Madison County Public Schools Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix for FFY2016 (Compliance)

Reference the <u>Local Determinations Scoring Rubric</u> for additional details.

Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) and Determination

Total Points Available	Total Points Earned	Total Performance		
40	29	73%		
Madison County Public Schools				
Needs Assistance				

Results and Compliance Overall Scoring

Determination	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	10	50%
Compliance	20	19	95%

Part B Compliance Indicators

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 4B: Division Identified with Significant			
Discrepancy by race and ethnicity, in the rate of suspension			
and expulsion, and policies, procedures, or practices that	Yes/No	Yes	2
contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply			
with specified requirements.			
Indicator 9 : Division Identified with Disproportionate			
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	Yes/No	Yes	2
education and related services that is the result of	1 05/110	105	2
inappropriate identification.			
Indicator 10 : Division Identified with Disproportionate			
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific	Yes/No	Yes	2
disability categories that is the result of inappropriate	1 ES/1NU	105	2
identification.			
Indicator 11 : Division met timeline for Initial Eligibility	100	Yes	2
Indicator 12 : Division met timeline for Part C to Part B	No students	No students	
eligibility by third birthday	referred from	referred	2
	Part C	from Part C	

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 13: Division met Postsecondary Goal Requirements	94.29	No	1
General Supervision: Division has uncorrected noncompliance (i.e., state complaints, due process hearings, and on-site monitoring)	Yes/No	Yes	2
Accurate Data Submission: Division accurately submitted all indicator data	Yes/No	Yes	2
Timely Data Submission : Division submitted all indicator data in a timely manner	Yes/No	Yes	2
Fiscal Audit : Division had no outstanding audit findings in regard to the use of Part B funds	Yes/No	Yes	2

Comments:

N/A

Additional information and specific criteria related to LEA determinations are available through the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric.

Reading Components Elements

Reading Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B : Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target \geq 95%)	100	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target $\geq 66\%$)	31	No	0

Mathematics Components Elements

Mathematics Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B : Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target \geq 95%)	100	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target $\geq 65\%$)	45	No	2

Graduation Components Elements

Graduation Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 1 : Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma (Target \geq 52%)	18.75	No	0