Collection of Evidence 11

When reviewed against the End-of-Course (EOC) Writing Performance Level Descriptors (2017), the overall writing quality of this collection of evidence demonstrates the achievement level of Fail/Does Not Meet.

The samples demonstrate an attempt to **develop a simple thesis**, though often the **central idea**, **purpose**, **or position** is not clear due to the inclusion of unrelated or off-topic **evidence** for support. In the first sample, the **thesis** (...technology can help you do many things in life...) is more clear as the writing progresses, but it is then weakened in the last two paragraphs (Social Media is very addictive to teens and young adults....We should let people keep their own opinions.). The structure of the second sample attempts an analysis of a career choice; however, **evidence provided weakens the purpose** of the writing (Dancing is something all ages do from 1 year olds to 100 years old do.). Similarly, the **position** of the third sample is unclear due to a lack of focus.

In the third sample, source material is embedded to **support the position** that guardians may not approve of homeschooling due to cost (*According to their data, homeschooling can be either just as expensive or more expensive than public school.*), and though examples of **elaboration** appear across the samples, overall the writing presents **basic information and limited evidence related to the topic**. Throughout the collection, **evidence** provided is more general than focused to the purpose for writing, weakening **relationships between and among ideas** (*Texting could ruin eye to eye contact or writing a essay or school paper....If people didn't take the easy way out of life. If we all use proper grammar then we could possibly improve our grammar.*). Similarly, **major digressions** also appear across all samples, **affecting unity** (*Dancing can help someone stay in shape*). The writing exhibits a **variety of sentence structures** that enhance the writing, and **word choice and tone** are generally **appropriate to the audience and purpose** (*Online calendars, for example, help coordinate everyone's schedules and can be accessed by a variety of devices.*). While the collection of evidence demonstrates reasonable control of written expression, the variety and density of errors in composing prevent overall comprehension of the samples, showing significant weakness.

Control of sentence formation, usage, and mechanics is inconsistent in the samples. Instances of maintaining parallel structure (...getting to see their guardians more, not having to buy so many clothes when it's time to go back to school, and not worrying about people...) and correctly punctuating complex sentences appear (Teachers, as well as other professionals, are able to use class websites or apps like Class Dojo and Google Classroom to keep parents updated about school or workers informed about work sites/expectations.). However, sentences demonstrating basic usage and mechanics errors also appear (When they say something funny or walk for the first time.); in this example, the[y] is considered only a miscue within the sentence fragment. Though the variety and

Virginia Department of Education Department of Student Assessment, Accountability & ESEA Programs Department of Learning and Innovation Annotation for Collection of Evidence 11
Performance Assessment for Verifying Credits in Writing (End-of-Course)

density of errors do not affect the overall clarity of writing, they demonstrate weakness in usage and mechanics.

The collection of evidence demonstrates inconsistent control of the features expected for student writing at the end of the course, indicating a performance level of Fail/Does Not Meet. The collection of evidence does not meet the requirements for awarding a Verified Credit in Writing.