Albemarle County Public Schools Part B Results-Driven Accountability Matrix for FFY2019 (Compliance)

Reference the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric for additional details.

Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) and Determination

Total Po	oints Available	Total Points Earned	Total Performance
	40	37	93%

Albemarle County Public Schools Meets Requirements

Results and Compliance Overall Scoring

Determination	Total Points Available	Points Earned	Score (%)
Results	20	19	95%
Compliance	20	18	90%

Part B Compliance Indicators

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
Indicator 4B: Division identified with Significant			
Discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspension and		Yes	2
expulsion, and policies, procedures, or practices that	Yes/No		
contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply			
with specified requirements.			
Indicator 9 : Division identified with Disproportionate		Yes	2
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in special	Yes/No		
education and related services that is the result of	1 65/110		
inappropriate identification.			
Indicator 10 : Division identified with Disproportionate	<u> </u>	Yes	2
Representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific	Yes/No		
disability categories that is the result of inappropriate	1 65/110		
identification.			
Indicator 11 : Division met Timeline for Initial Eligibility	99.64	No	1
Indicator 12 : Division met Timeline for Part C to Part B	100	Yes	2
eligibility by third birthday	100		
Indicator 13 : Division met Postsecondary Goal Requirements	100	Yes	2

Part B Compliance Indicators	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-2)
General Supervision: Division has no uncorrected	** /**	*7	
noncompliance (i.e., state complaints, due process hearings,	Yes/No	Yes	2
and on-site monitoring)			
Accurate Data Submission: Division accurately submitted	Yes/No	Yes	2
all indicator data	163/140	103	2
Timely Data Submission: Division submitted all indicator	Yes/No	No	1
data in a timely manner	1es/No	140	1
Fiscal Audit: Division had no outstanding audit findings in	Yes/No	Yes	2.
regard to the use of Part B funds	165/NO	168	<u> </u>

Comments:

Late: 2018-2019 Excess Cost Calculation

Additional information and specific criteria related to LEA determinations are available through the Local Determinations Scoring Rubric.

Reading Components Elements

Reading Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	99.45	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥46%) – FFY 2018	43.68	No	3

Mathematics Components Elements

Mathematics Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 3B : Percentage of Students with Disabilities Participating in Statewide Assessments (Target ≥95%) − FFY 2018	99.61	Yes	4
Indicator 3C : Performance of Students with Disabilities on Statewide Assessments (Target ≥48%) – FFY 2018	54.28	Yes	4

Graduation Components Elements

Graduation Components Elements	Performance	Met State Target	Score (0-4)
Indicator 1: Percentage of Students with Disabilities Graduating with a Standard or Advanced Studies Diploma (Target ≥61%)	68.99	Yes	4