Alexandria City Public Schools Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix for FFY2019 (Compliance)

Reference the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric for additional details.

Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) and Determination

Total Points Available	Total Points Earned	Total Performance
40	30	75%

Alexandria City Public Schools Needs Assistance

Results and Compliance Overall Scoring

Determination	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	14	70%
Compliance	20	16	80%

Part B Compliance Indicators

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 4B: Division identified with Significant			
Discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and		Yes	2
expulsion, and policies, procedures, or practices that	Yes/No		
contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply			
with specified requirements.			
Indicator 9 : Division identified with Disproportionate	Yes/No	Yes	2
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in special			
education and related services that is the result of			
inappropriate identification.			
Indicator 10 : Division identified with Disproportionate			
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific	Yes/No	No	0
disability categories that is the result of inappropriate			
identification.			
Indicator 11 : Division met Timeline for Initial Eligibility	98.60	No	1
Indicator 12 : Division met Timeline for Part C to Part B	100	Yes	2
eligibility by third birthday	100	1 68	2
Indicator 13 : Division met Postsecondary Goal Requirements	100	Yes	2

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
General Supervision: Division has no uncorrected	** /**	*7	
noncompliance (i.e., state complaints, due process hearings,	Yes/No	Yes	2
and on-site monitoring)			
Accurate Data Submission: Division accurately submitted	Yes/No	Yes	2
all indicator data	165/110	103	2
Timely Data Submission: Division submitted all indicator	Yes/No	No	1
data in a timely manner	res/No	NO	1
Fiscal Audit: Division had no outstanding audit findings in	Yes/No	Yes	2.
regard to the use of Part B funds		168	<u> </u>

Comments:

Late: Annual Plan 2020-2021

Additional information and specific criteria related to LEA determinations are available through the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric.

Reading Components Elements

Reading Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) – FFY 2018	99.42	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥46%) – FFY 2018	35.99	No	2

Mathematics Components Elements

Mathematics Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B : Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	98.58	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥48%) − FFY 2018	38.17	No	2

Graduation Components Elements

Graduation Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 1: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma (Target ≥61%)	52.63	No	2