Rockbridge County Public Schools Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix for FFY2019 (Compliance)

Reference the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric for additional details.

Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) and Determination

Total Points Available	Total Points Earned	Total Performance
40	36	90%

Rockbridge County Public Schools Meets Requirements

Results and Compliance Overall Scoring

Determination	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	16	80%
Compliance	20	20	100%

Part B Compliance Indicators

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 4B: Division identified with Significant			
Discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and		Yes	2
expulsion, and policies, procedures, or practices that	Yes/No		
contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply			
with specified requirements.			
Indicator 9 : Division identified with Disproportionate		Yes	
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	Yes/No		2
education and related services that is the result of			
inappropriate identification.			
Indicator 10 : Division identified with Disproportionate		Yes	2
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific	Vog/No		
disability categories that is the result of inappropriate	Yes/No		
identification.			
Indicator 11 : Division met Timeline for Initial Eligibility	100	Yes	2
Indicator 12 : Division met Timeline for Part C to Part B	< 10 Students	Vac	2
eligibility by third birthday	≤ 10 Students	Yes	2
Indicator 13: Division met Postsecondary Goal Requirements	100	Yes	2

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
General Supervision: Division has no uncorrected noncompliance (i.e., state complaints, due process hearings, and on-site monitoring)	Yes/No	Yes	2
Accurate Data Submission : Division accurately submitted all indicator data	Yes/No	Yes	2
Timely Data Submission : Division submitted all indicator data in a timely manner	Yes/No	Yes	2
Fiscal Audit : Division had no outstanding audit findings in regard to the use of Part B funds	Yes/No	Yes	2

Comments:

N/A

Additional information and specific criteria related to LEA determinations are available through the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric.

Reading Components Elements

Reading Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) – FFY 2018	99.04	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥46%) – FFY 2018	49.76	Yes	4

Mathematics Components Elements

Mathematics Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	98.70	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥48%) − FFY 2018	57.46	Yes	4

Graduation Components Elements

Graduation Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 1: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma (Target ≥61%)	32	No	0