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in

implementation. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. There are three key points to consider in this model:

1. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress.
2. For elementary and middle school principals:
 - Progress (value) table data as provided by the Virginia Department of Education may be used when the data are available and can be used appropriately.²⁵
 - *Student Academic Progress Goals* with evidence that the alternative measure is valid may be used. **Note:** Whenever possible, it is recommended that the second progress measure be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available in the school. These should include improvement in achievement measures (e.g., Standards of Learning assessment results, Student Growth Assessments, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) for the school.
3. For high school principals: The principal evaluation should be measured using *Student Academic Progress Goals* with evidence that the alternative measure is valid. These should include improvement in achievement measures (e.g., Standards of Learning assessment results, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) for the school.

Figure 4.1 provides guidance for incorporating multiple measures of student academic progress into principals’ performance evaluations.

Figure 4.1: *Guidance for Incorporating Multiple Measures of Student Academic Progress into Principal Performance Evaluations*

Principal	Application of Progress Table Data	Other Academic Progress Measures
Elementary School and Middle School	Progress table data*	Measures of student academic progress. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quantitative measures already available in the school that are validated and provide measures of growth (as opposed to absolute achievement) should be given priority. • Goal setting should incorporate data from valid achievement measures (e.g., SOL assessment results, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) that focus on school improvement whenever possible.

High School	Not applicable	Measures of student academic progress other than the progress table data. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quantitative measures already available in the school that are validated and provide measures of growth (as opposed to absolute achievement) should be given priority. However, school improvement in absolute achievement can be used as an indicator for overall student academic progress. Goal setting should incorporate data from valid achievement measures (e.g., SOL assessment results, state benchmarks, local or division assessment results) that focus on school improvement whenever possible.
-------------	----------------	--

* When there are not sufficient progress table data to be representative of students in the school, it may be appropriate to use progress table data as one component of the student academic progress standard, incorporating other validated quantitative measures of growth.

GOAL SETTING

Effective principals place focus on school goals, including student achievement, by supporting, encouraging, and influencing others to accomplish the school’s goals and objectives.²⁶ To accomplish this, effective principals seek professional development on data analysis in order to monitor, compare, and evaluate data trends to interpret and use student achievement data to set target goals for student improvement²⁷. Setting goals – not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance – is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. *Student Academic Progress Goal Setting* is designed to improve student learning.

In many cases, measures of student performance can be directly documented. A value-added or gain score approach can be summarized by comparing the Student Learning Beginning Score with the End Result as seen in the equation in Figure 4.2.

Figure 4.2: *Gain Score Equation*

Student Learning End Result - <u>Student Learning Beginning Score</u> Student Gain Score
--

EXAMPLES OF MEASURES OF STUDENT ACADEMIC PROGRESS

To be able to measure goal attainment, principals must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school settings. Below are suggested focus areas for goal setting (not intended as an exhaustive list and each school division/school should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school setting) that provide measures of student academic progress that focus on school improvement. Parentheses behind each measure indicate the school level to which the measure applies (elementary, secondary, or both).

- Evidence of growth on valid and reliable assessments across reporting groups (both)

- Pattern of improvement in SOL or end of course (EOC) assessment pass rates (both)
- Pattern of improvement in reporting groups achievement on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Pattern of improvement across grade levels on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Decrease in achievement gaps between and among reporting groups on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Pattern of improvement in advanced pass rates on SOL or EOC assessments (both)
- Increase in the number/percent of students with disabilities meeting their Individualized Education Plan (IEP) goals (both)
- Evidence of student mastery using performance-based assessments (both)
- Increase in the percentage of English Learners (ELs) making progress or proficiency on the World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment (WIDA) and increase in the percentage of ELs achieving proficiency on English/reading and mathematics SOL assessments (both)
- Pattern of improvement on formative assessments across designated reporting groups (both)
- Decrease in the number/percent of children at risk of not learning to read by grade 3 (e.g., from fall to spring each year, reduce the percent of children failing to meet Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening [PALS] benchmarks for being on track to be proficient in reading by grade 3) (elementary)
- Decrease in achievement gaps, as identified by PALS, between and among reporting groups of students identified for reading intervention in grades K-2 and of students identified as meeting the High Benchmark status in spring of grade one (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of students meeting the PALS benchmark for Concept of Word in spring of kindergarten (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of students making at least one year's growth in Instructional Oral Reading Level, as measured by PALS or other valid reading assessments, in grades one through three (elementary)
- Increase in the percentage of elementary students successfully meeting curriculum-based measurement benchmarks in English/reading, mathematics, science, and history and social science (elementary)
- Decrease in the percentage of K-2 retentions by demonstrating more students are meeting or exceeding grade-level expectations (elementary)
- Pattern of increased percentage of first- through third-grade students reading on grade level (elementary)
- Increase in examples of nonacademic core middle or high school classes or students receiving prestigious awards consistently (e.g., art, music, band, speech, theater) (secondary)

- Pattern of increased percentage of students across reporting groups who graduate on time (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percentage of students in underperforming reporting groups who enroll in college-level courses in high school (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percentage of students in underperforming reporting groups who earn college credit while in high school (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percentage of students, particularly students from underperforming reporting groups, who are Algebra ready by the time they enter high school (secondary)
- Decrease in the percentage of students who leave eighth grade at risk of not graduating from high school with a Standard or Advanced Studies diploma (secondary)
- Pattern of increased attainment of advanced diplomas across reporting groups (secondary)
- Pattern of increased number of high school students earning career and technical industry certifications, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials (secondary)
- Increase in the percent of students engaging in college prep and/or career readiness activities (PSAT, SATs, etc.) (secondary)
- Increase in the percent of reporting group students taking Advanced Placement/dual enrollment courses/IB courses (secondary)
- Increase in the number/percent of students involved in one or more extracurricular activities (secondary)

Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures; other measures are recommended for use when two valid and direct measures of student academic progress are not available.

SAMPLE Student Academic Progress Goal Setting Form

Directions: This form is a tool to assist principals in setting goals that result in measurable progress. There should be goals that directly relate to school improvement goals using student achievement results. All goals should address Standard 8: Student Academic Progress. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Principal's Name: _____

School: _____ School Year: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

I. School Profile (Describe the school setting and any unique circumstances impacting the school community as a whole.)		
II. Content/Subject/Field Area (Describe the area/topic addressed based on learner achievement, school achievement results, data analysis, or observational data.)		
III. Baseline Data (What does the current data show?)	<input type="checkbox"/> Data attached	
IV. Goal Statement (Describe what you want learners/program to accomplish.)		
V. Means for Attaining Goal (Check the standard to which the strategies relate.)		
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Instructional Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 2. School Climate <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Human Resources Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Management <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8. Student Academic Progress		
Strategy	Measurable By	Target Date

<p>VI. Mid-Year Review (Describe goal progress and other relevant data.)</p>	<p>Mid-year review conducted on _____ Initials _____ _____ Eval. Admin.</p>
<p>VII. End-of-Year Data Results (Describe accomplishments at the end of year.)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Data attached</p>

Initial Goal Submission (due by _____ to the evaluator)

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

End-of-Year Review

Appropriate Data Received

Did the strategies used and data provided demonstrate the application of professional growth?

Yes No

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

PART 5: RATING PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE

The role of a principal requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, evaluators should conduct both formative and summative evaluations of principals. While the superintendent has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the evaluation system is executed faithfully and effectively in the division, other division administrators may be designated by the evaluator to supervise, monitor, and assist with the multiple data source collection which will be used for these evaluations.

INTERIM EVALUATION

An interim or annual review, especially for beginning principals, provides systematic feedback prior to the completion of a summative evaluation. The multiple data sources discussed in Part 3 are used to compile a *Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report* that indicates if a principal has shown evidence of each of the performance standards. The evaluator should share his or her assessment of the principal's performance by a given date (for example, the last school day before winter break). *Please note that the Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report is used to document evidence of meeting the eight standards, but does not include a rating of performance.* A sample *Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report* is provided on the next several pages. This form is optional, and its use should be decided on by the local school division.

SAMPLE Principal Interim/Annual Performance Report

Note: This is an optional report. Local school divisions should determine its use.

Directions: Evaluators use this form to maintain a record of evidence documented for each performance standard. Evidence can be drawn from informal observations/school site visits, documentation evidence review, and other appropriate sources. Evaluators may choose to use the “Evident” or “Not Evident” boxes provided under each standard to assist with documenting the principal’s progress towards meeting the standard. This form should be maintained by the evaluator during the course of the evaluation cycle. This report is shared at a meeting with the principal held within appropriate timelines.

Principal’s Name: _____

Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Strengths:

Areas of Improvement:

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and implementation of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance rigorous and relevant teaching and student academic progress and that lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.
- 1.4 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions that improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and maximize overall school effectiveness.
- 1.5 Acquires and shares knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.6 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.7 Generates, aligns, and leverages resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.8 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/caregivers, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.9 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.10 Provides the expectation and focus for continuous learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.11 Promotes and supports professional development and instructional planning and delivery practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of sustained professional development by participating in and providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff for professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, action research).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, instructional practices, school improvement, and student academic progress.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, emotional, and behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.3 Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, implements, monitors, and communicates a school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.
- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management and communicates behavior management expectations to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel-and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.
- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.
- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school’s mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.5 Analyzes data to identify and plan for organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and resolves them in a timely, consistent, and appropriate manner.
- 4.6 Develops short- and long-term goals to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.7 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.8 Plans and prepares a fiscally-responsible budget to support the school’s mission and goals.
- 4.9 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.10 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school's programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, and other stakeholder input to promote sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and communicate long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.
- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in a clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.8 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.9 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.10 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and division decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.11 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 7.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes daily.
- 7.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements.
- 7.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations.
- 7.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive to students, parents/ caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 7.5 Maintains confidentiality.
- 7.6 Maintains a positive, forthright, and respectful attitude.
- 7.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals.
- 7.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division.
- 7.9 Engages in personal professional development that positively impacts school effectiveness.
- 7.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

Performance Standard 8. Student Academic Progress

The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 8.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress.
- 8.2 Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress.
- 8.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders.
- 8.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies.
- 8.5 Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes.
- 8.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals.
- 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines.
- 8.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks.
- 8.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Comments:

Evident Not Evident

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple data sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all principals.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed.

DEFINITIONS OF RATINGS

The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the eight performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: *Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale*


Category	Description	Definition
Highly Effective	The principal performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that <u>consistently and considerably surpass</u> the established performance standard. This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is done in a manner that exemplifies the school’s mission and goals.	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over a period of time • empowers teachers and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student learning and the school climate • serves as a role model to others
Effective	The principal <u>consistently meets</u> the performance standard in a manner that is aligned with the school’s mission and goals.	Proficient performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meets the requirements contained in the performance standard • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student learning and the school climate • demonstrates a willingness to learn and apply new skills
Approaching Effective	The principal’s performance is <u>inconsistent in meeting</u> the established performance standard and/or working toward the school’s mission and goals. The principal may be starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the principal’s performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement).	Developing/needs improvement performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • requires support in meeting the performance standard • results in less than expected quality of student performance • leads to areas for principal improvement being jointly identified and planned between the principal and evaluator

Category	Description	Definition
Ineffective	The principal <u>consistently performs below</u> the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school’s mission and goals.	Unacceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not meet the requirements contained in the performance standard • results in minimal student learning • may contribute to the employee not being recommended for continued employment

PERFORMANCE RUBRICS

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing *how well* a standard is performed. It states the measure of performance expected of principals and provides a general description of performance at each level. In some instances, quantitative terms are included to augment the qualitative description. The resulting performance rubric provides a clearly delineated step-wise progression, along a continuum of effectiveness (as illustrated with arrows between the two levels). Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. Principals who earn a *Highly Effective* rating must meet the requirements for the *Effective* level and go beyond it. Performance rubrics are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help principals focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. **Please note: The rating of “Effective” is the expected level of performance and is written as the actual performance standard.** Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented may be modified at the discretion of school division decision-makers.

Figure 5.2: Example of a Performance Rubric



Principals are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership


The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to student academic progress and school improvement.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 1.1 Leads the collaborative development and implementation of a compelling shared vision for educational improvement and works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop a mission and programs consistent with the division's strategic plan.
- 1.2 Collaboratively plans, implements, supports, monitors, and evaluates instructional programs that enhance rigorous and relevant teaching and student academic progress and that lead to school improvement.
- 1.3 Connects both initiatives and innovative strategies to maximize the achievement of each student.
- 1.4 Analyzes current academic achievement data and instructional strategies to make appropriate educational decisions that improve classroom instruction, increase student achievement, and maximize overall school effectiveness.
- 1.5 Acquires and shares knowledge of research-based instructional best practices in the classroom.
- 1.6 Works collaboratively with staff to identify student needs and to design, revise, and monitor instruction to ensure effective delivery of the required curriculum.
- 1.7 Generates, aligns, and leverages resources for the successful implementation of effective instructional strategies.
- 1.8 Monitors and evaluates the use of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment to provide timely and accurate feedback to students and parents/caregivers, and to inform instructional practices.
- 1.9 Provides collaborative leadership for the design and implementation of efficient schedules that protect and maximize instructional time.
- 1.10 Provides the expectation and focus for continuous learning of all members of the school community.
- 1.11 Promotes and supports professional development and instructional planning and delivery practices that incorporate the use of achievement data and result in increased student progress.
- 1.12 Demonstrates the importance of sustained professional development by participating in and providing adequate time and resources for teachers and staff for professional learning (i.e., peer observation, mentoring, coaching, study groups, learning teams, action research).
- 1.13 Evaluates the impact professional development has on the staff, instructional practices, school improvement, and student academic progress.




Performance Standard 2: School Climate
The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 2.1 Uses data and incorporates knowledge of the social, cultural, emotional, and behavioral dynamics of the school community to cultivate a positive, engaging academic learning environment.
- 2.2 Consistently models and collaboratively promotes high expectations, mutual respect, concern, and empathy for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.3 Uses shared decision-making and collaboration to build relationships and engage with all stakeholders and enhance positive school morale.
- 2.4 Models and inspires trust and a risk-tolerant environment by sharing information and power to promote growth, change, and innovation.
- 2.5 Supports students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders through the stages of the change process.
- 2.6 Identifies and addresses barriers to teacher and staff performance and provides positive working conditions to encourage retention of highly-effective personnel.
- 2.7 Develops, implements, monitors, and communicates a school safety plan that manages crisis situations in an appropriate and timely manner.
- 2.8 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to create, promote, and sustain a positive, safe, and healthy learning environment that reflects state, division, and local school rules, policies, and procedures.

- 2.9 Develops and/or implements best practices in schoolwide behavior management and communicates behavior management expectations to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.10 Is visible, approachable, and dedicates time to listen to the concerns of students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 2.11 Maintains a positive, collegial, inviting school environment that promotes and assists in the development of the whole student.
- 2.12 Respects and promotes the appreciation of diversity and values and includes every student as an important member of the school community.




Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership
The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 3.1 Actively leads in the selection process, where applicable, and assigns highly-effective staff in a fair and equitable manner based on school and division needs, assessment data, and local, state, and federal requirements.
- 3.2 Supports formal building-level employee induction processes and informal procedures to support and assist all new personnel.
- 3.3 Provides a development process for all new and targeted instructional personnel and cultivates leadership potential through personal mentoring and coaching.
- 3.4 Manages the supervision and evaluation of staff in accordance with local and state requirements.

- 3.5 Properly implements the teacher and staff evaluation systems, supports the important role evaluation plays in teacher and staff development, and evaluates performance of personnel using multiple sources.
- 3.6 Documents deficiencies and proficiencies, provides timely formal and informal feedback on strengths and weaknesses, and provides support, resources, and remediation for teachers and staff to improve job performance.
- 3.7 Makes appropriate recommendations relative to personnel transfer, retention, promotion, and dismissal consistent with established policies and procedures and with student academic progress as a primary consideration.
- 3.8 Recognizes and supports the achievements of highly-effective teachers and staff and provides them opportunities for increased responsibility.
- 3.9 Maximizes human resources by building on the strengths of teachers and staff members and providing them with professional development opportunities to improve student learning and to grow professionally.




Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management
The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 4.1 Demonstrates and communicates a working knowledge and understanding of Virginia public education rules, regulations, laws, and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.2 Establishes and enforces rules and policies to ensure a safe, secure, efficient, and orderly facility and grounds.
- 4.3 Monitors and provides supervision of all instructional programs, building space usage, and all related activities through an appropriately prioritized process.

- 4.4 Secures, monitors, and allocates resources to maximize improvement, aligned to the school’s mission and goals, through accepted school and school division policies and procedures.
- 4.5 Analyzes data to identify and plan for organizational, operational, or resource-related problems and resolves them in a timely, consistent, and appropriate manner.
- 4.6 Develops short- and long-term goals to improve organizational and operational efficiency and impact.
- 4.7 Reviews fiscal records regularly to ensure accountability for all funds.
- 4.8 Plans and prepares a fiscally-responsible budget to support the school’s mission and goals.
- 4.9 Follows federal, state, and local policies with regard to finances, school accountability, and reporting.
- 4.10 Implements strategies for the inclusion of staff and stakeholders in various planning processes, shares in management decisions, and delegates duties as applicable, resulting in a smoothly operating workplace.




Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations
The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:

- 5.1 Plans strategically for and solicits student, parent/caregiver, staff, and other stakeholder input to promote sound judgment in the decision-making process and communication when appropriate.
- 5.2 Collaborates with stakeholders to develop and communicate long- and short-term goals and the school improvement plan.
- 5.3 Disseminates information to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a timely manner through multiple channels and sources.

- 5.4 Involves students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders in a collaborative effort to establish positive relationships that support academic success, health, and well-being.
- 5.5 Maintains visibility and accessibility to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.6 Speaks and writes consistently in a clear, explicit, and professional manner using standard oral and written English to communicate appropriately with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders.
- 5.7 Uses appropriate resources to communicate with stakeholders whose primary language is not English.
- 5.8 Provides a variety of opportunities for parent/caregiver and family involvement in school activities.
- 5.9 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and stakeholders to capitalize on the resources and expertise available in the local community.
- 5.10 Advocates for students and acts intentionally to influence family, school, and division decisions affecting student learning.
- 5.11 Assesses, plans for, responds to, and interacts with the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context that affects schooling based on relevant evidence.



Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership*

The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators


Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The principal:


- 6.1 Collects, interprets, and communicates student group disaggregated assessment, engagement, behavioral, and attendance data to identify and understand how and why inequities exist and implements procedures and strategies to address inequity.
- 6.2 Works collaboratively with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders to develop and implement a school improvement plan based on shared school mission, vision, and values that embed equity and culturally responsive teaching and learning.
- 6.3 Implements culturally responsive and equitable approaches to school discipline and fosters a school environment of inclusion by building organizational capacity to establish and maintain a safe and affirming school environment for all students.
- 6.4 Provides leadership for culturally relevant and responsive curriculum, instructional practices, and assessments to support the achievement of all students.
- 6.5 Advocates for and supports equity and access to educational programs and learning opportunities to meet the learning needs of all students.
- 6.6 Recruits, develops, and retains effective, culturally responsive staff in accordance with the mission, vision, and articulated values of the school.
- 6.7 Provides evidence-based and targeted professional learning and coaching to support culturally responsive teaching and reflective practices among teachers and staff.
- 6.8 Facilitates and engages in dialogue with teachers and staff to promote an equity-centered, inclusive school environment that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.9 Builds positive relationships with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders that use multimodal methods of communication inclusive of the language, dialect, cultural, and social needs of all students and their families.

***Note:** Equity denotes the fairness of opportunities for student learning and success.


Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Principal Evaluation Work Group, October-November, 2021



<p>Performance Standard 7: Professionalism <i>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i></p> <p>The principal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 7.1 Creates a culture of respect, understanding, sensitivity, and appreciation for students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders and models these attributes daily. 7.2 Works within legal, ethical, and professional guidelines to improve student learning and to meet school, division, state, and federal requirements. 7.3 Maintains a professional appearance and demeanor in accordance with school board policy and division expectations. 7.4 Models professional behavior and is culturally responsive to students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders. 7.5 Maintains confidentiality. 7.6 Maintains a positive, forthright, and respectful attitude. 7.7 Provides leadership in sharing ideas and information with staff and other professionals. 7.8 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with other administrators, school personnel, and other stakeholders to promote, support, and enhance the vision, mission, and goals of the school division. 7.9 Engages in personal professional development that positively impacts school effectiveness. 7.10 Remains current with research related to educational issues, trends, and practices and maintains a high level of technical and professional knowledge.



<p>Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress <i>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>
<p>Sample Performance Indicators <i>Examples may include, but are not limited to:</i></p> <p>The principal:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8.1 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors the school improvement plan that results in increased student academic progress. 8.2 Uses research-based techniques for gathering and analyzing data from multiple measures to use in making decisions related to student academic progress. 8.3 Communicates assessment results to multiple internal and external stakeholders. 8.4 Collaborates with teachers and staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress through the analysis of data, the application of educational research, and the implementation of appropriate intervention and enrichment strategies. 8.5 Uses faculty meetings, team/department meetings, and targeted professional development activities to focus on student progress outcomes. 8.6 Provides evidence that students are meeting measurable, reasonable, and appropriate achievement goals. 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for school academic achievement through proactive interactions with students, parents/caregivers, staff, and other stakeholders. 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range achievement goals that address varied student populations according to state guidelines. 8.9 Ensures teachers' student achievement goals are aligned with building-level goals for increased student academic progress and for meeting state benchmarks. 8.10 Sets benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.



PERFORMANCE RUBRICS AND SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

Evaluators make judgments about performance of the eight performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the evaluator applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a principal's performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the "preponderance of evidence" exists, based on various data sources. A sample *Principal Summative Performance Report* is provided later in this document. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the principal at a summative evaluation conference. Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy.

SINGLE SUMMATIVE RATING

In addition to receiving a diagnostic rating for each of the eight performance ratings, the employee will receive a single summative evaluation rating at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle. This summative rating will reflect an overall evaluation rating for the employee. The intent is not to replace the diagnostic value of the eight performance standards; rather it is to provide an overall rating of the employee's performance. The overall summative rating will be judged to be *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective*.

Scores will be calculated using the following scale:

- Highly Effective* = 4
- Effective* = 3
- Approaching Effective* = 2
- Ineffective* = 1

Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the eight performance expectations. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as

one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. The following example complies with this requirement.

Figure 5.3: *Sample Weighing*

Performance Standard	Weight
Standard 1	1.25
Standard 2	1.25
Standard 3	1.25
Standard 4	1.25
Standard 5	1.25
Standard 6	1.25
Standard 7	1.25
Standard 8	1.25

Figure 5.4: *Example of Recommended Weighted Calculations for Principal Performance Evaluation*

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	<i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	5
Standard 2	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 3	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 4	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 5	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 6	<i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	5
Standard 7	<i>Highly Effective</i>	4	1.25	5
Standard 8	<i>Effective</i>	3	1.25	3.75
Single Summative Rating				33.75

When applying the summary rating from a quantitative perspective, school divisions will need to establish and document, *a priori*, cut-offs for determining final summative ratings after the weighted contribution is calculated. School divisions also may establish and document additional criteria to the summative rating. For example, a school division may decide that no principals can be given a summary rating of *Highly Effective* if they are rated below *Effective* on any of the eight standards, or that summative criteria should differ for principals at different points on the career ladder. These decisions, and documentation of such decisions, must be made before the revised evaluation system is put in place. As well, it is critical that principals understand the requirements before the evaluation cycle begins.

The overall single summative rating will be judged as *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective* using the following range of scores:

Figure 5.5: *Range of Scores*

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

Note: Regardless of the overall total points earned, three or more *Approaching Effective* ratings on individual performance standards will result in an overall rating of *Approaching Effective* or *Ineffective*. Similarly, one *Ineffective* rating on any one performance standard may result in an overall *Ineffective* rating.

SAMPLE Principal Summative Performance Report

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to June 15 to provide the principal with an assessment of performance. The principal should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Principal's Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Contract Status: Probationary Continuing Contract

Documentation Reviewed: Documentation Evidence Goal Setting for Student Academic Progress Form
 Observation/Formative Feedback Forms Other

Performance Standard 1: Instructional Leadership

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal actively and consistently employs innovative and impactful leadership strategies that maximize student learning and result in a shared vision of teaching and learning that reflects excellence.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal drives the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in driving the success of students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to drive the success of all students by facilitating the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of a shared vision of teaching and learning that leads to school improvement.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 2: School Climate

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal seeks out new opportunities or substantially improves existing programs to create an environment where students and stakeholders thrive and the rigor of academic expectations has significantly increased as evidenced through results.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal fosters the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and/or sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and/or safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to foster the success of all students by developing, advocating, nurturing, and/or sustaining an academically rigorous, positive, welcoming, and/or safe school climate for all stakeholders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 3: Human Resources Leadership

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal consistently demonstrates expertise in impactful human resources leadership, which results in a highly-productive work force (e.g., highly satisfied stakeholders, increased student learning, teacher leaders).</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal provides human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in providing human resources leadership by selecting, inducting, supporting, evaluating, and/or retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to provide human resources leadership by inducting, evaluating, and/or retaining quality instructional and support personnel.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Management

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal is exemplary at organizational management and demonstrating proactive decision-making, coordinating efficient operations, and maximizing available resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal cultivates the success of all students by supporting, managing, and overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in cultivating the success of all students by supporting, managing, and/or overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to cultivate the success of all students by supporting, managing, and/or overseeing the school’s organization, operation, and/or use of resources.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate and engage impactfully with stakeholders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal fosters the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote understanding and continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and/or engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote support, understanding, and/or continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to foster the success of all students by communicating, collaborating, and/or engaging with family and community stakeholders to promote support, understanding, and/or continuous improvement of the school’s programs and services.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal consistently demonstrates a commitment to ensuring all students feel valued and actively seeks new opportunities to create a culturally responsive environment where students thrive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal demonstrates a commitment to equity and fosters culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and strategies that support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in demonstrating a commitment to equity and/or fostering culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and/or strategies that support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to demonstrate a commitment to equity and/or foster culturally inclusive and responsive practices aligned with division and school goals, priorities, and/or strategies that support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal demonstrates professionalism beyond the school division through published works, formal presentation(s), and/or formal recognition(s) or award(s).</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal fosters the success of all students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal is inconsistent in fostering the success of students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or in contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal fails to foster the success of students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or in contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress

<p style="text-align: center;">(4 pts.) Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective...</i></p> <p>The principal's leadership serves as a role model to others and results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(3 pts.) Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The principal's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate student academic progress based on established standards.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(2 pts.) Approaching Effective</p> <p>The principal's leadership results in student academic progress that inconsistently meets the established standard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">(1 pt.) Ineffective</p> <p>The principal's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><u>Comments:</u></p>			

Overall Evaluation Summary:

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points <small>HE=4 E=3 AE=2 IE=1</small>	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1			1.25	
Standard 2			1.25	
Standard 3			1.25	
Standard 4			1.25	
Standard 5			1.25	
Standard 6			1.25	
Standard 7			1.25	
Standard 8			1.25	
Single Summative Rating				

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

- Highly Effective**
- Effective**
- Approaching Effective**
- Ineffective**

Recommended for placement on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. (One or more standards are *Ineffective*, or two or more standards are *Approaching Effective*.)

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Principal Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Principal's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Principal's Signature (Principal's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

Superintendent's Name

Superintendent's Signature

Date

PART 6: IMPROVING PRINCIPAL PERFORMANCE

Supporting principals is essential to the success of schools. Many resources are needed to assist principals in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help principals develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school.

Two tools may be used at the discretion of the evaluator. The first is the *Support Dialogue*, a division-level discussion between the evaluator and the principal. It is an optional process to promote conversation about performance in order to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth. The second is the *Performance Improvement Plan* which has a more formal structure and is used for notifying a principal of performance that *requires* improvement due to less-than-proficient performance.

The tools may be used independently of each other. Figure 6.1 highlights key differences between the two processes.

Figure 6.1: *Two Tools to Increase Professional Performance*

	Support Dialogue	Performance Improvement Plan
Purpose	For principals who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth	For principals whose work is in the <i>Approaching Effective</i> or <i>Ineffective</i> categories
Initiates Process	Evaluator or principal	Evaluator
Documentation	Optional: <i>Support Dialogue Form</i> Memo or other record of the discussion or other forms of documentation at the division level	Form Required: <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i> Division level Superintendent/Human Resources is notified
Outcomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient improvement – no more support needed • Some improvement – continued support • Little or no progress – the employee may be moved to a <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i>. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient improvement – recommendation to continue employment • Inadequate improvement, recommendation to continue on <i>Performance Improvement Plan</i> OR dismiss the employee

SUPPORT DIALOGUE

The *Support Dialogue* is initiated by evaluators or principals at any point during the school year for use with personnel whose professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns.

The *Support Dialogue* process should not be construed as applying to poor-performing principals. The option for a *Support Dialogue* is open to any principal who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the principal's growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Support Dialogue Form* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the evaluator and principal meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?

What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?

What support can I or others in the division provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

Last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?

What has not gone as well?

The entire *Support Dialogue* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within six weeks) as it offers targeted support. If the *Support Dialogue* was initiated by a principal seeking self-improvement, the evaluator and the principal may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For principals for whom the evaluator initiated the *Support Dialogue*, the desired outcome would be that the principal's practice has improved to a proficient level. If improvements in performance are still needed, the evaluator determines either to extend the time of the *Support Dialogue* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources. If the necessary improvement is not made, the employee must be placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. Once placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan* the employee will have a specified time period (for example, 90 calendar days) to demonstrate that the identified deficiencies have been corrected.

SAMPLE: Support Dialogue Form *(optional)*

Directions: Principals and evaluators may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried, and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Principal's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Principal's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

If a principal's performance does not meet the expectations established by the school division, the principal will be placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*. A *Performance Improvement Plan* is designed to support a principal in addressing areas of concern through targeted supervision and additional resources. It may be used by an evaluator at any point during the year for a principal whose professional practice would benefit from additional support. Additionally, a *Performance Improvement Plan* is implemented if one of the following scenarios occurs at the end of any data collection period:

- a principal receives two or more "Not Evident" ratings at the interim review;
- a rating of *Approaching Effective* on two or more performance standards; or
- a rating of *Ineffective* on one or more performance standards or an overall rating of *Ineffective*.

IMPLEMENTATION OF PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

When a principal is placed on a *Performance Improvement Plan*, the evaluator must:

- provide written notification to the principal of the area(s) of concern that need(s) to be addressed;
- formulate a *Performance Improvement Plan* in conjunction with the principal; and
- review the results of the *Performance Improvement Plan* with the principal within established timelines.

Assistance may include:

- support from a professional peer or supervisor;
- conferences, classes, and workshops on specific topics; and/or
- other resources to be identified.

RESOLUTION OF PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Prior to the evaluator making a final recommendation, the evaluator meets with the principal to review progress made on the *Performance Improvement Plan*, according to the timeline. The options for a final recommendation include:

- a) Sufficient improvement has been achieved; the principal is no longer on a *Performance Improvement Plan* and is rated *Effective*.
- b) Partial improvement has been achieved but more improvement is needed; the principal remains on a *Performance Improvement Plan* and is rated *Approaching Effective*.
- c) Little or no improvement has been achieved; the principal is rated *Ineffective*.

When a principal is rated *Ineffective* the principal may be recommended for dismissal. If not dismissed, a new *Performance Improvement Plan* will be implemented. Following completion of the *Performance Improvement Plan*, if the principal is rated *Ineffective* a second time, the principal will be recommended for dismissal.

REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF AN *INEFFECTIVE* RATING

The principal may request a review of the evidence in relation to an *Ineffective* rating received on a Summative Evaluation or, as a result of a *Performance Improvement Plan*, in accordance with the policies and procedures of the school division.

SAMPLE: Performance Improvement Plan Form
(Required for a Principal Placed on a Performance Improvement Plan)

Principal's Name: _____ School: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____ School Year: _____

Performance Standard Number	Performance Deficiencies Within the Standard to be Corrected	Resources/Assistance Provided; Activities to be Completed by the Employee	Target Dates

The principal's signature denotes receipt of the form, and acknowledgment that the evaluator has notified the employee of unacceptable performance.

Principal's Name: _____

Principal's Signature: _____ Date Initiated: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date Initiated: _____

Results of Performance Improvement Plan^a

Performance Standard Number	Performance Deficiencies Within the Standard to be Corrected	Comments	Review Dates

Final recommendation based on outcome of Performance Improvement Plan:

- The performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected: The principal is no longer on a *Performance Improvement Plan*.
- The deficiencies were not corrected. The principal is recommended for dismissal.

Principal’s Name: _____

Principal’s Signature: _____ Date Reviewed: _____
 Signature denotes the review occurred, not necessarily agreement with the final recommendation.

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date Reviewed: _____

^a These sections are to be completed collaboratively by the evaluator and the principal. Pages may be added, if needed.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, L. M., & Turnbull, B. J. (2016). *Evaluating and Supporting Principals. Building a Stronger Principalship: Volume 4*. Policy Studies Associates, Inc.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED570471.pdf>
- Bamburg, J. D., & Andrews, R. L. (1991). School goals, principals, and achievement. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 2, 175-191.
- Beteille, T., Kalogrides, D., & Loeb, S. (2012). Stepping stones: Principal career paths and school outcomes. *Social Science Research*, 41(4), 904–919.
- Branch, G. F., Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2012). *Estimating the effect of leaders on public sector productivity: The case of school principals*. (National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 17803). https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w17803/w17803.pdf
- Branch, G. F., Hanushek, E. A., & Rivkin, S. G. (2013). School leaders matter. *EducationNext*, 13(1). <https://www.educationnext.org/school-leaders-matter/>
- Brewer, D. J. (1993). Principals and student outcomes: Evidence from U.S. high schools. *Economics of Education Review*, 12(4), 281-292.
- Bruggencate, G., Luyten, H., Scheerens, J., & Slegers, P. (2012). Modeling the influence of school leaders on student achievement: How can school leaders make a difference? *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(4). 699-732.
- Burkhauser, S. (2017). How much do school principals matter when it comes to teacher working conditions? *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 39(1). 126-145.
- Catano, N., & Stronge, J. H. (2006). What are principals expected to do? Congruence between principal evaluation and performance standards. *NASSP Bulletin*, 90(3), 221-237.
- Ch, A. H., Ahmad, S., Malik, M., & Batool, A. (2017). Principals' leadership styles and teachers' job satisfaction: A correlation study at secondary level. *Bulletin of Education and Research*, 39(3), 45-56.
- Cheng, Y. C. (1994). Principal's leadership as a critical factor for school performance: Evidence from multi-levels of primary schools. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 5(3), 299-317.
- Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012). *Assessing the feasibility of using value-added models for principal evaluations* (Mathematica Policy Research Working Paper). Cambridge: Mathematica Policy Research.
- Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016). Is school value-added indicative of principal quality? *Education Finance and Policy*, 11(3), 283-309.
- Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012). *The ripple effect: A synthesis of research on principal influence to inform performance evaluation design*. Washington, DC: American Institutes for Research.

- Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014). *A practical guide to designing comprehensive principal evaluation systems: A tool to assist in the development of principal evaluation systems*. Center on Great Teachers & Leaders at American Institutes for Research. <https://gtlcenter.org/products-resources/practical-guide-designing-comprehensive-principal-evaluation-systems>.
- Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., Thomas, C., & Leon, R. (2011). *The policies and practices of principal evaluation: A review of the literature*. WestEd.
- Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014). How important are school principals in the production of student achievement? *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue Canadienne d'économique*, 47(2), 634-663.
- Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018). How school principals influence student learning. *Empirical Economics*, 54(2), 851-882.
- Dou, D., Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2017). The relationships between school autonomy gap, principal leadership, teachers' job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 45(6), 959-977.
- Fuller, E. J., Hollingworth, L., & Liu, J. (2015). Evaluating state principal evaluation plans across the United States. *Journal of Research on Leadership Education*, 10(3), 164–192.
- Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009). The evaluation of principals: What and how do states and urban districts assess leadership? *The Elementary School Journal*, 110, 19–39.
- Griffith, J. (2004). Relation of principal transformational leadership to school staff job satisfaction, staff turnover, and school performance. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 42(3), 333-356.
- Hallinger, P., Brickman, L., & Davis, K. (1996). School context, principal leadership, and student reading achievement. *The Elementary School Journal*, 96 (5), 527-549.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R.H. (2010a). Collaborative leadership and school improvement: Understanding the impact on school capacity and student learning. *School Leadership & Management*, 30, 95-110.
- Hallinger, P., & Heck, R.H. (2010b). Leadership for learning: Does collaborative leadership make a difference in school improvement? *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 38, 654-678.
- Heck, R. H. (2004). *Studying educational and social policy: Theoretical concepts and research methods*. Routledge.
- Heck, R. H., & Marcoulides, G. A. (1996). School culture and performance: Testing the invariance of an organizational model. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 7(1), 76-95.
- Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016) Systematic review of key leader practices found to influence student achievement: A unified framework. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 531-569.

- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation (A. R. Gullickson, Chair). (2009). *The personnel evaluation standards: How to assess systems of evaluating educators*. Sage.
- Karadag, E. (2020). The effect of educational leadership on students' achievement: a cross-cultural meta-analysis research on studies between 2008 and 2018. *Asian Pacific Education Review*, 21, 49-64.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). Principal and teacher leadership effects: A replication. *School Leadership and Management*, 20, 415-434.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2006). Transformational school leadership for large-scale reform: Effects on students, teachers, and their classroom practices. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 201-227.
- Leithwood, K., & Mascall, B. (2008). Collective leadership effects on student achievement. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 1-34.
- Leitner, D. (1994). Do principals affect student outcomes? *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 5(3), 219-238.
- Liu, S. & Hallinger, P. (2018). Teacher development in rural China: How ineffective school leadership fails to make a difference. *International Journal of Leadership in Education: Theory and Practice*, 21(6), 633-650.
- Loeb, S., Kalogrides, D., & Bêteille, T. (2012). Effective schools: Teacher hiring, assignment, development, and retention. *Education Finance and Policy*, 7(3), 269-304.
- Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings to the Wallace Foundation*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.
- Marcoux, J., Brown, G., Irby, B. J., & Lara-Alecio, R. (2003). *A case study on the use of portfolios in principal evaluation*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL, April 21-25.
- Meyers, C. V., & Hitt, D. H. (2017). School turnaround principals: What does initial research literature suggest they are doing to be successful? *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 22(1). 38-56.
- National Association of Secondary School Principals & National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2013). *Leadership matters*. <https://www.naesp.org/sites/default/files/LeadershipMatters.pdf>.
- Nielsen, J. (2018). *The principal evaluation: Connecting principals' evaluation to the growth and development process: A case study* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Nebraska-Lincoln.
- Pak, K., & Desimone, L. M. (2019). Developing principals' data-driven decision-making capacity: Lessons from one urban district. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 100(7), 37-42.
- Pounder, D. G., Ogawa, R. T., & Adams, E. A. (1995). Leadership as an organization-wide phenomena: Its impact on school performance. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 31, 564-588.

- Price, H. E. (2012). School principal-staff relationship effects on school climate. In T. Wubbels, P. den Brok, J. van Tartwijk & J. Levy (Eds.) *Interpersonal relationships in education* (pp. 103-118). Sense Publishers.
- Robinson, V. M., Lloyd, C. A., & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 635-674.
- Ross, J., & Gray, P. (2006). Transformational leadership and teacher commitment to organizational values: The mediating effect of collective teacher efficacy. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 179-199.
- Sebastian, J., & Allensworth, E. (2012). The influence of principal leadership on classroom instruction and student learning: A study of mediated pathways to learning. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(4), 626-663.
- Silins, H., & Mulford, B. (2002). *Leadership and school results*. In K. Leithwood (Ed.), *The second international handbook of educational leadership and administration* (pp. 561-612). Norwell, MA: Kluwer Academic.
- Snyder, J. & Ebmeier, H. (1990). Empirical linkages among principal behaviors and intermediate outcomes: Implications for principal evaluation. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 68(1), 75-107.
- Stronge, J. H., & Grant, L. W. (2009). *Student achievement goal setting: Using data to improve teaching and learning*. Eye on Education.
- Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021). *Qualities of effective principals* (2nd ed.). Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013). *Principal evaluation: Standards, rubrics, and tools for effective performance*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Stufflebeam, D., & Nevo, D. (1991). Principal evaluation: New direction for improvement. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 68(2), 24-46.
- Van Geel, M., Keuning, T., Visscher, A. J., & Fox, J. (2016). Assessing the effects of a school-wide data-based decision-making intervention on student achievement growth in primary schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 53(2), 360-394.
- Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003). *Balanced leadership: What 30 years of research tells U.S. about the effect of leadership on student achievement*. McRel International. <http://www.mcrel.org/balanced-leadership-what-30-years-of-research-tells-us-about-the-effect-of-leadership-on-student-achievement-2003/>.
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Virginia Department of Education appreciates the work of those who contributed to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Principals*.

VIRGINIA PRINCIPAL EVALUATION WORK GROUP

Ms. Jenna Alexander
President-elect
Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Ms. Krista Barton Arnold
Executive Director
Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals (VAESP)

Dr. Randy D. Barrack
Executive Director
Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals (VASSP)

Ms. Melinda Bright
Director, VEA Teaching and Learning
Virginia Education Association

Mr. Miles Carey
Assistant Principal, Washington-Liberty High School
Arlington Public Schools
Virginia Education Association Teacher Representative

Dr. Bernice Cobbs
Superintendent
Franklin County Public Schools

Dr. Lyle Evans
Adjunct Assistant Professor, Education
University of Richmond

Ms. Virginia Gills
Principal, Cumberland Elementary School
Cumberland County Public Schools

Ms. Kimberly Greer
Principal, Langley High School
Fairfax County Public Schools

Dr. Shannon Grimsley
Superintendent
Rappahannock County Public Schools

Ms. Micah Harris
Principal, Thoroughgood Elementary School
Virginia Beach City Public Schools

Dr. Timothy Healey
Principal, Charles J. Colgan Sr. High School
Prince William County Public Schools

Mr. Jason Kessler
Governmental Relations Specialist
Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Ben Kiser
Executive Director
Virginia Association of School Superintendents

Ms. Marie Lemmon
Principal, Bailey's Upper Elementary
Falls Church City Public Schools

Ms. Cynthia Reasoner
Director of Instruction
Amelia County Public Schools

Dr. Samantha Reed
Principal, Hillsville Elementary School
Carroll County Public Schools

Dr. Sarah Tanner-Anderson
Director of Educational Leadership
Longwood University

Mr. Don Vale
President
Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals (VASSP)

Virginia Department of Education

Dr. James F. Lane
Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Rosa Atkins
Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Dr. Joan Johnson
Assistant Superintendent of Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. Amy W. Griffin
Education Consultant
Office of Learning and Innovation

Ms. Jenne Nurse
Equity Coordinator
Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Project Consultants
(Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC)

Dr. James H. Stronge
Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson

Dr. Rachel Ball
Dr. Jessica Straessle

Attribution and copyright for Standard 6 (Culturally Responsive and Equitable School Leadership) is the property of the Virginia Department of Education.

Portions of these principal evaluation materials were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2010-2020] by James H. Stronge and Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia or by third-party vendors without prior permission of Stronge & Associates. (Approved by the Virginia Board of Education on XXX).

The Virginia Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, political affiliation, or against otherwise qualified persons with disabilities. The policy permits appropriate employment preferences for veterans and specifically prohibits discrimination against veterans.

ENDNOTES

- ¹ Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010-2021] by Stronge & Associates as well as Stronge, J. (2021). Adapted with permission.”
- ² Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018); Karadag, E. (2020); Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010).
- ³ Cheng, Y. C. (1994); Dou, D. Devos, G., & Valcke, M. (2017); Griffith, J. (2004); Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Leithwood, K., & Mascal, B. (2008); Loeb, S., Kalogrides, D., & Béteille, T. (2012); Pounder, D. G., Ogawa, R. T., & Adams, E. A. (1995); Price, H. E. (2012); Ross, J., & Gray, P. (2006); Silins, H., & Mulford, B. (2002).
- ⁴ Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021).
- ⁵ Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).
- ⁶ Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).
- ⁷ Clifford, M., Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012).
- ⁸ Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).
- ⁹ Waters, T., Marzano, R. J., & McNulty, B. (2003).
- ¹⁰ Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).
- ¹¹ Catano, N., & Stronge, J. H. (2006); Fuller, E. J., Hollingworth, L., & Liu, J. (2015); Stufflebeam, D., & Nevo, D. (1991).
- ¹² Anderson, L. M., & Turnbull, B. J. (2016); Davis, S., Kearney, K., Sanders, N., Thomas, C., & Leon, R. (2011); Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (2009); Marcoux, J., Brown, G., Irby, B. J., & Lara-Alecio, R. (2003); Snyder, J. & Ebmeier, H. (1990).
- ¹³ Béteille, T., Kalogrides, D., & Loeb, S. (2011).
- ¹⁴ National Association of Secondary School Principals and National Association of Elementary School Principals. (2013).
- ¹⁵ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).
- ¹⁶ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).
- ¹⁷ Nielsen, J. (2018).
- ¹⁸ Clifford, M., Hansen, U. J., & Wraight, S. (2014).
- ¹⁹ Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013).
- ²⁰ Stronge, J. H., Xu, X., Leeper, L., & Tonneson, V. (2013).
- ²¹ Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012).
- ²² Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2012); Branch, G., Hanushek, E., & Rivkin, S. (2013); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2012); Chiang, H., Lipscomb, S., & Gill, B. (2016); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2014); Dhuey, E., & Smith, J. (2018).
- ²³ Brewer, D. (1993); Bruggencate, G., Luyten, H., Scheerens, J., & Slegers, P. (2012); Burkhauser, S. (2017); Clifford, M. Behrstock-Sherratt, E., & Fetters, J. (2012); Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (2010a); Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (2010b); Leitner, D. (1994); Meyers, C., & Hitt, D. (2017); Robinson, V., Lloyd, C., & Rowe, K. (2008); Sebastian, J., & Allensworth, E. (2012); Witziers, B., Bosker, R., & Krüger, M. (2003).
- ²⁴ Heck, R., & Marcoulidies, G. (1996); Leithwood, K., & Janzi, D. (2006); Liu, S., & Hallinger, P. (2018); Waters, T., Marzano, R., & McNulty, B. (2003).
- ²⁵ Information about progress table data will be provided, when available.

²⁶ Ch, A., Ahmad, S., Malik, M., & Batool, A. (2017).

²⁷ Pak, K., & Desimone, L. (2019); Van Geel et al. (2016).



GUIDELINES FOR UNIFORM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SUPERINTENDENTS

EFFECTIVE **XXX**

VIRGINIA BOARD OF EDUCATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword	1
Part 1: Introduction	2
Why Quality Evaluation is Important	2
Importance of Recognizing Superintendent Effectiveness	3
Purposes of Evaluation.....	3
Growth and Improvement	4
Purposes of this Document.....	5
What Can School Divisions Modify?.....	6
Part 2: Uniform Performance Standards for Superintendents	8
Defining Superintendent Performance Standards	8
Performance Standards.....	8
Performance Indicators.....	9
Part 3: Documenting Superintendent Performance	18
Self-evaluation.....	19
Documentation Evidence	22
Stakeholder Survey.....	25
Part 4: Connecting Superintendent Performance to Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	29
Virginia Law.....	29
Methods for Connecting Student Performance to Superintendent Evaluation.....	29
Goal Setting.....	30
Part 5: Rating Superintendent Performance	34
Formative Assessment.....	34
Summative Evaluation	37
Definitions of Ratings	37
Performance Rubrics	38
Performance Standard 1: Strategic Leadership.....	39
Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment.....	40
Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership.....	41
Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Resource Management.....	42
Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations	43
Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	44
Performance Standard 7: Professionalism	45
Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress	46
Performance Rubrics and Summative Evaluation.....	47
Single Summative Rating.....	47
Part 6: Improving Superintendent Performance	55

References58

FOREWORD

THREE-PHASE REVISION PLAN

The Virginia Department of Education and the Board of Education are involved in a three-phase plan to revise the Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents.

- Phase 1 was completed and approved by the Board of Education in Fall 2019, and the revised Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents became effective in January 2020. These revisions solely addressed the weighting of the performance standards for the evaluation of superintendents.
- Phase 2 is intended as a bridge between the current and future superintendent performance evaluation systems. The major revision to the Guidelines in Phase 2 is the creation of a new performance standard, Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership. The establishment of this performance standard addresses House Bill 1904 (identical to Senate Bill 1196) passed by the 2021 General Assembly requiring that “Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.” Additionally, minor edits and technical revisions recommended by the work group were incorporated in the Guidelines.
- Phase 3, beginning in 2022, will involve a comprehensive revision of the Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents, including the development of a model evaluation system. Phase 3 is expected to build on the importance of using multiple data sources and integrating professional development through feedback and coaching into the superintendent evaluation system.

PART 1: INTRODUCTION

WHY QUALITY EVALUATION IS IMPORTANT¹

More than 20 years ago, in a joint statement, the American Association of School Administrators (AASA) and the National School Boards Association (NSBA) agreed that “informal evaluations cannot provide the board with a complete picture of the superintendent’s effectiveness in carrying out her (his) complex job. Regular, formal evaluations offer boards the best means of assessing their chief school administrator’s total performance.”² The role of the superintendent has changed drastically in the past two decades in the wake of the accountability movement and across the shifting landscape of public education. The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) renewed the focus on school leadership, allowing for states and districts to use federal funds to target the quality of school leaders.³ As a result of the implementation of the ESSA, a shift in focus from school-level to district-level measures began.⁴ School superintendents are and must be accountable to the school board, the community, their faculties, and the students in their schools for ensuring the deliverance of effective educational leadership.⁵ While this shift in roles has taken place, there continues to be a lack of focus on evaluating the superintendent, but we know that a “conceptually sound and properly implemented evaluation system for the superintendent is a vital component of an effective school system”.⁶

Case studies of exceptional schools and districts, especially those that succeed beyond expectations, provide detailed portraits of leadership. These studies indicate that school leaders influence learning primarily by galvanizing efforts around ambitious goals, and by establishing conditions that support teachers and that help students succeed.⁷ Waters and Marzano (2006), in a meta-analysis of the influence of school district leaders on student performance, found a statistically significant positive correlation between superintendent leadership at the district level and an increase in student achievement.⁸ Other quantitative studies conclude that the effects of leadership on student achievement are small, but leadership effects typically are indirect. That is, leaders influence student learning through others by promoting vision and goals, and by ensuring that resources and processes are in place to enable teachers to teach well.⁹ Evaluation systems must be of high quality if we are to discern whether our superintendents are of high quality. The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the complexities of the job. Superintendents have a challenging task in meeting the educational needs of a diverse student population, and good evaluation is necessary to provide the superintendents with the feedback, support, recognition, and guidance they need to sustain and improve system-wide district operations.¹⁰

Because the superintendency is so fundamentally important to school improvement and student success, improving the evaluation of superintendent performance is particularly relevant as a means to recognize excellence in leadership and to advance superintendent effectiveness. A meaningful evaluation focuses on clearly-defined professional standards¹¹, and through this focus and timely feedback, enables teachers and leaders to recognize, appreciate, value, and develop excellent leadership. The benefits of a rigorous evaluation system are numerous and well-documented. Goldring and colleagues noted that when the process of evaluation is

designed and implemented appropriately, it can be valuable for improvement of leadership quality and overall organizational performance in several ways, including:¹²

- as a benchmarking and assessing tool to document the effectiveness of superintendents for annual reviews and compensation;
- as a targeting tool to help superintendents focus on performance domains and behaviors that are associated with student learning;
- as a tool of continuous learning and development to provide both formative and summative feedback to superintendents, identify areas in need of improvement, and enable superintendents to make informed individualized decisions regarding professional development to bridge the gap between current practices and desired performance; and,
- as a collective accountability tool to set the organizational goals and objectives of the school leader and larger divisionwide improvement.

IMPORTANCE OF RECOGNIZING SUPERINTENDENT EFFECTIVENESS

In the past, school division superintendents may have been viewed as managers of complex bureaucracies rather than instructional leaders; however, the move toward instructional accountability of superintendents is not without merit or empirical evidence.¹³ The position of the superintendent within a school division hierarchy suggests their ability to influence the focus and direction of the division organization, thus, superintendents play a vital role in ensuring that systemic school improvements are a major priority.¹⁴ While not directly influencing student achievement and instruction, the superintendent is an instructional leader who must focus on increasing effectiveness while simultaneously balancing external and internal pressures on the school district.¹⁵ Research findings indicate that superintendents of effective school divisions exhibit high levels of involvement in instructional matters, including instructional goal setting and monitoring, and use managerial levers at their disposal to influence the behavior of principals and teachers who are more directly involved in improving classroom teaching and student learning.¹⁶ It is important to recognize that effective superintendents influence student learning, either directly or indirectly. It is also important to understand the ways and means by which superintendents influence their school divisions' educational programs. Therefore, a rigorous superintendent evaluation system should be in place to discriminate the performance of superintendents and provide informative feedback for improvement.

PURPOSES OF EVALUATION

The Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation states, "The fundamental purpose of personnel evaluations must be to help provide effective services to students."¹⁷ The superintendent of the school division is central to the quality and utility of personnel evaluations division-wide, and this process must also include her or his own evaluation conducted by the school board.

The primary purposes of a quality superintendent evaluation system are to:¹⁸

- Improve educational performance, both for the superintendent and, ultimately, the entire school division;
- Improve superintendent/board relations and communication;
- Clarify the roles of the superintendent;
- Inform the superintendent of the board’s expectations;
- Improve planning;
- Aid in the professional development of the superintendent;
- Serve as a basis for personnel decisions;
- Serve as an accountability mechanism; and
- Fulfill legal requirements.

A quality superintendent evaluation system should:

- Meet requirements of personnel evaluation standards, that is, propriety standards, feasibility standards, utility standards, and accuracy standards.
- Build on the strengths of existing superintendent performance evaluation models and avoid their weaknesses.
- Embody and focus on superintendent’s generic duties.
- Include performance indicators using multiple sources of data.
- Integrate established evaluation concepts, including the basic purpose of evaluation (assess merit or worth), the generic process of evaluation (delineating, obtaining, reporting, and applying information), the main classes of information to be collected (context, input, process, and product), and the main roles of evaluation (formative input for improvement and summative assessment for accountability).
- Provide for adaptation to the wide variety of school division settings.¹⁹

GROWTH AND IMPROVEMENT

One of the primary purposes for evaluating the superintendent is to improve the educational performance of the superintendent, which in turn impacts the educational performance of the district.²⁰ While the superintendent is expected to manage the operational needs and demands of the school system, he/she also is expected to be a “strong instructional leader, conversant with information about educational best practice, and the process of change.”²¹ In addition, the superintendent must work within the demands of the various stakeholders of the district. As such, the superintendent as a leader must be adept in all aspects of the educational organization, which requires him/her to understand their strengths and weaknesses to determine where they need improvement and how to grow. Evaluation is a tool that can give feedback to the superintendent on areas of weaknesses and growth.

Due to the unique and complex job of the superintendent, professional development might look different for each individual based on their unique needs.²² In addition, professional development can help superintendents to stay current with the changing trends and conditions in the educational realm and should be ongoing.²³ Superintendents need to have access to relevant professional development to help them to meet the demands of constantly changing educational trends and reforms that impact their position.²⁴ Superintendent growth and improvement lead to the growth and improvement of the division and its students.²⁵

PURPOSES OF THIS DOCUMENT

This document was developed specifically for use with school division superintendents. The Board of Education is required to establish performance standards and evaluation criteria for teachers, principals, and superintendents to serve as guidelines for school divisions to use in implementing educator evaluation systems. The *Code of Virginia* requires (1) that superintendent evaluations be consistent with the **performance objectives (standards)** set forth in the Board of Education's *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* and (2) that school boards' procedures for evaluating superintendents address student academic progress.

Section 22.1-60.1 Evaluation of superintendent) of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

Each local school board shall evaluate the division superintendent annually consistent with the performance objectives set forth in *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Administrators, and Superintendents* as required by 22.1-253.13:5.

Section 22.1-253.13:5 Standard 5. Quality of classroom instruction and educational leadership of the *Code of Virginia* states, in part, the following:

B. Consistent with the finding that leadership is essential for the advancement of public education in the Commonwealth, teacher, principal, and superintendent evaluations shall be consistent with the performance objectives included in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Teachers, Principals, and Superintendents*. Evaluations shall include student academic progress as a significant component and an overall summative rating. ... Evaluations shall include identification of areas of individual strengths and weaknesses and recommendations for appropriate professional activities. Evaluations shall include an evaluation of cultural competency.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* set forth eight performance standards for all Virginia superintendents. Pursuant to state law, superintendent evaluations must be consistent with the performance standards (objectives) included in this document.

The *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents* originally approved on April 28, 2011, and subsequently revised on July 23, 2015, and January 10, 2010, provide school divisions with a model evaluation system, including sample forms and templates that may be implemented “as is” or used to refine existing local superintendent evaluation systems. Properly implemented, the evaluation system provides school divisions with the information needed to support systems of differentiated compensations or performance-based pay.

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

WHAT CAN SCHOOL DIVISIONS MODIFY?

The *Guidelines* provide a uniform approach to superintendent evaluation which should be used throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia. Certain aspects are prescribed by the *Code of Virginia*, while others are highly recommended based on the research surrounding effective superintendents and best practices from the field. However, the Virginia Department of Education recognizes the importance of providing local school boards with the flexibility to make certain modifications to the evaluation system to meet their unique needs. The bullets below describe the major components of the evaluation system and what can and cannot be modified.

- Performance Standards: Performance standards should not be modified.
- Performance Indicators: Performance indicators are based on the research relating to effective superintendents, but school boards may modify them to meet their needs or areas of focus.
- Performance Rubrics: School boards may modify performance rubrics, but the *Effective* level is written as the actual performance standard, so it should not be modified. Also note that the descriptions in the rubrics were intentionally constructed in a parallel manner so that the descriptors use similar terminology (i.e., *Highly Effective* uses “role model,” *Approaching Effective* uses “inconsistent,” and *Ineffective* uses “inadequate” or “fails to.”)
- Documentation Evidence: The use of Documentation Evidence is optional, but recommended, as it provides superintendents input into their evaluation and provides evidence for those performance standards that are not easily observed.
- Stakeholder Surveys: The use of surveys is optional. They should be used sparingly and only for formative purposes. Survey questions provided in this handbook have been specifically selected to address the performance standards but may be modified by the local school division.

- Measures of Student Progress: The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met in the evaluation is the responsibility of local school boards. Student learning should be determined by multiple measures of student academic progress (e.g., progress tables, goal setting for student achievement, other valid measures).
- Other Data Sources: Data sources other than those specified above (e.g., conferences, meetings) may be used as determined by the local school board.
- Rating Levels: The rating level names are highly recommended but may be modified by the local school board. It is recommended that the rating terminology parallel that which is used for teacher and principal evaluation.
- Formative Assessment: All superintendents should receive a formative assessment prior to the summative evaluation.
- Summative Evaluation: The *Code of Virginia* requires all superintendents to receive a summative evaluation.
- Single Summative Rating: All superintendents will receive a single summative rating to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The *Guidelines* provide suggested weighting.
- Forms: The forms provided in the *Guidelines* have been developed to include the required information, but school boards may modify them as needed.

PART 2: UNIFORM PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR SUPERINTENDENTS

The uniform performance standards for superintendents are used to collect and present data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. They provide a balance between structure and flexibility and define common purposes and expectations, thereby guiding effective leadership. The performance standards also provide flexibility, encouraging creativity and individual superintendent initiative. The goal is to support the continuous growth and development of each superintendent by monitoring, analyzing, and applying pertinent data compiled within a system of meaningful feedback.

DEFINING SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Clearly defined professional responsibilities constitute the foundation of the superintendent performance standards. A fair and comprehensive evaluation system provides sufficient detail and accuracy so that both superintendents and school boards reasonably understand the job expectations. It should be noted that the superintendent works with the school board, division staff, and other stakeholders to accomplish the performance standards.

The expectations for professional performance are defined using a two-tiered approach of performance standards and performance indicators.

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Performance standards define the criteria expected when superintendents perform their major duties. For all superintendents, there are eight performance standards as shown in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: *Performance Standards*

1. Strategic Leadership <i>The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i>
2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i>
3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i>

<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>
<p>8. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Performance indicators provide examples of observable, tangible behavior that indicate the degree to which superintendents are meeting each standard. This helps superintendents and school boards clarify performance levels and job expectations. Performance indicators are provided as examples of the types of performance that will occur if a standard is being successfully met. However, the list of performance indicators is not exhaustive and is not intended to be prescriptive. Indicators in one performance standard may be closely related to indicators in another standard. This is because the standards, themselves, are not mutually exclusive and may have overlapping aspects.

Superintendents and school boards should consult the sample performance indicators for clarification of what constitutes a specific performance standard. ***Performance ratings are made at the performance standard level, NOT at the performance indicator level. Additionally, it is important to document a superintendent’s performance on each standard with evidence generated from multiple performance indicators.*** Sample performance indicators for each of the performance standards follow.

Performance Standard 1: Strategic Leadership

The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and implement policies that define organizational expectations and result in continuous improvement.
- 1.3 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, staff, students, and community.
- 1.4 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues affecting the school division and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.5 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.9 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.10 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.2 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.3 Seeks and uses human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.5 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student outcomes.
- 2.6 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.7 Communicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.
- 3.2 Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the impactful and equitable support of all of the division’s students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.
- 4.8 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management.
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 7.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 7.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 7.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 7.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 7.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 7.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques and shares the information with appropriate staff.
- 7.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to network, share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational knowledge, skills, and success.

Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 8.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 8.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 8.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 8.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 8.5 Uses internal division and external stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student outcomes.
- 8.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.
- 8.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.

Note: Performance Standard 8: If a superintendent effectively fulfills all previous standards, it is likely that the results of his or her leadership – as documented in Standard 8: Student Academic Progress – would be positive. The Virginia superintendent evaluation system includes the documentation of student growth as indicated within Standard 8 and recommends that the evidence of progress be reviewed and considered throughout the year. Trend analysis should be used where applicable.

PART 3: DOCUMENTING SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE

To develop a complete picture of the superintendent’s performance, board members should use multiple sources of information in assessing performance quality. These data sources might include formal and informal observations, stakeholder surveys, artifacts of performance, goal setting, and other relevant sources of performance information. As representatives of the community, board members often receive unsolicited opinions about the performance of the superintendent, most often from individuals who are not pleased or disagree with an action or decision of the superintendent. Although it is tempting to use selected data sources in assessing the superintendent’s performance, *some sources may be more problematic than others; thus, these problematic, unsolicited, non-representative data should be very carefully and cautiously considered before applying the data to superintendent evaluation, if they are to be used at all.* For data sources to be acceptable, they must meet the tests of logic, validity, reliability, fairness, and legality.^a Answering questions like the ones that follow will assist board members in determining whether various data sources meet these tests:

- Are the data caused by or the responsibility of the superintendent?
- Do the data reflect responsibilities included in the superintendent’s job description?
- Are the data linked to student learning, welfare, or other needs?
- Are the data of primary importance in considering the quality of the superintendent’s performance?
- Are better data available on the same issue?

Board members should work with the superintendent to reach consensus on the evidence-based data sources to be used.

The suggested sources of information described in Figure 3.1 were selected to provide comprehensive and accurate feedback on superintendent performance. Data sources may include, but are not limited to, the sources in Figure 3.1.

^a These recommendations are adapted from: Peterson, K.D. (2000). *Teacher evaluation: A comprehensive guide to new directions and practices* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.

Figure 3.1: *Suggested Documentation Sources for Superintendent Evaluation*

Data Source	Definition
Self-Evaluation (Recommended)	Self-evaluation reveals superintendents’ perceptions of their job performance. Results of a self-evaluation should inform superintendents’ personal goals for professional development.
Documentation Evidence (Recommended)	Items generated by superintendents provide evidence of meeting the eight performance standards.
Stakeholder Survey (Recommended)	Stakeholder surveys provide information to superintendents about perceptions of job performance. The actual survey responses are seen only by the superintendent who prepares a survey summary as part of the documentation evidence. Surveys should be used sparingly and for formative purposes only.
Goal Setting (Recommended)	Superintendents, in conjunction with the school board, set goals for professional growth and school improvement. These goals should reflect expected or required performance benchmarks drawn from local and state guidelines and policies.

Note: All recommended data sources may not always be necessary in a superintendent evaluation system. Rather, options are provided from which local decisions can be made to design the evaluation system in a manner that best fits local needs. Also, with only minor modification, selected data sources (e.g., self-evaluation, goal setting) readily could be applied to school board evaluation if the local school board is so inclined.

SELF-EVALUATION

The superintendent’s annual self-evaluation of progress toward meeting performance goals encourages reflection on his/her experiences. It also provides a structure to consider future goals and determine strategies for achieving goals. The self-evaluation process is also useful in promoting the superintendent’s professional development. However, self-evaluations at the middle and end of each year can reveal discrepancies in perceptions of performance between the superintendent and the board and may be very useful in generating dialogue to discuss discrepancies revealed. The superintendent may consider self-rating at the end of the year and sharing this with the school board. A sample *Superintendent Self-evaluation Form* is provided on the following pages.

SAMPLE Superintendent Self-evaluation Form

Directions: Superintendents should use this form annually to reflect on the effectiveness and adequacy of their practice based on each performance standard. Please refer to the performance indicators for examples of behaviors exemplifying each standard.

Superintendent's Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

1. Strategic Leadership

The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

2. Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

3. Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.

Areas of strength:

Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:

<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>
<p>8. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p> <p>Areas of strength:</p> <p>Areas needing work/strategies for improving performance:</p>

DOCUMENTATION EVIDENCE

Evidence of a superintendent's performance can serve as a valuable and insightful data source for documenting the work that superintendents actually do. Documentation provides school boards with information related to specific standards and provides superintendents with an opportunity for self-reflection, demonstration of quality work, and a basis for two-way communication with the board. Documentation can confirm a superintendent's effort to demonstrate highly effective performance, can show continuing work at an effective level, or can demonstrate progress in response to a previously-identified deficiency. Documentation evidence is maintained by the superintendent and reviewed by the school board through the evaluation process.

A sample optional *Documentation Cover Sheet* is provided on the next page.

SAMPLE Documentation Cover Sheet *(optional)*

Directions: The superintendent should list the items he or she plans to submit as documentation of meeting each performance standard to supplement evidence gathered through other means. This form is optional. Documentation may also need to be supplemented with conversation, discussion, and/or annotations to clarify the superintendent's practice and process for the evaluator.

Superintendent's Name: _____

School Division: _____

School Year: _____

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>1. Strategic Leadership <i>The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</i></p>		
<p>2. Planning and Assessment <i>The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.</i></p>		
<p>3. Instructional Leadership <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.</i></p>		

Standard	Documentation Included	Superintendent Reflective Comments
<p>4. Organizational Leadership and Resource Management <i>The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division’s organization, operation, and use of resources.</i></p>		
<p>5. Communication and Community Relations <i>The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.</i></p>		
<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p>		
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p>		
<p>8. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i></p>		

STAKEHOLDER SURVEY

Stakeholder surveys have the potential to provide valuable input on the superintendent’s performance across the eight standards if they are well-conceived, properly administered, and interpreted. Any such results also should constitute only one component in the superintendent’s evaluation system. An optional *Stakeholder Survey* is shown on the next page. A *Survey Summary Form* that could be included as part of a superintendent’s documentation evidence is included on the subsequent page.

Note: Thoughtful consideration should be given to how stakeholder surveys are to be used if, indeed, they are used as a relevant data source for superintendent evaluation. For example, surveys should never be administered in a selective, non-random manner; otherwise, the results will be skewed in an unreasonable and non-representative manner. Additionally, the rules for applying stakeholder surveys should be determined in advance of the start of the evaluation cycle and best practices should be followed. The table of specifications in Figure 3.2 illustrates the alignment between the survey items and performance standards.

Figure 3.2: Table of Specifications

Superintendent Performance Standards	Survey Item #
1 - Strategic Leadership	1-3
2 - Planning and Assessment	4-5
3 - Instructional Leadership	6-8
4 - Organizational Leadership and Resource Management	9-11
5 - Communication and Community Relations	12-15
6 - Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership	16-18
7 - Professionalism	19-20

17.	Provides professional learning opportunities and resources that enhance capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes	0	1	2	3	4
18.	Promotes an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students and staff	0	1	2	3	4
19.	Demonstrates a professional demeanor	0	1	2	3	4
20.	Is approachable and accessible	0	1	2	3	4

COMMENTS:

PART 4: CONNECTING SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE TO DIVISIONWIDE STUDENT ACADEMIC PROGRESS

Measures of student learning are vitally important in judging the effectiveness of superintendents, but they should never serve as the sole source for evaluating performance. Waters and Marzano found a significant positive correlation between division leadership and student achievement. While the correlation was small, the finding speaks to the impact the superintendent can have on student academics.²⁶ Other studies have found that the superintendent impacts student academics through more indirect means such as ensuring the division’s instructional program is coherent and there is alignment with the mission, vision, and goals.²⁷ Due to these indirect impacts on student academic progress, gains in student learning should be used as only one component in the superintendent evaluation system. The use of student academic measures requires pre- and post-assessments using reliable and valid instruments to determine progress. While there is a place and purpose for fixed standards, such as learning to read at an acceptable level, fixed standards, such as SOL tests, must be regarded carefully when applied to the superintendent’s evaluation. Repeated measures of student learning over time enhance reliability from a statistical point of view and credibility from a decision-making perspective.

VIRGINIA LAW

The *Code of Virginia* requires that school boards’ procedures for evaluating superintendents must:

- be consistent with the performance standards set forth in the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*;
- include student academic progress as a significant component; and
- include an overall summative rating.

METHODS FOR CONNECTING STUDENT PERFORMANCE TO SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION

The *Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria* incorporate student academic progress as a significant component of the evaluation while encouraging local flexibility in implementation. The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards.

GOAL SETTING

Setting goals -- not just any goals, but goals set squarely on student performance -- is a powerful way to enhance professional performance and, in turn, positively impact student academic progress. In their meta-analysis, Waters and Marzano, found that effective superintendents create goal-oriented school divisions.²⁸ Whenever possible, it is recommended that the goals be grounded in validated, quantitative, objective measures, using tools already available, such as state performance benchmarks.

The school board, in conjunction with the superintendent, can set annual division goals for the superintendent that are congruent with the division's needs and concerns and are balanced across grades and school levels, as appropriate. The goals then can be reviewed and adjusted as necessary. It is important for the school board and superintendent to think through the shorter-term goals that are needed to address longer-term outcomes and for the school board to recognize and account for the time it takes for initiatives to be realized. Goal setting should occur at the beginning of the superintendent's contract year and the superintendent should report on progress in achieving the goals at regular intervals throughout the evaluation process. This provides a valuable forum for board/superintendent dialogue. Indicators of goal attainment include documentation via the superintendent's oral and written reports as well as other division data that may reflect goal achievement. A sample *Superintendent's Annual Goals* form is shown later in this section.

EXAMPLES OF MEASURES OF DIVISIONWIDE STUDENT ACADEMIC PROGRESS

To be able to measure goal attainment, superintendents must identify valid measures of student academic progress appropriate to their school division student population's learning needs and priorities. School boards and superintendents should develop mutually agreed-upon measures to include in the evaluation to best reflect the priorities of the division. Quantitative measures of student academic progress based on validated achievement measures that already are being used locally should be the first data considered when determining local progress measures. Additionally, it is important that multiple, relevant measures be used.

There are several important considerations when identifying multiple measures. The measures may focus on:

- All student performance and reporting group performance;
- Specific areas of need;
- Alignment with the strategic plan; and
- Topics/indicators across grade levels.

Figure 4.1 shows suggested focus areas for goal setting that provide measures of divisionwide student academic progress. (*Note:* This is not intended as an exhaustive list. Each school board should determine valid measures that are appropriate for each unique school division.)

Figure 4.1: Examples of Measures of Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

Category	Measure	Early elementary school (may include PreK)	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
Student Academic Progress	• Progress on SOL assessments and/or growth assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvement on advanced pass rates on SOL assessments	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvements in high school graduation rates				✓
	• Reduce retention rates resulting from increased student achievement outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
Reporting Groups and Other Student Groupings	• English Language Learners progress on English language proficiency assessment	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in percentage of students with disabilities earning Standard and Advanced Studies diplomas				✓
	• Increase in achievement of economically-disadvantaged students	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Reporting groups making increased academic progress	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Decrease in achievement gap in reporting groups	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in achievement of Individualized Education Plan goals	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Improvements in underperforming reporting groups earning a high school diploma				✓
College and Career Readiness	• Participation and success in advanced coursework (i.e., Dual Enrollment, AP, IB, industry certification)				✓
	• Enrollment and achievement in postsecondary education				✓
	• Increase in percentage of students earning career and technical industry certification, state licenses, or successful national occupational assessment credentials				✓
Reading/Literacy Readiness	• On track indicators such as Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening or similar measures available locally	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• SOL test outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Benchmark outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓

Category	Measure	Early elementary school (may include PreK)	Upper elementary school	Middle school	High school
Mathematics Readiness	• Progress on Algebra readiness assessments such as the Algebra Readiness Diagnostic Test			✓	✓
	• SOL test outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Benchmark outcomes	✓	✓	✓	✓
STEM Education	• Increase in percentage of underrepresented students taking advanced STEM courses				✓
	• Increase in number of industry certifications				✓
Student Engagement and Social/Emotional Wellness Activities	• Increase in percentage of students involved in extracurricular activities		✓	✓	✓
	• Increase in percentage of students receiving awards, scholarships, and recognition	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Attendance data	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Wellness indicators about students' social/emotional well-being	✓	✓	✓	✓
	• Behavior and discipline data	✓	✓	✓	✓

SAMPLE Superintendent’s Annual Goals

Directions: This form is a tool to assist superintendents in setting goals that result in measurable divisionwide student academic progress. Goals may relate to other standards, but all goals should address Standard 8 as well. Use a separate sheet for each goal.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ Date: _____

School Division: _____ School Year: _____

Preliminary approval granted by school board on: _____

Mid-year review conducted by school board on: _____

Year-end review conducted by school board on: _____

Goal:					
Check the standard(s) to which the goal relates <input type="checkbox"/> 1. Strategic Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Planning and Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Instructional Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Organizational Leadership and Resource Management <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Communication and Community Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <input type="checkbox"/> 7. Professionalism <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress					
Expected term to completion: <input type="checkbox"/> Short-term <input type="checkbox"/> Mid-term <input type="checkbox"/> Long-term					
<i>Indicators of Success</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; padding: 5px;"><i>Evidence to Date</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="height: 40px;"></td> </tr> </table>	<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>		<i>Evidence to Date</i>	
<i>Mid-Year Assessment of Goal by School Board</i>					
<i>Evidence to Date</i>					

Evaluators Signature

Date

Evaluators Name

PART 5: RATING SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE

The role of a superintendent requires a performance evaluation system that acknowledges the contextual nature and complexities of the job. For an evaluation system to be meaningful, it must provide its users with relevant and timely feedback. To facilitate this, school boards should conduct both formative assessments and summative evaluations of superintendents.

FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT

Formative assessment can provide valuable information to superintendents. At any point during the year, the school board has the option to share its assessment of the superintendent's performance by discussing the evidence related to the eight-standards. An optional *Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report* is provided on the following pages. It should be noted that this report does not include an actual rating in any of the performance standards.

SAMPLE Superintendent Formative Assessment Performance Report (optional)

Note: The formative assessment form is included as an option to be used if it is determined to be in the best interest of the local school division.

Directions: Use this form to comment on evidence related to the standards. Evaluators may use multiple formative assessment forms, as applicable.

Superintendent's Name: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator: _____

1. Strategic Leadership

The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Comments:

2. Planning and Assessment

The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Comments:

3. Instructional Leadership

The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.

Comments:

4. Organizational Leadership and Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.

Comments:

<p>6. Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership <i>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>7. Professionalism <i>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</i></p> <p>Comments:</p>
<p>8. Divisionwide Student Academic Progress <i>The superintendent’s leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</i> See Superintendent’s Annual Goal for details.</p> <p>Comments:</p>

Commendations:

Areas of Growth:

Superintendent’s Name: _____

Superintendent’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator’s Name: _____

Evaluator’s Signature: _____ Date: _____

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

Assessment of performance quality occurs only at the summative evaluation stage, which comes at the end of the evaluation cycle. The ratings for each performance standard are based on multiple data sources of information and are completed only after pertinent data from all sources are reviewed. The integration of data provides the evidence used to determine the performance ratings for the summative evaluations for all superintendents.

There are two major considerations in assessing job performance during summative evaluation: 1) the actual performance standards, and 2) how well they are performed. The performance standards and performance indicators provide a description of well-defined expectations.

DEFINITIONS OF RATINGS

The definitions in Figure 5.1 offer general descriptions of the ratings. *PLEASE NOTE: Ratings are applied to the eight performance standards and as an overall summative rating, not to performance indicators.*

Figure 5.1: *Definitions of Terms Used in Rating Scale*


Category	Description	Definition
Highly Effective	The superintendent performing at this level maintains performance, accomplishments, and behaviors that <u>consistently and considerably</u> surpass the established performance standard. This rating is reserved for performance that is truly exemplary and is done in a manner that exemplifies the division’s mission and goals.	Exceptional performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • sustains high performance over a period of time • empowers principals, teachers, and students and consistently exhibits behaviors that have a strong positive impact on student learning and the school division climate • serves as a role model to others
Effective	The superintendent <u>consistently</u> meets the performance standard in a manner that is aligned with the division’s mission and goals.	Proficient performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • meets the requirements contained in the performance standard • engages teachers and exhibits behaviors that have a positive impact on student academic progress and the school climate • demonstrates a willingness to learn and apply new skills
Approaching Effective	The superintendent’s performance is <u>inconsistent in meeting</u> the established performance standard and/or working toward the division’s mission and goals. The superintendent may be starting to exhibit desirable traits related to the standard but has not yet reached the full level of proficiency expected (i.e., developing) or the superintendent’s performance is lacking in a particular area (i.e., needs improvement).	Developing/needs improvement performances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • requires support in meeting the performance standards • results in less than expected quality of student performance • leads to areas for superintendent improvement being jointly identified and planned between the superintendent and school board

Category	Description	Definition
Ineffective	The superintendent <u>consistently performs below</u> the established performance standard or in a manner that is inconsistent with the school division’s mission and goals.	Unacceptable performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • does not meet the requirements contained in the performance standard • results in minimal student learning • may contribute to the superintendent not being recommended for continued employment

PERFORMANCE RUBRICS

The performance rubric is a behavioral summary scale that guides evaluators in assessing *how well* a standard is performed. It states the measure of performance expected of superintendents and provides a general description of performance at each level. In some instances, quantitative terms are included to augment the qualitative description. The resulting performance rubric provides a clearly delineated step-wise progression, along a continuum of effectiveness (as illustrated with arrows between the two levels). Each level is intended to be qualitatively superior to all lower levels. Teachers who earn a *Highly Effective* rating must meet the requirements for the *Effective* level and go beyond it. Performance rubrics are provided to increase reliability among evaluators and to help superintendents focus on ways to enhance their leadership practices. **Please note: The rating of “Effective” is the expected level of performance and is written as the actual performance standard.** A superintendent who is new to the division or position may be considered “Approaching Effective” in a standard. Additionally, the recommended performance rubrics presented may be modified at the discretion of the school board.

Figure 5.2: Example of a Performance Rubric



Superintendents are evaluated on the performance standards using the following performance appraisal rubrics:

Performance Standard 1: Strategic Leadership


The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division's mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 1.1 Provides leadership in the development of a shared vision for educational improvement that inspires employees to work collaboratively.
- 1.2 Works collaboratively with the school board and stakeholders to develop and implement policies that define organizational expectations and result in continuous improvement.
- 1.3 Promotes a climate of mutual respect, trust, innovation, and professionalism with the school board, staff, students, and community.
- 1.4 Keeps the school board informed on current and future needs and issues affecting the school division and works collaboratively to resolve them.
- 1.5 Supports and enforces all school board policies and informs all constituents of changes to the policies.
- 1.6 Oversees the administration of the school division's day-to-day operations.
- 1.7 Works with all individuals, groups, agencies, committees, and organizations to provide and maintain schools that are safe and productive.
- 1.8 Fosters external partnerships to build targeted opportunities around identified student and school division needs.
- 1.9 Delegates authority and responsibility to other employees as needs and opportunities arise and ensures accountability for implementation.
- 1.10 Recommends policy additions or modifications to improve student learning and division effectiveness.



Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment


The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 2.1 Organizes the collaborative development and implementation of a division strategic plan based on analysis of data from a variety of sources.
- 2.2 Works collaboratively to develop long- and short-range goals and objectives consistent with the strategic plan and monitors progress in achieving the goals and objectives.
- 2.3 Seeks and uses human and material resources outside the division that may support and/or enhance the achievement of goals and objectives.
- 2.4 Uses research-based techniques to analyze and apply data gathered from division improvement measurements that include student assessment results and staff implementation practices.
- 2.5 Collaboratively identifies needs, determines priorities, and assesses program implementation using researched-based instructional practices that result in enhanced student outcomes.
- 2.6 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses instructional programs that enhance teaching and student achievement such that the school division and all schools meet or demonstrate sufficient progress in meeting all required federal and state standards.
- 2.7 Communicates findings to all stakeholders and encourages reciprocal dialogue and feedback to ensure continuous improvement.



Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership


The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.

Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 3.1 Serves as the primary instructional leader for the school division, seeking out and relying on support from staff as necessary making decisions and when advising the school board.
- 3.2 Creates and sustains a school division culture of excellence with expectations that support effective, data-driven decision-making and the use of multiple sources of evidence to drive school and division goals, improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.
- 3.3 Oversees the alignment, coordination, and delivery of assigned programs and curricular areas such that the school division and all schools meet all required federal, state, and local standards.
- 3.4 Assesses factors affecting student achievement and directs change for needed improvements to ensure full access and equitable opportunities for all students.
- 3.5 Ensures that curricular design, instructional strategies, and learning environments integrate appropriate technologies to maximize student learning.
- 3.6 Explores, disseminates, and applies knowledge and information about new or improved instructional strategies or related issues.
- 3.7 Works with the school board, staff, and community representatives to identify needs and determine priorities regarding program delivery.
- 3.8 Provides direction and support in planning and implementing activities and programs consistent with continuous improvement efforts and attainment of instructional goals.
- 3.9 Develops and nurtures a culture of continuous learning and professional development and growth in which staff members are reflective about their strategies and use data and appropriate methods to analyze and adapt practices that achieve improved results.



Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Resource Management

The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 4.1 Identifies, analyzes, and resolves problems using problem-solving techniques and communicates resolutions with necessary stakeholders.
- 4.2 Facilitates the implementation of research-based theories and techniques relating to school attendance, parental involvement, student behavior, and school safety to ensure an orderly and positive environment conducive to teaching and learning.
- 4.3 Implements sound personnel procedures in recruiting, employing, and retaining highly qualified and high-performing teachers, administrators, and other personnel based on identified needs.
- 4.4 Acquires, allocates, and manages division human, material, technological, and financial resources in compliance with all laws to ensure the impactful and equitable support of all of the division's students, schools, and programs.
- 4.5 Demonstrates organizational skills to efficiently and safely achieve school, community, and division goals.
- 4.6 Provides staff development, support, and resources for all categories of personnel to improve job performance consistent with individual needs, program evaluation results, and instructional improvement plans.
- 4.7 Plans and implements a systematic performance evaluation system of all employees that provides timely and constructive feedback and recognizes and supports the achievement of highly effective personnel.

- 4.8 Collaborates and develops internal and external partnerships to enhance operations and resource management.
- 4.9 Anticipates, assesses, and responds to current, emerging, and future division, student, and school community needs in a timely manner.




Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations
The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 5.1 Models and promotes professional, timely, and impactful communication and interpersonal relations within the school division.
- 5.2 Establishes and maintains effective channels of communication with board members and between the schools and community.
- 5.3 Works collaboratively with all stakeholders to secure resources and to support the success of a diverse student population.
- 5.4 Creates an atmosphere of trust and mutual respect with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.
- 5.5 Demonstrates the skills necessary to build community support for division goals and priorities.
- 5.6 Uses formal and informal techniques to gather external perceptions and input representing multiple perspectives as a part of the decision-making process.
- 5.7 Brings together groups of different interests into a collaborative effort to respond appropriately to existing and potential problems.
- 5.8 Models and promotes multicultural awareness, inclusive practices, and the appreciation of diversity in the community.



Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 6.1 Collects and analyzes disaggregated data to determine division priorities and aid in developing and monitoring a division strategic plan that promotes continuous improvement and demonstrates a commitment to equitable and culturally responsive practice for all students.
- 6.2 Manages and leverages the allocation of fiscal, human, capital, and material resources to promote equitable access and outcomes for all students.
- 6.3 Provides professional learning opportunities for all employees throughout the division that enhance their capacity and skills to support culturally responsive practices and equitable outcomes.
- 6.4 Plans, implements, supports, and assesses the instructional program to provide all students with equitable access to culturally relevant and responsive curriculum as well as high-quality content, instruction, and materials.
- 6.5 Engages in dialogue with all stakeholders to promote an equity-centered, inclusive organizational culture that fosters a sense of belonging for all students.
- 6.6 Collaborates with all stakeholders to foster ownership in a shared mission, vision, and core values that support all students.

Standard 6: Developed by Virginia Department of Education with adaptations from the VDOE Superintendent Evaluation Work Group, October-November 2021



Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.


Sample Performance Indicators

Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 7.1 Models professional, moral, and ethical standards as well as personal integrity in all interactions.
- 7.2 Works in a collegial and collaborative manner with stakeholders to promote and support the mission, vision, and goals of the school division.
- 7.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality and assumes responsibility for personal actions and responds appropriately to the actions of others.
- 7.4 Takes responsibility for and participates in a meaningful and continuous process of professional development that results in the enhancement of student learning.
- 7.5 Provides service to the profession, the division, and the community by participating on state and/or national committees, being active in professional and community-based service organizations, and serving as a mentor.
- 7.6 Takes a leadership role and encourages staff to do so as well, by presenting workshops at local, state, regional, or national conferences, authoring publications, or delivering coursework for institutions of higher education.
- 7.7 Maintains a high level of personal knowledge regarding new developments and techniques and shares the information with appropriate staff.

7.8 Actively seeks and engages in opportunities to network, share knowledge, and stay abreast of the latest research to promote individual and organizational knowledge, skills, and success.




Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress
The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.

Sample Performance Indicators
Examples may include, but are not limited to:

The superintendent:

- 8.1 Develops, implements, monitors, and updates division action plans that result in increased student academic progress.
- 8.2 Uses appropriate data and applies research to make informed decisions related to student academic progress and division improvement.
- 8.3 Leads staff in conducting an ongoing, detailed analysis of multiple measures of student learning data to provide immediate and appropriate feedback.
- 8.4 Collaborates with division staff to monitor and improve multiple measures of student progress.
- 8.5 Uses internal division and external stakeholder meetings and impactful professional development activities to focus on continuous improvement of student outcomes.
- 8.6 Provides evidence that students in all reporting groups are meeting acceptable and measurable student academic progress.
- 8.7 Demonstrates responsibility for division academic achievement through proactive education and outreach to parents, staff, and other community stakeholders.
- 8.8 Collaboratively develops, implements, and monitors long- and short-range division achievement goals that address varied student populations.

8.9 Sets division benchmarks and implements appropriate strategies and interventions to accomplish desired outcomes.



PERFORMANCE RUBRICS AND SUMMATIVE EVALUATION

School boards make judgments about the performance of the eight performance standards based on all available evidence. After collecting information gathered through multiple data sources, the school board applies the four-level rating scale to evaluate a superintendent's performance on all standards for the summative evaluation. Therefore, the summative evaluation represents where the "preponderance of evidence" exists, based on various data sources. A sample *Superintendent Summative Performance Report* is provided on the following pages. The results of the evaluation must be discussed with the superintendent at a summative evaluation conference.

Summative evaluations should be completed in compliance with the *Code of Virginia* and school division policy. Summative ratings should apply the rating for each of the eight performance standards.

SINGLE SUMMATIVE RATING

In addition to receiving a diagnostic rating for each of the eight performance ratings, the superintendent will receive a single summative evaluation rating at the conclusion of the evaluation cycle. This summative rating will reflect an overall evaluation rating for the employee. The intent is not to replace the diagnostic value of the eight performance standards; rather it is to provide an overall rating of the superintendent's performance. The overall summative rating will be judged to be *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective*.

Scores will be calculated using the following scale:

- Highly Effective* = 4
- Effective* = 3
- Approaching Effective* = 2
- Ineffective* = 1

The *Code of Virginia* requires that student academic progress be a significant component of the evaluation. How student academic progress is met is the responsibility of local school boards provided that *Performance Standard 8: Student Academic Progress* is not the least weighted of the

performance standards or less than 1 (10 percent); however, it may be weighted equally as one of the multiple lowest weighted standards. The following example complies with this requirement.

Figure 5.3: *Sample Weighting*

Performance Standard	Weight
Standard 1	1.25
Standard 2	1.25
Standard 3	1.25
Standard 4	1.25
Standard 5	1.25
Standard 6	1.25
Standard 7	1.25
Standard 8	1.25

Figure 5.4: *Example of Recommended Weighted Calculations for Superintendent Performance Evaluation*

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1	Highly Effective	4	1.25	5
Standard 2	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 3	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 4	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 5	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Standard 6	Highly Effective	4	1.25	5
Standard 7	Highly Effective	4	1.25	5
Standard 8	Effective	3	1.25	3.75
Single Summative Rating				33.75

The overall single summative rating will be judged as *Highly Effective*, *Effective*, *Approaching Effective*, or *Ineffective* using the following range of scores:

Figure 5.5: *Range of Scores*

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

SAMPLE Superintendent Summative Performance Report

Directions: Evaluators use this form prior to providing the superintendent with an assessment of performance. The superintendent should be given a copy of the form at the end of each evaluation cycle.

Superintendent’s Name: _____ School Year(s): _____

School: _____

Performance Standard 1: Strategic Leadership

<p style="text-align: center;">Highly Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes a highly productive relationship with the local school board to lead strategic improvement to promote student learning.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Effective</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent creates, monitors, and facilitates the process of strategic improvement, and seeks to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent is inconsistent in creating, monitoring, and/or facilitating the process of strategic improvement, and/or seeking to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent fails to create, monitor, and/or facilitate the process of strategic improvement, and/or fails to seek to ensure the division’s mission, vision, and goals are fulfilled in a manner that enables all students to be career and college ready and globally competitive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><i>Comments:</i></p>			

Performance Standard 2: Planning and Assessment

Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i>	Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i>	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
The superintendent proactively seeks out and applies research on the impactful use of assessment data and ensures division personnel are aware of relevant findings and are using data to improve instructional programs, resulting in improved student academic performance and student outcomes.	The superintendent strategically gathers, analyzes, and uses a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and procedures that result in student academic progress and improved student outcomes.	The superintendent is inconsistent in gathering, analyzing, and using a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.	The superintendent fails to gather, analyze, and use a variety of data to guide planning and decision-making consistent with established guidelines, policies, and/or procedures that result in student academic success and improved student outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:			

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Leadership

Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i>	Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i>	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
The superintendent actively and consistently employs innovative and impactful leadership strategies that empower teachers, staff, and division leaders; maximize student academic progress; and result in effective teaching and learning that reflects excellence.	The superintendent fosters the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.	The superintendent is inconsistent in fostering the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.	The superintendent fails to foster the success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by ensuring the development, communication, implementation, and/or evaluation of instructional systems that promote high student achievement and professional development and growth for staff.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:			

Performance Standard 4: Organizational Leadership and Resource Management

Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i>	Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i>	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
The superintendent exemplifies leadership in efficient and safe operations and organizational management by promoting staff innovation, demonstrating proactive decision-making, and maximizing available resources.	The superintendent fosters the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and evaluating the division's organization, operation, and use of resources.	The superintendent is inconsistent in fostering the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and/or evaluating the division's organization, operation, and/or use of resources.	The superintendent fails to foster the safety and success of all teachers, staff, division leaders, and students by supporting, managing, and/or evaluating the division's organization, operation, and/or use of resources.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 5: Communication and Community Relations

Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i>	Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i>	Approaching Effective	Ineffective
The superintendent proactively seeks and creates innovative and productive methods to communicate, collaborate, and engage impactfully with stakeholders.	The superintendent fosters the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and professional communication with the board, staff, families, and other stakeholders.	The superintendent is inconsistent in fostering the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communication with the board, staff, families, and/or other stakeholders.	The superintendent fails to foster the success of all students through proactive, responsive, and/or professional communications with the board, staff, families, and/or other stakeholders.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Comments:</i>			

Performance Standard 6: Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership

<p>Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i></p> <p>The superintendent consistently engages internal and external stakeholders in demonstrating a shared commitment to ensuring a culturally responsive environment that objectively monitors student outcomes and allocates resources to ensure the creation of a culturally responsive environment where all students and staff thrive.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent establishes and implements division goals, priorities, and strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent is inconsistent in establishing and implementing division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent fails to establish and implement division goals, priorities, and/or strategies centered in equity and culturally responsive practices to support achievement for all students.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 7: Professionalism

<p>Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i></p> <p>The superintendent models a commitment to continuous learning and innovation that translates to division faculty and staff and makes significant and meaningful contributions to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent fosters the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent is inconsistent in fostering the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent fails to foster the success of teachers, staff, and students by demonstrating behavior consistent with legal, ethical, and professional standards, engaging in continuous professional development, and/or contributing to the profession.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Performance Standard 8: Divisionwide Student Academic Progress

<p style="text-align: center;">Highly Effective <i>In addition to meeting the requirements for Effective ...</i></p> <p>The superintendent's leadership serves as a role model to others and results in a high level of student academic progress with all populations of learners.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Effective <i>Effective is the expected level of performance.</i></p> <p>The superintendent's leadership results in acceptable, measurable, and appropriate divisionwide student academic progress based on established standards.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Approaching Effective</p> <p>The superintendent's leadership results in student academic progress that inconsistently meets the established standard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Ineffective</p> <p>The superintendent's leadership consistently results in inadequate student academic progress.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>Comments:</p>			

Overall Evaluation Summary:

Performance Standard	Performance Rating	Points HE=4 E=3 AE=2 IE=1	Weight	Weighted Total (Points x Weight)
Standard 1			1.25	
Standard 2			1.25	
Standard 3			1.25	
Standard 4			1.25	
Standard 5			1.25	
Standard 6			1.25	
Standard 7			1.25	
Standard 8			1.25	
Single Summative Rating				

Rating	Range of Scores
<i>Highly Effective</i>	35-40
<i>Effective</i>	26-34
<i>Approaching Effective</i>	20-25
<i>Ineffective</i>	10-19

- Highly Effective**
- Effective**
- Approaching Effective**
- Ineffective**
- Recommended for Targeted Professional Growth. (One or more standards are *Ineffective*, or two or more standards are *Approaching Effective*.)**

Commendations:

Areas Noted for Improvement:

Superintendent Improvement Goals:

Evaluator's Name

Superintendent's Name

Evaluator's Signature

Superintendent's Signature (Superintendent's signature denotes receipt of the summative evaluation, not necessarily agreement with the contents of the form.)

Date

Date

PART 6: IMPROVING SUPERINTENDENT PERFORMANCE

Supporting superintendents is essential to the success of school divisions. Many resources are needed to assist superintendents in growing professionally. Sometimes additional support is required to help superintendents develop so that they can meet the performance standards for their school divisions.

Targeted Professional Growth, a division-level discussion between the school board and the superintendent, is an optional process to promote conversation about performance to address specific needs or desired areas for professional growth. Figure 6.1 highlights the process.

Figure 6.1: *Tool to Increase Professional Performance*

	Targeted Professional Growth
Purpose	For superintendents who could benefit from targeted performance improvement OR who would like to systematically focus on his or her own performance growth.
Initiates Process	School board or superintendent
Documentation	Form Provided: Optional Targeted Professional Growth Form Memo or other record of the discussion/other forms of documentation at a school board level
Outcomes	Performance improvement is documented with the support dialogue continued at the discretion of the school board or the superintendent

The *Targeted Professional Growth* process is initiated by the school board or superintendent at any point during the school year when the superintendent’s professional practice would benefit from additional support. It is designed to facilitate discussion about the area(s) of concern and ways to address those concerns. The *Targeted Professional Growth* process should not be construed as applying to poor-performing superintendents. The option for *Targeted Professional Growth* is open to any superintendent who desires assistance in a particular area.

During the initial conference, both parties share what each will do to support the superintendent’s growth (see sample prompts in Figure 6.2) and decide when to meet again. To facilitate the improvements, they may choose to fill out the optional *Targeted Professional Growth Form* on the following page. After the agreed-upon time to receive support and implement changes in professional practice has elapsed, the school board and superintendent meet again to discuss the impact of the changes (see sample follow-up prompts in Figure 6.2).

Figure 6.2: *Sample Prompts*

Sample Prompts for the Initial Conversation

What challenges have you encountered in addressing _____ (tell specific concern)?

What have you tried to address the concern of _____ (tell specific concern)?

What support can the school board provide you?

Sample Prompts for the Follow-Up Conversation

The last time we met, we talked about _____ (tell specific concern). What has gone well?

What has not gone as well?

The entire *Targeted Professional Growth* process is intended to be completed in a relatively short time period (for example, within six weeks) as it offers targeted support. If the *Targeted Professional Growth* process was initiated by a superintendent seeking self-improvement, the school board and superintendent may decide at any time either to conclude the process or to continue the support and allocate additional time or resources.

For a superintendent for whom the school board initiated the *Targeted Professional Growth* process, the desired outcome would be that the superintendent's practice has improved to a proficient level. If improvements in performance are still needed, the school board determines either to extend the time of the *Targeted Professional Growth* because progress has been made, or to allocate additional time or resources.

SAMPLE Targeted Professional Growth *(optional)*

Directions: School boards and superintendents may use this form to facilitate discussion on areas that need additional support. This form is optional.

What is the area of targeted support?

What are some of the issues in the area that are causing difficulty?

What strategies have you already tried and what was the result?

What new strategies or resources might facilitate improvement in this area?

Superintendent's Name: _____

Superintendent's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Evaluator's Name: _____

Evaluator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

REFERENCES

- American Association of School Administrators. (1980). *Evaluating the superintendent*.
- Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020). Superintendents' perception of their role and their professional development in an era of changing organizational environment. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 19*(3), 462-476.
- Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007). Identifying the relative strength in Glasser's 5 basic needs in school superintendents. *AASA Journal of Scholarship and Practice, 3*(4), 5-11.
- Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (2012). *Superintendent performance evaluation: Current practice and directions for improvement*. Springer Science+Business Media.
- DiPaola, M. F. (2010). *Evaluating the superintendent* [White paper]. Retrieved from American Association of School Administrators website: http://www.aasa.org/uploadedFiles/Resources/AASA_White_Paper_on_Superintendent_Evaluation.pdf.
- DiPaola, M.F., Schneider, T. L., & Staples, S. R. (2019). *Evaluating school superintendents*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- DiPaola, M. F., & Stronge, J. H. (2003). *Superintendent evaluation handbook*. Lanham, MA: Scarecrow.
- Filippi, J. R., & Hackmann, D. G. (2019). Leading common core state standards implementation: Lessons from one successful superintendent. *Leadership and Policy in Schools, 18*(1), 138-153.
- Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009). The evaluation of principals: What and how do states and urban districts assess leadership? *The Elementary School Journal, 110*, 19–39.
- Henrikson, R. (2018). Superintendent evaluation frameworks for continuous improvement: Using evidence-based processes to promote the stance of improvement. *AASA Journal of Scholarship & Practice, 15*(1), 22-29.
- Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., & Harris, M. (2016). *School leadership interventions under the Every Student Succeeds Act* [Research report]. Retrieved from RAND Corporation website: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/research_reports/RR1500/RR1550/RAND_RR1550.pdf.
- Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001). Superintendent performance evaluation and its relationship to district student performance. In T. J. Kowalski (Ed.), *21st Century challenges for school administrators* (pp. 272-285). Scarecrow Press.
- Jimenez, L., & Sargrad, S. (2017). *A new vision for school accountability* [Report]. Washington, DC: Center for American Progress.
- Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. (2009). *The personnel evaluation standards* (2nd ed.). Sage.

- Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). *Management quality in public education: Superintendent value-added, student outcomes and mechanisms* (No. w24028). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017). Characteristics of high-performing school districts. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 16(1), 27–53. doi:10.1080/15700763.2016.1197282.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2008). Linking leadership to student learning: The contributions of leader efficacy. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44, 496–528. doi:10.1177/0013161X08321501.
- Leithwood, K. A., & Riehl, C. (2003). *What Do We Already Know About Successful School Leadership?* AERA Division A Task Force on Developing Research in Educational Leadership, 2–37.
- Leithwood, K., Sun, J., & McCullough, C. (2019). How school districts influence student achievement. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 57(5), 519–539. doi:10.1108/JEA-09-2018-0175.
- Maxfield, C., Wells, C., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. (2008, November 12). *The role of superintendents in supporting teacher leadership*. Retrieved from <http://cnx.org/content/m18262/1.1/>.
- Morgan, C., & Peterson, G. J. (2002). The superintendent’s role in leading academically effective school districts. In B. S. Cooper and L. D. Fusarelli (Eds.), *The promises and perils of the modern superintendency* (pp. 175-196). Scarecrow.
- Petersen, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005). The superintendent as instructional leader: Current practice, future conceptualizations, and implications for preparation. In L. G. Björk and T. J. Kowalski (Eds.), *The contemporary superintendent: Preparation, practice, and development* (pp. 107-136). Corwin.
- Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011). Identifying the professional development needs of school superintendents. *International Journal of Educational Leadership Preparation*, 6(3), n3.
- Stronge, J. H., & Xu, X. (2021). *Qualities of effective principals*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- Waters, T. J., & Marzano, R. J. (2006). School District Leadership That Works: The Effect of Superintendent Leadership on Student Achievement. A Working Paper. *Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning (McREL)*.
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 39(3), 398-425.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Virginia Department of Education appreciates the work of those who contributed to the *Guidelines for Uniform Performance Standards and Evaluation Criteria for Superintendents*.

VIRGINIA SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION WORK GROUP

Ms. Jenna Alexander
President-elect
Virginia Parent Teacher Association

Ms. Krista Arnold
Executive Director
Virginia Association of Elementary School Principals

Ms. Mandy Baker
Assistant Superintendent of Human Relations and Administration
Hanover County Public Schools

Mr. Randy D. Barrack
Executive Director
Virginia Association of Secondary School Principals

Mr. Timothy Beatty
Principal, Heritage High School
Lynchburg City Schools

Ms. Melinda Bright
Director, VEA Teaching and Learning
Virginia Education Association

Mr. Miles Carey
Assistant Principal, Washington-Liberty High School
Arlington Public Schools
Virginia Education Association Teacher Representative

Dr. Amy Cashwell
Superintendent
Henrico County Public Schools

Dr. Walter Clements
Superintendent
Gloucester County Public Schools

Dr. Jared Cotton
Superintendent
Chesapeake Public Schools

Dr. Lyle Evans
Adjunct Assistant Professor, Education
University of Richmond

Dr. Tomika L. Ferguson
Assistant Dean, Student Affairs and Inclusive Excellence
Assistant Professor, Educational Leadership
Virginia Commonwealth University

Dr. Daisy Hicks
Superintendent
Buckingham County Public Schools

Dr. David Jeck
Superintendent
Fauquier County Public Schools

Dr. Barbara Kanninen
Arlington County School Board
Northeastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Mr. Jason Kessler
Governmental Relations Specialist
Virginia School Boards Association

Dr. Ben Kiser
Executive Director
Virginia Association of School Superintendents

Dr. Cardell Patillo
Portsmouth City School Board
At-large Member, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Dr. Brian Ratcliff
Superintendent
Washington County Public Schools

Ms. Jowanda Rollins-Fells
Caroline County School Board Chair
Eastern Region Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Board of Directors

Ms. Verletta White
Superintendent
Roanoke City Public Schools

Dr. Andrea Whitmarsh
Superintendent
Greene County Public Schools

Mr. David Woodard
Tazewell County School Board
Chair, Virginia School Boards Association Legislative Positions/FRN Committee

Dr. Patricia Zissios
Principal
Lyles Couch Traditional Academy, Alexandria

Virginia Department of Education

Dr. James F. Lane

Superintendent of Public Instruction

Dr. Rosa Atkins

Chief Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Officer

Dr. Joan Johnson

Assistant Superintendent of Teacher Education and Licensure

Dr. Amy W. Griffin

Education Consultant

Office of Learning and Innovation

Ms. Jenne Nurse

Equity Coordinator

Office of Equity and Community Engagement

Project Consultants

(Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC)

Dr. James H. Stronge

Dr. Virginia Caine Tonneson

Dr. Jessica Straessle

Dr. Rachel Ball

Attribution and copyright for Standard 6 (Culturally Responsive and Equitable Division Leadership) is the property of the Virginia Department of Education.

Portions of these superintendent evaluation materials were adapted from superintendent evaluation handbooks, research, and publications developed and copyrighted [2010-2020] by James H. Stronge and Stronge & Associates Educational Consulting, LLC. James H. Stronge hereby grants permission for noncommercial use to the Virginia Department of Education, Virginia school divisions, and other Virginia educational organizations to modify, create derivatives, reproduce, publish, or otherwise use these materials exclusively in Virginia. Permission is not granted for its use outside of the Commonwealth of Virginia or by third-party vendors without prior permission of Stronge & Associates. (Approved by the Virginia Board of Education on XXX).

ENDNOTES

- ¹ Portions of this section were adapted from principal evaluation handbooks published in various states, copyright [2010] by J. H. Stronge. Adapted with permission.
- ² American Association of School Administrators. (1980). p. 4
- ³ Herman, R., Gates, S. M., Arifkhanova, A, Bega, A., Chavez-Herrerias, E. R., Han, E., Harris, M., Tamargo, J., Wrabel, S. (2017).
- ⁴ Jimenez & Sargrad (2017).
- ⁵ Condoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (2012).
- ⁶ DiPaola, M. F., Schneider, T. L., and Staples, S. R. (2019).
- ⁷ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003).
- ⁸ Waters, & Marzano (2006).
- ⁹ Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2003); Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000); Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003).
- ¹⁰ Stronge, J. H., Richard, H. B., & Catano, N. (2008).
- ¹¹ DiPaola, M. F. (2010)
- ¹² Goldring, E., Cravens, X. C., Murphy, J., Porter, A. C., Elliott, S. N., & Carson, B. (2009).
- ¹³ Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005).
- ¹⁴ Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011).
- ¹⁵ Brown, T., Swenson, S., & Hertz, K. (2007); Peterson, G. J., & Barnett, B. G. (2005)
- ¹⁶ Hoyle, J. R., Björk, L. G., Collier, V., & Glass, T. (2005).
- ¹⁷ Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation [JCSEE], 2009, p. 3.
- ¹⁸ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997).
- ¹⁹ Candoli, I. C., Cullen, K., & Stufflebeam, D. L. (1997); Hoyle, J., Hogan, D., Skrla, L., & Ealy, C. (2001).
- ²⁰ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017). Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)
- ²¹ Maxfield, C. R., Wells, C. M., Keane, W., & Klocko, B. A. (2008), p.13
- ²² Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)
- ²³ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020); Spanneut, G., Tobin, J., & Ayers, S. (2011)
- ²⁴ Arar, K., & Avidov-Ungar, O. (2020)
- ²⁵ Lavy, V., & Boiko, A. (2017)
- ²⁶ Waters, J. T., & Marzano, R. J. (2006)
- ²⁷ Leithwood, K., & Azah, V. N. (2017)