# Cumberland County Public Schools FFY 2016 SPECIAL EDUCATION PERFORMANCE REPORT

Cumberland County Public Schools 1541 Anderson Hwy Cumberland, Virginia 23040

The *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act* (IDEA) requires each state to report to the public on state-level data and individual school division-level data and to report on whether the state and the divisions met state targets described in the state's special education <u>State Performance Plan/Annual</u> <u>Performance Report</u>. This report compares the division's performance to the State's target.

#### **Indicator 1: Graduation**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance (based on data from 2015-2016)	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma	$\leq$ 10 Students	≥52.00%	Too few students to evaluate

#### **Indicator 2: Dropouts**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance (based on data from 2015-2016)	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Students with disabilities grades 7-12 who dropped out	0%	≤1.60%	Yes

#### **Indicator 3: Participation and Performance on Statewide Assessments**

Indicator Description	AMO Targets Met
<b>3a.</b> Division met AMO targets for students with disabilities subgroup	Not Required

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
<b>3b.</b> Students with disabilities participation rate for English/reading	100%	≥95.0%	Yes
<b>3b</b> . Students with disabilities participation rate for math	96%	≥95.0%	Yes
<b>3c.</b> Students with disabilities proficiency rate for English/reading	33%	≥66.0%	No
<b>3c</b> . Students with disabilities proficiency rate for math	39%	≥65.0%	No

## **Indicator 4: Suspension/Expulsion**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Significant Discrepancy
<b>4a.</b> Division identified with significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs	No
The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPS, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	No discrepancy in 4A
<b>4b.</b> Division identified with significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs	No
The VDOE concluded that the policies, procedures or practices contributed to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development of IEPS, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.	No discrepancy in 4B

## Indicator 5: School Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
<b>5a.</b> Students included in regular classroom 80% or more of the day	75.38%	≥69.0%	Yes
<b>5b.</b> Students included in regular classroom less than 40% of the day	7.69%	≤10.0%	Yes
<b>5c.</b> Students served in separate public or private school, residential, home-based or hospital facility	10.77%	≤3.0%	No

#### **Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)**

	2016-2017	2016-2017	State
Indicator Description	Division	State	Target
	Performance	Target	Met
<b>6a.</b> Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a regular early childhood program and receive the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	41.67%	≥33.0%	Yes
<b>6b</b> . Children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attend a separate special education class, separate school, or residential facility	0%	≤21.0%	Yes

### **Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes**

Indicator Description	Outcome	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
<b>7a.</b> Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	A1. % entered below age expectations	≤ 10 Students	≥89.9%	Too few students to evaluate
	A2. % functioning within age expectations	≤ 10 Students	≥57.7%	Too few students to evaluate
<b>7b</b> . Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy)	B1. % entered below age expectations	≤ 10 Students	≥93.8%	Too few students to evaluate
	B2. % functioning within age expectations	≤ 10 Students	≥46.8%	Too few students to evaluate
<b>7c</b> . Use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs	C1. % entered below age expectations	$\leq$ 10 Students	≥90.8%	Too few students to evaluate
	C2. % functioning within age expectations	$\leq$ 10 Students	≥65.1%	Too few students to evaluate

#### **Indicator 8: Parent Involvement**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	33.33%	≥72.0%	No

# **Indicator 9: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Special Education and Related Services**

Indicator Description	2016-2017
1	Disproportionate Representation
Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial	
and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is	No
the result of inappropriate identification	

# **Indicator 10: Districts with Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories**

Indicator Description	2016-2017
Indicator Description	Disproportionate Representation
Division identified with disproportionate representation of racial	
and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result	No
of inappropriate identification	

#### **Indicator 11: Timeline for Eligibility**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Children with parental consent for initial evaluation, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 65 business days	100%	100%	Yes

#### **Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Children determined eligible and IEPs developed and implemented by their third birthdays	$\leq$ 10 Students	100%	Too few students to evaluate

#### **Indicator 13: Secondary IEP Goals and Transition Services**

Indicator Description	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.	100%	100%	Yes

# **Indicator 14: Postsecondary Outcomes**

Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school were:	2016-2017 Division Performance	2016-2017 State Target	State Target Met
<b>14a</b> . Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	$\leq$ 10 Students	≥35.0%	Too few students to evaluate
<b>14b.</b> Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	$\leq$ 10 Students	≥62.75%	Too few students to evaluate
<b>14c.</b> Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	≤ 10 Students	≥71.5%	Too few students to evaluate