# Portsmouth City Public Schools Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix for FFY2019 (Compliance)

Reference the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric for additional details.

#### Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) and Determination

Total Points Available	Total Points Earned	Total Performance
40	37	93%

## Portsmouth City Public Schools Meets Requirements

#### **Results and Compliance Overall Scoring**

Determination	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	17	85%
Compliance	20	20	100%

#### **Part B Compliance Indicators**

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 4B: Division identified with Significant			
Discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and		Yes	2
expulsion, and policies, procedures, or practices that	Yes/No		
contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply			
with specified requirements.			
<b>Indicator 9</b> : Division identified with Disproportionate			
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	Yes/No	Yes	2
education and related services that is the result of	1 65/110		
inappropriate identification.			
<b>Indicator 10</b> : Division identified with Disproportionate			
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific	Yes/No	Yes	2
disability categories that is the result of inappropriate	1 es/No		
identification.			
<b>Indicator 11</b> : Division met Timeline for Initial Eligibility	100	Yes	2
<b>Indicator 12</b> : Division met Timeline for Part C to Part B	100	Yes	2
eligibility by third birthday	100		
Indicator 13: Division met Postsecondary Goal Requirements	100	Yes	2

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
General Supervision: Division has no uncorrected noncompliance (i.e., state complaints, due process hearings, and on-site monitoring)	Yes/No	Yes	2
<b>Accurate Data Submission</b> : Division accurately submitted all indicator data	Yes/No	Yes	2
<b>Timely Data Submission</b> : Division submitted all indicator data in a timely manner	Yes/No	Yes	2
<b>Fiscal Audit</b> : Division had no outstanding audit findings in regard to the use of Part B funds	Yes/No	Yes	2

#### **Comments:**

N/A

Additional information and specific criteria related to LEA determinations are available through the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric.

#### **Reading Components Elements**

Reading Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	98.87	Yes	4
<b>Indicator 3C</b> : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥46%) – FFY 2018	46.86	Yes	4

### **Mathematics Components Elements**

<b>Mathematics Components Elements</b>	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	98.80	Yes	4
<b>Indicator 3C</b> : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥48%) − FFY 2018	52	Yes	4

#### **Graduation Components Elements**

Graduation Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 1: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma (Target ≥61%)	50.40	No	1